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## UNIT-I

## OPERATIONS RESEARCH- BASIC CONCEPTS

## Objective of this Course

The science of Operations Research (OR) was initially developed after World War-II as a operational decision making tool for military installations but today there is hardly any field left where operations research tools are not applied. It is a modern science that provides an insight into the application of optimization techniques. The decision makers are interested in maximizing returns or minimizing costs, to achieve economic efficiency. There are different techniques/models and operations research provides appropriate knowledge to apply these models in agricultural planning. These techniques take due care of the constraints/restrictions confronted in the real life situation in agricultural sector. In the present day of growing global complexities, the operations research models are widely used in planning and management to achieve organizational and economic goals. This manual will be useful for the students to understand the application of the tools of operations research in scientific management and planning of agriculture and allied activities.

## Characteristics of Operation Research (OR)

1. OR is inter-disciplinary team approach to find out optimum solutions.
2. OR uses techniques of scientific research to arrive at optimum solution.
3. OR emphasizes on the overall approach to the system i.e. it takes into account all the aspects of the problem under consideration.
4. OR tries to optimize total system i.e. maximize profit/minimize cost.
5. OR gives only bad answer to the problems having worse answers otherwise. It cannot give perfect answers. It only improves the quality of the solution.

## Scope and Usefulness of Operations Research

1. OR is useful to directing authority in deciding optimum allocation of various limited resources such as men, money, machines, materials, time etc.
2. It is useful to production manager particularly in;

- Designing, selecting and locating sites.
- To determine number and size.
- Scheduling and sequencing.
- Calculating optimum product-mix.

3. It is useful in marketing field such as;

- How to buy, how often to buy, when \& what to buy at the minimum possible cost.
- To find out distribution points to sell products.
- Minimum per unit sale price.
- Customers' choice regarding colour, packaging, size of stock etc.
- Choice in selection of different media of advertising.

4. It is useful to personnel administration;

- To employ skilled persons at minimum cost.
- Total number of persons/labour to be maintained.
- Sequencing of persons to a variety of jobs to enhance efficiency.

5. It is useful to proper financial management of the unit;

- To find out proper plan for a farm/firm/industry.
- To determine optimum replacement policies.
- To find out long term capital requirements as well as ways and means to generate capital.


## Application of OR in Agriculture

Earlier, OR was mainly applied in management of industries or firms. But the technique of OR model was applied for the first time in agriculture by Heady and Candler in livestock. Today OR tools are being applied in agricultural research and planning in the following fields.

- Optimum cropping plans for a region.
- Finding out optimum product-mix of different farm enterprises.
- In finding out capital, credit and inputs requirement.
- In designing feed rations for livestock.
- In designing transportation and marketing strategies.
- In storage and inventory management.
- To analyze the impact of new technology.

OR finds more relevance in agricultural production economics due to following reasons

- It takes into account complex system interlinkages.
- It is free from estimation problems like auto-correlation, multi-colinearity, simultaneous equation bias, etc.
- Estimation procedure is simple with the application of computer programming.
- Based upon fewer assumptions and has more realistic and practicable solutions.
- It provides scope for incorporating changes and, thus, is more flexible in methodology.


## Understanding Matrix Algebra and its Application in Operations Research

## Matrix Algebra

The concept of matrices is most important in OR. Therefore, before proceeding further we should understand the basics of matrices.

## Definition

A matrix is the arrangement of elements in rows and columns. A matrix also presents the system of equations of a model.

## Importance of Matrices to OR Research

A complete development and understanding of the theoretical and computational aspects of OR requires the blending of the basic matrix concepts and techniques. Matrix concept is most
important which forms the basis of analysis of system relationships between decision variables and parameters or constraints.
A matrix is a rectangular array of ' $m n$ ' numbers arranged in ' $m$ ' rows and ' $n$ ' columns, where m denotes number of rows and n the number of columns.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \vdots & \vdots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{m n}
\end{array}\right][i+j] \text { e.g } A\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 4 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 4 \\
5 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right] \text { is a (3x3) matrix }
$$

## Basic Concepts in Matrix Algebra

## Square matrix

The matrix is a square matrix iff $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}$ i.e. number of rows is equal to number of columns.

## Unit or identity matrix

Unit or identity matrix is one in which all diagonal elements are unity (1) and all other elements are zero (0).

$$
\text { i.e. }\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{i j} & 1, \forall & i & j \\
a_{i j} & 0, \forall & i \neq j
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Row matrix

A row matrix is one in which there is one row of elements i.e.
$\left[\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 8\end{array}\right]_{1 \times 5}$ is a row matrix.

## Column matrix

A column matrix is one having one column of elements i.e.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
4 \\
6 \\
8
\end{array}\right]_{4 \times 1} \text { is a column matrix. }
$$

## Transpose of a matrix

The transpose of a matrix is determined by interchanging rows and columns.

$$
\mathrm{A}=\left\lfloor a_{i j}\right\rfloor \text {, then } A^{\prime} \operatorname{orA}^{T} \quad\left[a_{j i}\right]
$$

e.g. $A\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 8 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 & 8\end{array}\right] \quad A^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 8 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 8\end{array}\right]$

## Triangular matrix

(a) Upper triangular matrix: If all $a_{i j} \quad 0, \quad \forall \quad i>j \quad$ e.g. $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 4\end{array}\right]$
(b) Lower triangular matrix: If all $a_{i j} \quad 0, \quad \forall \quad i<j$ e.g. $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 & 2\end{array}\right]$

## Symmetric matrix

A symmetric matrix is one in which the element $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is same as element $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ji}}$. This implies that the element at $1^{\text {st }}$ row and $3^{\text {rd }}$ column will be same as that at $3^{\text {rd }}$ row and $I^{\text {st }}$ column. In the following example matrix A is a symmetric matrix.

$$
A\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
2 & 4 & 6 \\
3 & 6 & 2
\end{array}\right] \quad A^{\prime} \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
2 & 4 & 6 \\
3 & 6 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

i.e. $a_{i j}=a_{j i 1}$. Obviously, a matrix is a symmetric matrix iff, $A=A^{T}$

## Skew symmetric matrix

A skew symmetric matrix is one in which $\left\lfloor a_{i j}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor a_{j i}\right\rfloor$ in this case $A-A^{\prime}$

$$
A\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -2 & -3 \\
2 & 4 & -6 \\
3 & 6 & 2
\end{array}\right], \quad A^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
-2 & 4 & 6 \\
-3 & -6 & 2
\end{array}\right] \text { i.e. } \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ji}}
$$

## Null matrix

A null matrix has all zero elements

## Main Properties of Matrices

## Addition of matrices

$(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B})+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}+(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}) \quad$ Associative law
$\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{A} \quad$ Commutative law
$(\alpha+\beta) \mathrm{A}=\alpha \mathrm{A}+\beta \mathrm{A} \quad$ Distributive law

$$
\alpha(A+B) \quad \alpha A+\alpha B
$$

## Multiplication of matrices

Multiplication of matrices A and B is possible only iff number of columns in A are equal to number of rows in B. For example;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23}
\end{array}\right], \quad B \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
b_{11} & b_{12} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} \\
b_{31} & b_{32}
\end{array}\right] \\
& {[A]_{2 \times 3} *[B]_{3 \times 2} \quad[C]_{2 \times 2}} \\
& \text { e.g. if } A\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 4 & 1 \\
2 & 3 & 0
\end{array}\right]_{2 \times 3} \text { and } B\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
2 & 1 \\
4 & 6
\end{array}\right]_{3 \times 2} \text { then } A B\left[\begin{array}{cc}
13 & 10 \\
8 & 3
\end{array}\right]_{2 \times 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Inverse of matrix

The inverse of a matrix A is $\mathrm{A}^{-1}$, iff;

$$
A * A^{-1} \quad I(\text { identity })
$$

## Determinant

Determinant (det.) is associated with every square matrix and is single value derived through computation procedure as under;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 4 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 4 \\
5 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right| \\
& 2(3-8)-4(0-20)+1(0-5) \\
& -10+80-5 \quad 65
\end{aligned}
$$

## Some properties of determinant are;

1. If every element of any row or any column is zero |det| is zero.
2. Value of det. does not change if corresponding rows and columns are changed.
3. If $|B|$ det. is formed by interchanging two rows or columns in $|A|$ then $|B|=-|A|$
4. If two rows or columns of a det. are identical than $|\operatorname{det}|$ has zero value.
5. If every element of a row or column of det. is multiplied by the number $k$ then value of $|\operatorname{det}|$ is k times.
6. Value of $|\operatorname{det}|$ does not change if to every element of a column or a row we add $k$ times the corresponding element of another column or row.

## Rank of a Matrix

Rank of a matrix A is the order of the largest square array in A whose |det| does not vanish i.e. does not become zero (0)

A square matrix is called singular if det. $|A|=0$.
Minor: $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ of the element $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is the det. obtained from the square matrix by striking $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ row \& $j^{\text {th }}$ column of the matrix.

Co-factor: $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ of the element $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is a minor with appropriate sign which is determined by using the relation $(-1)^{i+j} d_{i j}$. It is also known as signed minor of the element $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$.
Adjoint: Adjoint of an $n \times n$ square matrix A is another $n \times n$ square matrix Adj A where $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ row and $j^{\text {th }}$ column is the co-factor of the element in the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ row and $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ column of A .
$A\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right], \quad A^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
$\operatorname{Adj} \quad A\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & -2 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ -3 & 0 & 3\end{array}\right]$
$\mathrm{A}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$, then transpose of a matrix is $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$.
Then we can find inverse of A matrix as $A^{-1} \quad \frac{1}{|A|} \operatorname{Adj} \quad A$
Where $|A|=$ determinant value of A .

## Use of Matrix Algebra in Solving Linear Equations

Given the set of equations;

| $a_{11} X_{1}$ | $+a_{12} X_{2}$ | + | $a_{13} X_{3}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{1 n} X_{n}$ | $b_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{21} X_{1}$ | + | $a_{22} X_{2}$ | + | $a_{23} X_{3}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{2 n} X_{n}$ |
| $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ |  |  | $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ |  |  | $\vdots$ |
| $a_{m 1} X_{1}$ | + | $a_{m 2} X_{2}$ | + | $a_{m 3} X_{3}$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $a_{m n} X_{n}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $b_{m}$ |  |  |

We can write in matrix notation as
$A X \quad B$
where $A\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{m 1} & a_{m 2} & a_{m 3} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{m n}\end{array}\right]_{m \times n}, X\left[\begin{array}{c}X_{1} \\ X_{2} \\ X_{3} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ X_{n}\end{array}\right]_{m \times 1}, B\left[\begin{array}{c}b_{1} \\ b_{2} \\ b_{3} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ b_{n}\end{array}\right]_{m \times 1}$
The solution is $X \quad A^{-1} B$, so if we can find out the inverse of A , we can find solution to the equations by multiplying this inverse with matrix B .
Example: Let us consider the simultaneous equation model,

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
3 X_{1}+4 X_{2}+3 X_{3} & 4 \\
X_{1}+2 X_{2}+5 X_{3} & 10 \\
X_{1}+3 X_{2}+X_{3} & 6
\end{array}
$$

We can write these equations in matrix form as;

$$
A X=B
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
3 & 4 & 3 \\
1 & 2 & 5 \\
1 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
X_{1} \\
X_{2} \\
X_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
4 \\
10 \\
6
\end{array}\right]
$$

where;
$\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}3 & 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 1\end{array}\right] ;$
$\mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2} \\ X_{3}\end{array}\right] ;$
$\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{c}4 \\ 10 \\ 6\end{array}\right]$

There are two methods for solving equations with the use of matrices

## (a) Adjoint Method

Let us consider the equations

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
X_{1}+4 X_{2}+X_{3} & 6 \\
-2 X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3} & 4 \\
X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3} & 10
\end{array}
$$

We write in the form $\mathbf{A X}=\mathbf{B}$.

$$
\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B}
$$

$$
\mathrm{A}^{-1}=\frac{\operatorname{Adjo} \text { int } A}{\operatorname{det} \cdot A \mid}
$$

$\operatorname{Adj} A\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & -2 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \\ -3 & 0 & 3\end{array}\right],|A| \quad 6 ;$ so A $^{-1}=\frac{\operatorname{Adj} A}{|A|}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right]$
Hence, the solution is $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} ;\left[\begin{array}{c}X_{1} \\ X_{2} \\ X_{3}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}6 \\ 4 \\ 10\end{array}\right]$
$X_{1} \quad-\frac{4}{3}+\frac{10}{3} \quad \frac{6}{3} \quad 2$
$\begin{array}{ll}X_{2} & 3+\frac{4}{3}+\frac{10}{6} \quad 6\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}X_{3} & -3+5 & 2\end{array}$
(The student can cross check by putting these values in any of the equations)

## Assignment

Solve the following system of equations by using adjoint method
$4 X_{1}-2 X_{2}+X_{3} \quad 16$
$3 X_{1}+X_{2}-X_{3} \quad 8$
$X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3} \quad 20$

## (b) Application of Cramer's Rule to solve Equations

The system of equations can be solved by using the Crammer's rule
\(\left[\begin{array}{l}2 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+6 x_{3} <br>
1 x_{1}+4 x_{2}+8 x_{3} <br>

4 x_{1}+2 x_{2}+8 x_{3}\end{array}\right]\)| 20 |
| :--- |
| 45 |
| 15 |

We can write these equations in matrix form as;
$A X=B$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 3 & 6 \\
1 & 4 & 8 \\
4 & 2 & 8
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
20 \\
45 \\
15
\end{array}\right]
$$

Det. $\Delta($ det. of matrix $\mathbf{A})=20$

Det. $\Delta_{1}($ det. by replacing first column with $\mathbf{B})=140$
Det. $\Delta_{2}($ det. by replacing second column with $\mathbf{B})=110$
Det. $\Delta_{3}($ det. by replacing third column with $\mathbf{B})=-35$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}=\operatorname{Det} \Delta_{1} / \operatorname{Det} \Delta=7$
$\mathrm{x}_{2}=\operatorname{Det} \Delta_{2} / \operatorname{Det} \Delta=5.5$
$\mathrm{x}_{3}=-\operatorname{Det} \Delta_{3} / \operatorname{Det} \Delta=-1.75$
(Cross check by putting these values in any of the equations)

## Assignment

Solve these equations by using Crammer's rule:
Equation set 1

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1}+3 x_{2}+6 x_{3} \\
2 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+7 x_{3} \\
4 x_{1}+2 x_{2}+8 x_{3}
\end{array}\right] \begin{gathered}
10 \\
5 \\
8
\end{gathered}
$$

Equation set 2

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 x_{1}+6 x_{2}+5 x_{3} \\
2 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+4 x_{3} \\
4 x_{1}+2 x_{2}+5 x_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
20 \\
10 \\
16
\end{array}\right.
$$

## UNIT-II

## FORMULATION OF LINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM

## Assumptions of Linear Programming Problem (LPP)

1 Optimization: Objective function to maximize or minimize
2. Fixedness: At least one constraint with RHS different from 0
3. Finiteness: A finite number of activities and constraints to consider
4. Determinism: All parameters are assumed to be known constants
5. Continuity: All resources can be used and all activities produced in any fraction
6. Homogeneity: All units of the same resource or activity are identical
7. Additivity: When two or more activities are used, the total product is equal to the sum of the individual products (no interaction effects between activities)
8. Proportionality: Constant gross margin and resource requirement per unit of activity regardless of the level of activity used (constant returns to scale)

## General Problem

The general linear programming problem (LPP), is to find out vector of variables ( $\mathrm{X}_{1}$, $\mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) which maximizes or minimizes the linear objective function:
$C_{1} X_{1}+C_{2} X_{2}+\cdots \cdots C_{n} X_{n}$
Such that linear constraints are satisfied


In brief, the model can be written as;
$\operatorname{Max} / \operatorname{Min} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \sum_{j 1}^{n} C_{j} X_{j} \quad(\mathrm{j}=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots \ldots \mathrm{n})$ Objective function
Subject to
$\sum_{j 1}^{n} a_{i j} X_{j}>=<b_{i}, i \quad 1,2,3, \cdots m \quad$ Re source constraint $s$
$X_{j} \geq 0 \quad$ Non-negativityconstraint

## Some Definitions

1. Feasible solution to LPP is a vector $\mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots \ldots \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ which satisfies the condition 1 and 2, i.e.
$1 \quad \sum a_{i j} X_{j}>=<b_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}i & 1,2,3, \cdots m\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}j & 1,2,3, \cdots n)\end{array}\right.$
$2 \quad X_{j} \geq 0$
2. A basic solution to (1) is a solution obtained by setting ' $n-m$ ' variables equal to zero (0) and solving for the remaining m variables provided that determinant of the coefficients is non-zero and $m$ variables are non-zero. The ' m ' variables are called basic variables $\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$.
3. A basic feasible solution is a basic solution which satisfies non-negativity assumption beside restrictions imposed i.e. satisfies conditions (1) and (2) stated above.
4. Non-degenerate basic feasible solution is a basic feasible solution with exactly $m$ positive $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}$ i.e. all basic variables are positive.
5. Degenerate solution occurs if one or more of the basic variables vanish or become zero.

## Graphical Solution to LPP

Simple Linear Programming problem having two variables can be solved by the Graphical approach. In this method, we consider a set of two variables and find the feasible zone by plotting the constraints on the two axes. The values of a variable (say $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ ) are taken on X -axis while the values of other variable (say $x_{2}$ ) are plotted on $y$-axis. Thus, we find concave (in case of maximization) or convex feasible zone (in case of minimization). We can find the optimum point by plotting budget/ price line and its tangency point with the co-ordinates of the vertices of points formed by the constraints.

Example 1: Find the maximum value of

$$
\operatorname{Max} \mathrm{Z}=2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+3 \mathrm{X}_{2}
$$

Subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}<=8 \\
& 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+4 \mathrm{X}_{2}<=16 \\
& \mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}>=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We can plot the graph of the constraint equations and find the feasible zone as shown in the shaded area.

With help of objective function (the price line), the optimum is at point B

$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}=2.67 \quad \mathrm{X}_{2}=2.67 \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Max}}=13.33
$$



Example 2: Find the maximum value of

$$
\operatorname{Max} \mathrm{Z}=4 \mathrm{X}_{1}+3 \mathrm{X}_{2}
$$

Subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}<=6 \\
& 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+3 \mathrm{X}_{2}<=12 \\
& \mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}>=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We can plot the graph of the constraint equations and find the feasible zone as shown in the shaded area of the graph. With help of objective function (the price line), the optimum point is;

$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}=6 \quad \mathrm{X}_{2}=0 \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Max}}=24
$$



Example 3: Find the maximum value of

$$
\operatorname{Max} Z=4 X_{1}+3 X_{2}
$$

Subject to

$$
X_{1}+X_{2}<=6
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+3 \mathrm{X}_{2}<=12 \\
& \mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}>=0
\end{aligned}
$$

By ploting the graph of the constraint equations, the optimum point is ;

$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}=4 \quad \mathrm{X}_{2}=0 \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Max}}=16
$$

Example 4: Find the maximum value of

$$
\operatorname{Max} \mathrm{Z}=5 \mathrm{X}_{1}+4 \mathrm{X}_{2}
$$

Subject to

$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}<=6
$$

$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}+3 \mathrm{X}_{2}<=12
$$

$$
4 X_{1}+2 X_{2}<=16
$$

$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}>=0
$$

We can plot the graph of the constraint equations and find the feasible zone as shown in the shaded area. With help of objective function (the price line), the optimum point is at B

$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}=2.40 \quad \mathrm{X}_{2}=3.20 \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Max}}=24.80
$$

"

Example 5: Find the maximum value of
Max $Z=2 X_{1}+3 X_{2}$
Subject to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}<=6 \\
4 \mathrm{X}_{1}+2 \mathrm{X}_{2}<=16 \\
\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}>=0
\end{gathered}
$$

By plotting the graph of the constraint equations the optimum point is at A

$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}=0 \quad \mathrm{X}_{2}=6 \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Max}}=18
$$



Example 6: Find the minimum value of
$\operatorname{Min} \mathrm{Z}=2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+3 \mathrm{X}_{2}$
Subject to
$\mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2}>=6$
$4 \mathrm{X}_{1}+2 \mathrm{X}_{2}>=16$
$\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}>=0$
Solution: Optimum is at point C

$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}=6 \quad \mathrm{X}_{2}=0 \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\text {Min }}=12
$$



## Formulations of Linear Programming Problems

## Diet Problem

The medical expert prescribes that an adult should consume at least 75 gms of proteins, 85 gms of fats and 300 gms of carbohydrate daily. The following table gives the food items and their nutrition values and cost. The total quantity should not exceed 750 gms of food stuff. Formulate the linear problem.

| Food Type | Per 100 gram <br> Proteins | Fats | Carbohydrates | Cost per kg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 8 | 2 | 35 | 10 |
| 2 | 18 | 15 | - | 30 |
| 3 | 16 | 4 | 7 | 40 |
| 4 | 4 | 20 | 3 | 20 |
| 5 | 5 | 8 | 40 | 15 |
| 6 | 3 | - | 25 | 30 |
| Min | 75 | 80 | 300 |  |

Problem formulation
Objective is to minimize cost of food i.e

$$
\operatorname{Min} Y \quad 10 X_{1}+30 X_{2}+40 X_{3}+20 X_{4}+15 X_{5}+30 X_{6}
$$

subject to
$8 X_{1}+18 X_{2}+16 X_{3}+4 X_{4}+5 X_{5}+3 X_{6} \geq 75$
$2 X_{1}+15 X_{2}+4 X_{3}+20 X_{4}+8 X_{5}+0 X_{6} \geq 80$
$35 X_{1}+0 X_{2}+7 X_{3}+3 X_{4}+40 X_{5}+25 X_{6} \geq 300$
$X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}+X_{4}+X_{5}+X_{6} \leq 750$
$X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots \cdots X_{6} \geq 0$

## Feed Problem

A feed processing company purchases and mixes 3 types of grains each containing different amounts of 3 nutritional elements $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C with given cost and minimum quantity requirement.

| Per unit weight of |  |  |  | Min. Requirement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Items | Grain 1 | Grain 2 | Grain 3 |  |
| A | 2 | 4 | 6 | 125 |
| B | 0 | 2 | 5 | 24 |
| C | 5 | 1 | 3 | 80 |
| Cost | 25 | 15 | 18 |  |

Problem Formulation
$\operatorname{Min} Z \quad 25 X_{1}+15 X_{2}+18 X_{3}$
subject to
$2 X_{1}+4 X_{2}+6 X_{3} \geq 125$

$$
2 X_{2}+5 X_{3} \geq 24
$$

$5 X_{1}+X_{2}+3 X_{3} \geq 80$
$\mathrm{X} 1, \mathrm{X} 2, \mathrm{X} 3>=0$

## Regional Planning Problem

CSKHPKV has farm at 3 locations of comparable productivity. The output of each farm is limited by cultivated area and by amount of water for irrigation. Data for the upcoming season are;

| Farm | Cultivated area (Ha) | Water available (mm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 400 | 18000 |
| 2 | 200 | 11000 |
| 3 | 300 | 9000 |

There are 3 crops maize, rice and soybean, the average water required \& profit is given below

|  | Water required $(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{ha})$ | Profit Rs./ha |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maize | 100 | 8000 |
| Rice | 200 | 10000 |
| Soybean | 80 | 6000 |

## Problem Formulation

Let $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ farm $\& \mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ crop can be denoted by

$$
X_{i j} \quad(i \quad 1,2,3, j \quad A, B, C)
$$

Objective function

$$
\operatorname{Max} Z \quad 8000 \sum_{i=1}^{3} X_{i A}+10000 \sum_{i 1}^{3} X_{i B}+6000 \sum_{i 1}^{3} X_{i C}
$$

Subject to
Cultivable area

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}_{1} A+X_{1} B+X_{1} C \leq 400 \\
& X_{2} A+X_{2} B+X_{2} C \leq 200 \\
& X_{3} A+X_{3} B+X_{3} C \leq 300
\end{aligned}
$$

Water requirement

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 100 X_{1} A+200 X_{1} B+80 X_{1} C \leq 18000 \\
& 100 X_{2} A+200 X_{2} B+80 X_{2} C \leq 11000 \\
& 100 X_{3} A+200 X_{3} B+80 X_{3} C \leq 9000 \\
& X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}>=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: The typical farming linear programming problem has been formulated in appendix-I

## SIMPLEX METHOD

## Standard Format of Linear Programming Simplex Tableau

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j} \text { 's }}$ Cost/Returns Vector |  |  |  |  | 2 ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constraints$\left(b_{i, s}\right)$ | Activities ( $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j} \text { 's }}$ ) |  |  | Artificial |  |
|  | Real activities (crops, livestock, etc. | Intermediate activities (purchases, borrowing, hiring, sales etc.) | Disposal activities (slack, surplus) | activities (in <br> case of <br> surplus <br> disposal <br> equality) or <br>   |  |
|  | Input output ( $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ ) coefficients |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ (Profit) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ (Net evaluation row |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: These have been described in detail in theory part
The simplex method is an iterative procedure which solves a LPP in a finite number of steps. These steps are described below:

Step1: Formulate the objective function and check whether it is to be minimized or maximized along with cost (minimization) or returns (maximization) associated with each activity.
Step 2: Check the input-output relationship and constraints.
Step 3: Convert all inequalities into equation by introducing slack variables (< inequality) and surplus variables ( $>$ inequality). The cost vector associated with slack or surplus is zero. Also introduce artificial variable in case of equality ( $=$ ) constraint or for $\geq$ inequality in case of minimization with very high cost M (big M method).

Step 4: Obtain the initial basic feasible tableau and compute net evaluation row $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and select the most negative $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}$ (in case of maximization) or most positive $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}$ (in case of minimization) as the incoming row.

Step 5: Compute the ratio $\mathrm{Xbi} / \mathrm{aij}$, aij>0 for the incoming row and select the one with minimum positive ratio as the pivot element and the outgoing row.
Step 6: Convert the pivot element to unity and all other element in this column to zero and follow the same operation in other columns of the simplex table.

Step 7: Go to step 4 and repeat the computational procedure until all the $z_{j}-\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are positive or zero in case of maximization and negative or zero in case of minimization, in minimization see that all the artificial variables are out of the basis.
(Note: The minimization problem can also be solved by converting into a maximization problem)
Here we illustrate the formulation of simplex table to solve the LPP

Example 1: Solve the following problem by using simplex method
$\operatorname{MaxZ} \quad X_{1}+X_{2}+3 X_{3}$
subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 X_{1}+2 X_{2}+X_{3} \leq 3 \\
& 2 X_{1}+X_{2}+2 X_{3} \leq 2 \\
& X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now introducting slack variables in these equations to restore equality
MaxZ $\quad X_{1}+X_{2}+3 X_{3}+0 S_{1}+0 S_{2}$
subject to
$3 X_{1}+2 X_{2}+X_{3}+S_{1} \quad 3$
$2 X_{1}+X_{2}+2 X_{3}+S_{2} \quad 2$
Initial Simplex Tableau

|  | Cj |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | b | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3} \quad \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\operatorname{Min~R}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{Xj}^{*}\right)$ |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| $0 \longleftarrow$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | $2^{*}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Z |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  | -1 | -1 | -3 | 0 | 0 |  |

Since, most negative $\mathrm{Zj}-\mathrm{Cj}$ is -3 hence, $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ will enter the basis. Further, min ratio R (bi/aij) is min for 2nd row, hence, $S_{2}$ will leave the basis.

## First iteration

|  | Cj |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | b | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | Min R |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 2 | 2 | 1.5 | 0 | 1 | -0.5 | 3 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | $1^{*}$ | 0 | 0.5 | 1 |
| Z |  | 3 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 0 | 1.5 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  | 2 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 |  |

Since all $\mathrm{Zj}-\mathrm{Cj}$ are positive or zero, thus, final solution has reached.
Result $\mathrm{X}_{1}=0, \mathrm{X}_{2}=0, \mathrm{X}_{3}=1, \quad$ Max $\mathrm{Z}=3$
Resource constraint (2) is limiting factor while resource constraint (1) is surplus.

## Example 2

$\operatorname{MaxZ} \quad 60 X_{1}+50 X_{2}$
subject to
$X_{1}+0.12 X_{2} \leq 4$
$12 X_{1}+15 X_{2} \leq 60$
$20 X_{1}+30 X_{2} \leq 110$
$X_{1}, X_{2} \geq 0$
After adding slack variables, we get the equations,
$\operatorname{Max} Z \quad 60 X_{1}+50 X_{2}+0 S 1+0 S 2+0 S 3$
subject to
$X_{1}+0.12 X_{2}+S 1 \quad 4$
$12 X_{1}+15 X_{2}+S 2 \quad 60$
$20 X_{1}+30 X_{2}+S 3110$
$X_{1}, X_{2}, S 1, S 2, S 3 \geq 0$

## Initial Tableau

| Cj |  | 60 | 50 | 0 | 0 |  | Min R |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | b | $\mathrm{X}_{1} \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |  |  |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{1} \longleftarrow$ | 4 | $1^{*}$ | 0.12 | 1.5 | 0 | 1 | 4 |  |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 60 | 12 | 15 | 0.5 | $1^{*}$ | 0 | 5 |  |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 110 | 20 | 30 | 1.5 | 3 | 0 | 5.5 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | -60 | -50 |  |  |  |  |  |

Since, most negative $\mathrm{Zj}-\mathrm{Cj}$ is -60 , $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ will enter the basis. Further min ratio R (bi/aij) is min. for 2 nd row, hence, $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ will leave the basis.

## $I^{\text {st }}$ Iteration

| 60 |  | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | R |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | Xb | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2} \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |  |  |
| $60 \mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 4 | 1 | 0.12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33.3333 |
| $0 \quad \mathrm{~S}_{2} \longleftarrow$ | 12 | 0 | $13.56^{*}$ | -12 | 1 | 0 | $0.8849^{*}$ |
| $0 \quad \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 30 | 0 | 27.60 | -20 | 0 | 1 | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | 240 | 60 | 7.20 | 60 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 0 | -42.80 | 60 | 0 | 0 |  |

Since, most negative $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is -42.80 . Further, min. ratio R is min. for 2 nd row.

## $2^{\text {nd }}$ Iteration

| 60 |  |  | 50 | 0 | 0 | $R$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $60 \mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 3.8938 | 1 | 0 | 1.1062 | -0.0088 | 0 |  |
| $50 \mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 0.8849 | 0 | 1 | -0.8849 | 0.0737 | 0 |  |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 5.5952 | 0 | 0 | 4.4235 | -2.0341 | 1 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | 277.8730 | 60 | 50 | 22.1270 | 3.1570 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 22.1270 | 3.1570 | 0 |  |

Since, all $Z_{j}-C_{j}$ are positive or zero, thus, final solution has reached.
Result $X_{1}=3.8936, X_{2}=0.8849, S_{3}($ disposal $)=5.5952$

Profit = Rs. 277.8730
The values of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ below slack variables are MVPs of resources used. The MVP of surplus/unused resource will be zero (0). We can apply Euler's theorem to verify as;
$(22.1270) \times 4+3.1570(60)+(0)$ X 110
$88.508+189.4200+0$
Rs. 277.928

## Minimax Theorem

Let $f(x)$ be a linear function of ' $n$ ' variables such that $f\left(X^{*}\right)$ is its minimum value for some point $X^{*}, X^{T} \in R^{n}$. Then $-f(X)$ attains its maximum value at the point $X^{*}$ or for $X^{T} \in R^{n}$

$$
\operatorname{Min} f(X)-\max \{-f(X)\}
$$

Proof: Since $f(X)$ is minimum at point $X^{*}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(X^{*}\right) \leq f(X) \quad \forall X^{T} \in R^{n} \\
& -f\left(X^{*}\right) \geq-f(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $-f(X)$ attains its maximum value at point $X^{*}$
Thus, $\operatorname{Max}\left(-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X})=-\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{X}^{*}\right)\right.$
Since, $\operatorname{Min} f(X) \quad f\left(X^{*}\right)$
$\operatorname{Min} f(X)-f\left(X^{*}\right) \quad \operatorname{Max}(-f(X))$
Hence, minimum $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X}) \quad-\operatorname{Max}(-f(X))$

## Artificial Variables

When there are both slack and surplus variables in the LP model and in order to get desirable number of unit column vectors, artificial variables are inserted with an obvious intention of finding basic matrix. The ancillary non-negative variables associated with the unit column vectors are called artificial variables so that they leave the basis as soon as possible.
We call this method as Charnes Method of Penalties or Big M Method. Let given LP
Max Z CX
subject to
AX $\quad \mathrm{b}, \quad \mathrm{X} \geq 0$
then new problem will be
MaxZ CX-MA
subject to
$A X+I_{m} \quad b \quad X \geq 0, \quad A_{i} \geq 0$

Example: This methodia used when we have different typeof inequaliti es in the equations
$\operatorname{Min} \mathrm{Z} \quad 2 \mathrm{X}_{1}+X_{2}$
$3 X_{1}+X_{2} \quad 3$
$4 X_{1}+3 X_{2} \geq 6$
$X_{1}+2 X_{2} \leq 3$
$X_{1}, X_{2} \geq 0$
Since, MinZ -MaxZ
or MaxZ $-2 \mathrm{X}_{1}-X_{2}-M A_{1}-M A_{2}$
subject to
$3 X_{1}+X_{2}+A_{1} \quad 3$
$4 X+3 X_{2}-S_{1}+A_{2} \quad 6$
$X_{1}+2 X_{2}+S_{2} \quad 3$
$X_{1}, X_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}, A_{1}, A_{2} \geq 0$

|  |  | -2 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -M | -M |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ |
| -M | 3 | $3^{*}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| -M | 6 | 4 | 3 | -1 | 0 | 1 | $\mathrm{I}^{\text {st }}$ |
| 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | -9 M | -7 M | -4 M | M | 0 | -M | -M |
|  |  | +2 | +1 |  |  |  |  |
| -2 | 1 | 1 | $1 / 3$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 3$ |
| -M | 2 | 0 | $5 / 3$ | -1 | 0 | 1 | $-4 / 3$ Iteration |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | $5 / 3$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $-1 / 3$ |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | -2 M | 0 | $-5 / 3 \mathrm{M}$ | M | 0 | -M |  |
|  | -2 | -2 | $+1 / 3$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| -2 | $\mathrm{X}_{1} 3 / 5$ | 1 | 0 | $1 / 5$ | 0 |  | $3^{\text {nd }}$ |
| -1 | $\mathrm{X}_{2} 6 / 5$ | 0 | 1 | $-3 / 5$ | 0 |  | Iteration $^{\text {rd }}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | $-12 / 5$ | -2 | -1 | $1 / 5$ | 0 |  |  |

Since, all $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are zero or positive so optimum solution has been obtained.
$M a x Z-\left(-\frac{12}{5}\right) \quad \frac{12}{5}$
$X_{1} \quad \frac{3}{5}, \quad X_{2} \quad \frac{6}{5}$

## Concept of Duality

Associated with every LP there is always a corresponding LPP called the dual problem of given LPP. The original LP is called primal.

## Example:

$\operatorname{MinZ} \quad 3 X_{1}+2.5 X_{2}$
subject to
$2 X_{1}+4 X_{2} \geq 40$
$3 X_{1}+2 X_{2} \geq 50$
$X_{1}, X_{2} \geq 0$

Its dual problem can be written as;
MaxZ $\quad 40 w_{1}+50 w_{2}$
subject to
$2 w_{1}+3 w_{2} \leq 3$
$4 w_{1}+2 w_{2} \leq 2.5$
$w_{1}, w_{2} \geq 0$

## Dual Simplex Method

We can also find the optimum solution of given LP by employing dual simplex method. By this method, minimization problem can be converted into maximization problem. The steps followed in dual simplex method are;

Step I
Convert the min. problem into max. by multiplying with negative (-) sign.
Select the most minimum bi i.e. bi with highest -ve value
Step II
Select minimum
$\left[\left(\frac{C j}{a i j}\right), a j<0\right]$ as incomin $g$ activity

## Example:

$\operatorname{Min} Z=3 x_{1}+6 x_{2}+x_{3}$
Subject to
$x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} \geq 6$
$x_{1}-5 x_{2}-x_{3} \geq 4$
$x_{1}+5 x_{2}+x_{3} \geq 24$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{3} \geq 0$
We can solve this problem with dual simplex method;
$\operatorname{Max} \mathrm{z}=-3 \mathrm{x}_{1}-6 \mathrm{x}_{2}-\mathrm{x}_{3}$
Subject to
$-\mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{x}_{2}-\mathrm{x}_{3}<=-6$
$-x_{1}+5 x_{2}+x_{3}<=-4$
$-x_{1}-5 x_{2}-x_{3}<=-24$
We can solve this by using dual simplex method

## Initial Tableau

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | -3 | -6 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | b | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $X_{3} \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ |
| 0 | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | -6 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | -4 | -1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | -24 | -1 | -5 | -1* | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Min. $\left(c_{i} / a_{i j}\right)$ |  |  | -3/-1 | -6/-5 -1/-1 |  |  |  |  |

Select most minimum bi i.e. bi with highest -ve value, which is -24 , which will decide the outgoing row

Select the minimum
$\left[\left(\frac{C j}{a i j}\right), a j<0\right]$

In this case it is 1

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{-3}{-1} & \frac{-6}{-5} & \frac{-1}{-1}
\end{array}
$$

Last column is minimum. So it will be the incoming row. Repeat these steps and the final iteration is given below:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | b | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3} \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 18 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| -3 | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -0.5 | -0.5 |
| -1 | $\mathrm{X}_{3} \longleftarrow$ | 10 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | -0.5 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  | -52 | -3 | -5 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |

Since, all the values are positive or zero, final solution has been obtained with the value
$\mathrm{X}_{1}=14 \quad \mathrm{X}_{3}=10 \quad \mathrm{~S}_{1}($ disposal $)=18 ; \operatorname{Min} \mathrm{Z}=-(\operatorname{Max} \mathrm{Z})=52$

## Assignment

Solve the following problem by using simplex method
Maximize $Z=10 x_{1}+5 x_{2}-2 x_{3}$
Subject to the constraints
$\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}-2 \mathrm{x}_{3} \leq 10$
$4 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} \leq 20$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \geq 0$
Note: solution is $\mathrm{x} 1=0 ; \mathrm{x} 2=16.67 ; \mathrm{x} 3=3.33 ; \operatorname{Max} Z=90.0$

## UNIT－IV

## MODIFIED SIMPLEX METHOD AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

So far，we have understood how to find the maximum or minimum value of the objective function．Modified Simplex method is used to work out the exact requirement of resources． This is also known as parametric programming．The formation of the matrix is same as for LPP，the only difference is the resource supply that is allowed to vary．The optimum plan allocation is achieved through this technique which means that further increase in profit is possible until the MVP of that resource becomes zero．

## Steps

The steps followed in modified simplex method are given below：
1．Prepare initial tableau with Zero availability in the resource supply for which we want to work out the exact requirement．
2．Compute $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ row
3．Compute 图 $=-\mathrm{ve} \frac{Z_{j}-C_{j}}{a_{i j} \text {（coefficients of } 0 \text { resource vector）}}$
4．Select the highest -ve 国 that has the highest MVP（marginal value productivity）of resource．Thus，we decide the incoming row．
5．The min． R ratio $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)$ decides the outgoing row．
6．Perform the iteration in the same way as in simplex method and workout $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and 图 again．
7．Continue the iterations till all the entries in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ row become positive or zero．
8．The negative value in bi：（for zero resource supply）shows the exact requirement of that resource．

## Example 1：

Given the problem：
$\operatorname{Max} Z=60 \mathrm{x}_{1}+50 \mathrm{x}_{2}$
Subject to
$X_{1}+0.12 X_{2} \leq 4 \quad$ Land
$12 \mathrm{X}_{1}+15 \mathrm{X}_{2} \leq 60 \quad$ Labour
$20 \mathrm{X}_{1}+30 \mathrm{X}_{2} \leq 110 \quad$ Capital
$\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2} \geq 0$
If we want to find exact amount of capital，we put 0 in the availability of capital and apply modified simplex method．We take capital at zero level so as to work out the exact requirement．The iterations shown below explains the procedure to find optimum solution to the problem．Considering the earlier problem（Example 2 chapter III），let us consider that we want to find out exact amount of capital，so we put zero（0）in availability column in simplex tableau as given below：

## Initial table

| Cj |  |  |  | 60 | 50 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Min R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | Xb | $\mathrm{X}_{1} \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |  |  |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{1} \longleftarrow$ | 4 | $1^{*}$ | 0.12 | 1.5 | 0 | 1 | 4 |  |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 60 | 12 | 15 | 0.5 | $1^{*}$ | 0 | 5 |  |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 0 | 20 | 30 | 1.5 | 3 | 0 | - |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | -60 | -50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bar{A}-\frac{Z_{j}-C_{j}}{a_{i j}}$ |  | -3 | -1.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Since, most negative $\bar{A}$ is -3 , thus, $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ will be enter the basis. The min. ratio R is for $\mathrm{I}^{\text {st }}$ row, thus, $S_{1}$ will leave the basis.

First iteration

|  | Cj | 60 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | Xb | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2} \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |  |
| $60 \mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 4 | 1 | 0.12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33.3333 |
| $0 \quad \mathrm{~S}_{2} \longleftarrow$ | 12 | 0 | 13.5600 | -12 | 1 | 0 | $0.8849^{*}$ |
| $0 \quad \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 80 | 0 | 28.56 | -20 | 0 | 1 | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | 240 | 60 | 7.20 | 60 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 0 | -42.80 | 60 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\bar{A} \frac{Z_{j}-C_{j}}{a_{i j}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | -1.4986 |  |  |  |  |

Since, most negative $\mathrm{Zj}-\mathrm{Cj}$ is -42.80 and min. $\bar{A}$ is for $\mathrm{X}_{2}$, then $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ enter the basis. Further the ratio R is min. for 2nd row, thus, $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ will leave the basis.

## Second iteration

|  | 60 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | R |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | Xb | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |  |
| $60 \mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 3.8938 | 1 | 0 | 1.1062 | -0.0088 | 0 |  |
| $50 \mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 0.8849 | 0 | 1 | -0.8849 | 0.0737 | 0 |  |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | -105.2756 | 0 | 0 | 5.2756 | -2.1049 | 1 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | 277.8780 | 60 | 50 | 22.1220 | 3.1570 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 22.1220 | 3.1570 | 0 |  |

Since, all $Z_{j}-C_{j}$ are positive or zero, thus, final solution has been obtained.
Thus, it shows that capital worth Rs. 105.2756 may be needed. The values $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ below the slack activities show marginal value products of land and labour. So, the profit will be
$(22.1270) \times 4+3.1570(60)$
$88.508+189.4200$
Rs. 277.928

This technique can be used to study which resource is limited in supply and what should be the exact amount of that resource. The student may not that results are same as obtained in earlier example. It is also interesting to note that exact capital requirement is same as obtained by deducting disposal value from availability.

## Sensitivity Analysis

It allows us to determine the effect of changes in the prices, resource supply and technical coefficients on the optimum solution. It is known as variable programming. When there is change in cost vector $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ it is known as variable price programming and when changes occur in resource constraint $\left(b_{i}\right)$ it is known as variable resource programming. In this section we will study the effect of these changes on optimality of the solution obtained previously and procedure to incorporate these changes to proceed further.

## 1. Changes in $C_{j}$ vector

When there are some discrete changes in Cjs, we can find range with in which our optimum solution is not affected. For this, we examine the following condition;
Max. $(-(\mathrm{Zj}-\mathrm{Cj}) / \mathrm{Sij})<\Delta \mathrm{Cj} \quad<\operatorname{Min}(-(\mathrm{Zj}-\mathrm{Cj}) / \mathrm{Sij})$
Sij>0
Sij>0

The following steps are perfumed to examine the effect of changes in cost/price vector on optimal solution;

Step 1: When there is some change in $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ vector, put the value of new prices in final optimum simplex tableau derived earlier.
Step 2: Work out new $Z_{j}$ and $Z_{j}-C_{j}$ row and examine the sign. In case of max. problem if all the values in $Z_{j}-C_{j}$ row are + ve or zero the solution is not affected but if some of $Z_{j}$ $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ values turn out to be -ve , the solution is no more optimum. In case of min. problem, if all the values in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ row are -ve or zero the solution is not affected but if some values of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ turn out to be +ve , the solution is no more optimum.
Step 3: In case the solution is not optimum, start from this step onward by selecting the most negative/positive value (as the case may be) and select incoming row and outgoing by following the same procedure as in simplex method.

Step 4: Perform successive iterations, examine $Z_{j}-C_{j}$ till the optimum solution is arrived at.

## Example 2:

Let us take the previous example
$\operatorname{Max} Z=60 X_{1}+50 X_{2}$
Subject to

| $X_{1}+0.12 X_{2} \leq 4$ | Land |
| :---: | :--- |
| $12 X_{1}+15 X_{2} \leq 60$ | Labour |
| $20 X_{1}+30 X_{2} \leq 110$ | Capital |

$X_{1}, X_{2} \geq 0$
Now we change

$$
C_{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
40 \\
60
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then following steps 1 to 4 given above and apply to final solution. Table obtained previously as given below;
Starting table

|  |  | 40 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |  |
| $40 \mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 3.8938 | 1 | 0 | 1.1062 | -0.0088 | 0 | 3.5200 |
| $50 \mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 0.8849 | 0 | 1 | -0.8849 | 0.0737 | 0 | - |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 5.5760 | 0 | 0 | 4.4232 | -2.0341 | 1 | 604.22 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | 199.9770 | 40 | 60 | 0.0030 | 3.3350 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | - | 0 | 0 | 0.0030 | 3.3330 | 0 |  |

Since, all $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are positive or zero, thus, final solution is not affected. The results are;
$\mathrm{X}_{1}=3.8938$
$\mathrm{X}_{2}=0.8849$
$\mathrm{S}_{3}($ disposal $)=5.5752$ and profit $Z_{j}=$ Rs. 199.9770
Now let us change this to $C^{*}\left[\begin{array}{l}10 \\ 50\end{array}\right]$
and follow the procedure given above
Starting table

|  |  |  | 10 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ |  | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1} \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |  |
| 10 | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 3.8938 | 1 | 0 | 1.1062 | -0.0088 | 0 | 3.5 |
| 50 | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 0.8849 | 0 | 1 | -0.8849 | 0.0737 | 0 | - |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{3} \longleftarrow$ | 5.5752 | 0 | 0 | $4.4232^{*}$ | -2.0341 | 1 | 1.2604 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 83.1830 | 10 | 50 | -33.1830 | 3.5970 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | - | 0 | 0 | -33.1830 | 3.5970 | 0 |  |

Since, one of the values $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ for $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ column is negative, hence, $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ will enter the basis and $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ will leave the basis (having minimum ratio).

## $\mathbf{I}^{\text {st }}$ iteration

|  |  |  | 10 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ |  | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2} \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |  |
| 10 | $\mathrm{X}_{1} \longleftarrow$ | 2.4995 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.4999 | -0.2501 | 5.00 |
| 50 | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 2.0002 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -0.3333 | 0.2001 |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 1.2604 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -0.4589 | 0.2261 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 125.0050 | 10 | 50 | 0 | -11.660 | 7.5040 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | -11.660 | 7.5040 |  |

Since, still one of the values $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ for $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ column is negative, hence, $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ will enter the basis and on the basis of min ratio $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{X}_{1}$ will leave the basis.

2nd iteration

|  |  |  | 10 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ |  | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2} \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 5.000 | 2.0004 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -0.5003 |  |
| 50 | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 3.6667 | 2.0004 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.1167 | 0.03335 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 3.5598 | 0.9200 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.1111 | 0.0035 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 183.3350 | 100.020 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 5.8350 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  | 90.020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.8350 |  |

Since all $Z_{j}-C_{j}$ are positive or zero, thus, final solution has been obtained with the value
$\mathrm{X}_{2}=3.6667$
$\mathrm{S}_{1}($ disposal $)=3.5599$
$\mathrm{S}_{2}($ disposal $)=5.0$ and profit $\mathrm{Zj}=183.3350$

## 2. Addition of a single variable

When we want to add a new activity or variable in the optimized model, instead of solving the entire model we follow the procedure given below:

Let Max Z C'X
$A X \leq b, \quad X \geq 0$
Let us add an activity $X_{n+1}$ having technical coefficient column $A_{n+1}$ and $\operatorname{costC} C_{n+1}$
This problem will require the computation of
$X_{n+1} \quad A^{-1} a_{n+1}$ and
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-C_{n+1} \quad C_{B} X_{n+1}-C_{n+1}$
Then either $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-C_{n+1} \geq 0 \cdots$ optimum
or $\quad Z_{n+1}-C_{n+1}<0 \cdots$ Not optimum

## Example 3:

Considering the previous optimized LPP solution;

|  | Cj |  | 60 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | bi | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| 60 | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 3.8938 | 1 | 0 | 1.1062 | -0.0088 | 0 |
| 50 | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 0.8849 | 0 | 1 | -0.8849 | 0.0737 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 5.5752 | 0 | 0 | 4.4232 | -2.0341 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 277.873 | 60 | 50 | 22.127 | 3.1570 |  |
| $\mathrm{Zj}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{Cj}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 22.127 | 3.1570 | 0 |

Let $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ with price 70 and input-output column $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}[0.3,11,15]$ is introduced. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}+1}=\left[\mathrm{A}^{-1}\right]\left[\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right]= \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1.1062 & -0.0088 & 0 \\
-0.8849 & 0.0737 & 0 \\
4.4232 & -2.0341 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.3 \\
11 \\
15
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.2218 \\
0.7670 \\
-21.048
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the modified starting tablue will be:

|  |  |  | 60 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ |  | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3} \downarrow$ |  |
| 60 | X 1 | 3.8938 | 1 | 0 | 1.1062 | -0.0088 | 0 | 0.2218 | 17.58 |
| 50 | $\mathrm{X}_{2} \leftarrow$ | 0.8849 | 0 | 1 | -0.8849 | 0.0737 | 0 | 0.7670 | 1.15 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 5.5752 | 0 | 0 | 4.4232 | -2.0341 | 1 | -21.048 | - |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 277.873 | 60 | 50 | 22.127 | 3.1570 |  | 51.658 |  |
| $\mathrm{Zj}-\mathrm{Cj}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 22.127 | 3.1570 | 0 | -18.342 |  |

Since, one of the values $\mathrm{Zj}-\mathrm{Cj}$ is negative, hence, the solution is not optimum. Therefore, we will proceed from this point forward.
(Note: This is an assignment to the students to proceed from this point forward)

## 3. Deletion of a variable/ activity

Sometimes any activity in the previous model may not be required and needs to be deleted. In that event following steps are followed:

1. If variable is not in the basis, it is superfluous and we can drop it as such and the solution will not be affected by deleting this variable.
2. If variable we want to delete is in the basis, then we assign very high penalty $(M)$ in $C_{j}$ so that it goes out from the basis.

## 4. Addition of a single constraint

A new resource constraint may emerge due to new technology while the activities remain the same. In that case, we can incorporate the new constraint in the optimized solution by following the computational procedure given below:

Consider a LPP model;
$\operatorname{MaxZ} \quad C^{\prime} X$
subject to
$A X \leq b \quad X \geq 0$
Now let thenew constraint be;
$\mathrm{AX} \leq \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}+1}$

Two cases will arise

1. $X_{B}$ satisfies the new constraint
2. $X_{B}$ does not satisfy the new constraint

In (i) it does not affect optimum solution but in (ii) solution is no more optimum. So, we follow the following procedure;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { We know } \\
& A X \leq b \\
& A X+X_{S} \quad b_{m+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

we know that solution vector $\mathrm{Xb}^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{l}X b \\ X s\end{array}\right]$
and matrix $\mathrm{B}^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}b & 0 \\ u & 1\end{array}\right]$
Where; $u=a_{m+1}, 1 ; a_{m+1}, 2 ; a_{m+1}, 3 ; \ldots . . a_{m+1}, n$ i.e. input-output row for new constraint.
Thus,

$$
\left\{\mathrm{B}^{*}\right\}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B^{-1} & 0 \\
-u B^{-1} & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The value of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{*}$ will be given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
X_{B} \\
X_{s}
\end{array}\right]\left[B^{*}\right]^{-1} b^{*} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B^{-1} & 0 \\
-u B^{-1} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
b \\
b_{m+1}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}
$$

$X^{*}$ (for new constraint $=-u X_{B}+b_{m+1}$
Considering the previous optimized LPP

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Max} Z=60 X+50 Y \\
& X+0.12 Y \leq 4 \\
& 12 X+15 Y \leq 60 \\
& 20 X+30 Y \leq 110
\end{aligned}
$$

and the optimum solution;

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 60 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | bi | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| 60 | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 3.8938 | 1 | 0 | 1.1062 | -0.0088 | 0 |
| 50 | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 0.8849 | 0 | 1 | -0.8849 | 0.0737 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 5.5752 | 0 | 0 | 4.4232 | -2.0341 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 277.873 | 60 | 50 | 22.127 | 3.1570 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{Cj}}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 22.127 | 3.1570 | 0 |

In this case, $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{b}}=(3.8938,0.8849,5.575)$
If new constraint is $u=6 X_{1}+4 X_{2}<=35$
Then, the $X^{*}=-[3.8938,0.8849,5.575]\left[\begin{array}{l}6 \\ 4 \\ 0\end{array}\right]+35=8.2412$
Thus, revised optimum solution $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{*}=(3.8938,0.8849,5.5752,8.2412)$
Therefore, the solution is still optimum. However, if the new constraint is $u=6 X_{1}+4 X_{2}<=25$, then, the revised optimum solution will be
$X^{*}=-[3.8938,0.8849,5.575]\left[\begin{array}{l}6 \\ 4 \\ 0\end{array}\right]+25$
$=-1.7588$; which is not optimum and we can solve the problem from this point onwards with any of the methods explained earlier.

## 5. Change in $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}$ column:

When there are some discrete changes in bis, we can find range with in which our optimum solution is not affected. For this, we examine the following condition;
Max. $(-X b i / S i j)<\Delta b i<\operatorname{Min}(-X b / S i j)$
Sij>0
Sij>0
Suppose b is initial resource and we add say q quantities of resources so that new supply changes to constraints is $\bar{b} i$

$$
\text { i.e } \bar{b} 1 \quad b+q \quad q \quad\left[q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} \cdots \cdots q m\right]
$$

The solution will be optimum if $\bar{b} i$ remain non- negative so we compute
$\overline{b^{*}}=\mathrm{A}^{-1} \bar{b}=\mathrm{A}^{-1}(\mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{q})$
$\mathrm{A}^{-1} \mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{A}^{-1} \mathrm{q}$
$b^{*}+A^{-1} q$
where, $\mathrm{b}^{*}$ is the previous optimum solution.
Let us take the previous example;
$\operatorname{Max} Z=60 X_{1}+50 X_{2}$
$\mathrm{X}_{1}+0.12 \mathrm{X}_{2} \leq 4$
$12 \mathrm{X}_{1}+15 \mathrm{X}_{2} \leq 60$
$20 \mathrm{X}_{1}+30 \mathrm{X}_{2} \leq 110$
With optimum solution

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 60 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | bi | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| 60 | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 3.8938 | 1 | 0 | 1.1062 | -0.0088 | 0 |
| 50 | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 0.8849 | 0 | 1 | -0.8849 | 0.0737 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 5.5752 | 0 | 0 | 4.4232 | -2.0341 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 277.873 | 60 | 50 | 22.127 | 3.1570 |  |
| $\mathrm{Zj}-\mathrm{Cj}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 22.127 | 3.1570 | 0 |  |

Now discrete changes in bi can be calculated as;
Max. (-Xbi/Sik) $<\Delta$ bi $<$ Min $(-X b i / S i k)$
Sik $>0$
Sik<0
In our example, b1, b2, b3 can be calculated as;
$\mathrm{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}4 \\ 60 \\ 110\end{array}\right] \quad$ Let change in resource matrix be $\quad \mathrm{q}=\left[\begin{array}{c}-2 \\ -3 \\ 10\end{array}\right]$
Hence, $\bar{b}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{q}=\left[\begin{array}{c}2 \\ 57 \\ 120\end{array}\right]$
$\mathrm{A}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1.1062 & -0.0088 & 0 \\ -0.8849 & 0.0737 & 0 \\ 4.4232 & -2.0341 & 1\end{array}\right]$ please see the solution table given above
So $\overline{b^{*}}=\mathrm{b}^{*}+\mathrm{A}^{-1} \mathrm{q}$
$\left[\begin{array}{l}3.8938 \\ 0.8849 \\ 5.5752\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}-1.1860 \\ 1.5487 \\ 7.2569\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}2.7078 \\ 2.4336 \\ 12.8321\end{array}\right]>0$

So, solution is still optimum and the final value $\mathrm{b}^{*}$ is replaced by 圈* and Zj would be $\mathrm{Zj}^{*}$.

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 60 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | bi | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| 60 | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 2.7078 | 1 | 0 | 1.1062 | -0.0088 | 0 |
| 50 | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 2.4346 | 0 | 1 | -0.8849 | 0.0737 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 12.1730 | 0 | 0 | 4.4232 | -2.0341 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 284.198 | 60 | 50 | 22.127 | 3.1570 |  |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 22.127 | 3.1570 | 0 |

However, if $q$ is decreased by
$\mathrm{q}=\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ -50 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$
$\overline{b^{*}}=\mathrm{b}^{*}+\mathrm{A}^{-1} \mathrm{q}^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}4.3338 \\ -2.7801 \\ 107.2802\end{array}\right]$
This negative value renders the solution infeasible.
Thus, we have to multiply this by ( -1 ) and solve the problem from this point onward.
Starting table

|  |  |  | 60 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-\mathrm{M}^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ |  | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2} \downarrow$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| 60 | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 4.3338 | 1 | 0 | 1.1062 | -0.0088 | 0 | -0.0088 |
| 50 | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 2.7801 | 0 | -1 | -0.8849 | -0.0737 | 0 | 0.0737 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{3} \longleftarrow$ | 107.2802 | 0 | 0 | 4.4232 | -2.0341 | 1 | -2.0341 |
| Zj |  | 399.0330 | 60 | 50 | 22.1270 | -4.2310 | 0 | 3.157 |
| $\mathrm{Zj}-\mathrm{Cj}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 22.1270 | $\mathbf{- 4 . 2 3 1 0}$ | 0 | $3.157+\mathrm{M}$ |

## * We use artificial variable $S_{4}$ as inequality has changed after multiplying with (-).

Since, one $\mathrm{Zj}-\mathrm{Cj}$ is negative, $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ will enter and $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ will leave the basis in the next iteration. (The student may solve this problem as an assignment).

## Dual simplex method

As stated earlier with the help of dual simplex method, we can convert min. problem into max. problem or vice versa. We know that max. problems are easier to solve by using simplex method than the min. problems. Let us consider minimization problem $\operatorname{Min} \mathrm{Z}=3 \mathrm{X}_{1}+2 \mathrm{X}_{2}+\mathrm{X}_{3}+\mathrm{X}_{4}$ subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 X_{1}+4 X_{2}+5 X_{3}+X_{4} \geq 10 \\
& 3 X_{1}-2 X_{2}+7 X_{3}-2 X_{4} \geq 2 \\
& 5 X_{1}+2 X_{2}-X_{3}+6 X_{4} \geq 15
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3} \geq 0
$$

We can convert into dual (max. problem by multiplying with (-1)
Max $Z=-3 X_{1}-2 X_{2}-X_{3}-X_{4}$
subject to
$-2 \mathrm{X}_{1}-4 \mathrm{X}_{2}-5 \mathrm{X}_{3}-\mathrm{X}_{4}<=-10$
$-3 \mathrm{X}_{1}+2 \mathrm{X}_{2}-7 \mathrm{X}_{3}+2 \mathrm{X}_{4}<=-2$
$-5 \mathrm{X}_{1}-2 \mathrm{X}_{2}+\mathrm{X}_{3}-6 \mathrm{X}_{4}<=-15$

## Initial Tableau

|  | Cj | -3 | -2 | -1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cb | b | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | -10 | -2 | -4 | -5 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | -2 | -3 | 1 | -7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 5}$ | -2 | 1 | -6 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Zj Cj | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\frac{\mathrm{Zj-cj}}{y i j}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 / - 5}$ | $2 /-2$ | $1 / 1$ | $4 /-6$ |  |  |  |

We select the max negative bi for outgoing row and max. of the ratio $\frac{Z j-c j}{y i j}, y i j<0$ for deciding incoming row
So, max negative bi $=-15$ and max ratio ( $3 /-5,2 /-2,1 / 1,4 /-6$ ) is $-3 / 5$
First iteration

|  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | -3 | -2 | -1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | -4 | 0 | -3.2 | $-4.6^{*}$ | 1.4 | 1 | 0 | -0.4 |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 7 | 0 | 2.2 | -6.4 | 5.6 | 0 | 1 | -0.6 |
| $-3 \mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 3 | 1 | 0.4 | -0.2 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | -0.2 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | -9 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 |
| $\frac{Z j-c j}{y i j}$ |  |  | $0.8 /-3.2$ | $0.4 /-4.6$ |  |  |  | $0.6 /-0.4$ |
| $y$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

We select the max negative bi (-4) for outgoing row and max. of the ratio $\frac{Z j-c j}{y i j}, y i j<0$ (0.8/-3.2) for deciding incoming row

## Second iteration

|  | Cj | -3 | -2 | -1 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cb | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 0.87 | 0 | 0.69 | 1 | -0.304 | -0.2174 | 0 | 0.0869 |
| $0 \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 12.57 | 0 | 6.65 | 0 | 3.6522 | -1.3913 | 1 | -0.0435 |
| $-3 \mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 2.83 | 1 | 0.26 | 0 | 1.2608 | 0.0435 | 0 | -0.2174 |
| Zj | -9.3478 | 0 | 0.5217 | 0 | 0.5217 | 0.0869 | 0 | 0.5652 |

Since, all $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are positive or zero and all Xb positive, so, optimum solution is obtained.
$\mathrm{X}_{1}=2.83, \quad \mathrm{~S} 1($ disposal $=0.87, \quad$ and $\mathrm{S} 2($ disposal $)=12.57$
$\operatorname{Min} Z(\max -Z)=9.3478$

## UNIT-V

## APPLICATION OF INTEGER PROGRAMMING

The solution derived from LP may not always be the integer value. Although it is tempting to round off non-integer solutions in problem including indivisible resources, but such rounding can result in sub- optimal solutions. As in Fig I the best solution for non-integer will be at A while $B$ presents the rounded off solution which is closest point to point $A$.


Fig 1


Fig 2

A systematic procedure was developed by Gomory R.E. (1958). He made use of dual simplex method to find optimum solution to mixed integer problems.

## All Integer Programming Problem:

Let the optimum solution be;
$b_{i} \quad \sum_{j 1}^{n} \bar{a}_{i j} Y_{j} \cdots \cdots(1)$
where $\bar{b}$ is non-integer
Let $\overline{\mathrm{b}} \quad \hat{b}_{i}+\beta_{i} \quad-(2) \quad \hat{b}$ and $\overline{a_{i j}}$ denote integers contained by thefraction parts from $\bar{b}$ and $\overline{a_{i j}}$

$$
\overline{a_{i j}} \quad \hat{a_{i j}}+\alpha_{i j}-(3)
$$

Thus, $\beta_{\mathrm{i}}$ will be strictly the positive fraction $\left[0<\beta_{\mathrm{i}}<1\right)$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{ij}}$ will be a non-negative fraction $\left(0 \leq \alpha_{i j}<1\right)$
Now with (2) and (3) equation (1) can be written as
$\left(\hat{b}+\beta_{i}\right) \sum_{j 1}^{n}\left(\hat{a_{i j}}+\alpha_{i j}\right) y_{j}$
or $\beta_{i}-\sum_{j 1}^{n} \alpha_{i j} y_{j} \quad-\hat{b}_{i}+\sum_{j 1}^{n} \hat{a}_{i j} y_{j}$

## Steps in integer programming:

1. Solve LPP ignoring integer condition.
2. Test the integer values of variables in final solution.
3. If all desired integer values are obtained, then an optimum solution in integer has been obtained.
4. If the optimum solution contains some non-integer values then proceed to next step
5. Examine the constraint equation
$\sum_{j 1}^{n} \overline{a_{i j}} X_{j} \quad \overline{b_{i}}$
and choose the largest fraction of $\overline{b_{i}}$ let it be $f_{k 0}$
6. Express each of the negative fractions, if any, in the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ row of the optimum simplex tableau as the sum of negative integer and non-negative fraction.
7. Find the Gomory constraint i.e. the fractional cut
$\sum_{j 0}^{n} f_{k j} X_{j} / f_{k 0}$
and append the equation
$\sigma_{1}-f_{k 0}+\sum_{j}^{n^{\prime}} f_{k j} X_{j} \quad$ (fractionalcut)
In this $\sigma_{1}$ is integer
8. Starting with the new set of equations, find new optimum solution so that $\sigma_{1}$ leaves the basis.
9. If this new solution is integer it is also feasible \& optimum. If some of other are noninteger values then repeat again from step V onwards.

## Example 1: Consider the LPP;



And this is the final solution. Now fractional cut is 0.33 of $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ in first row
$0.33 X_{1}+0.33 X_{3}-0.67 X_{4}$
or
$0.33 X_{1}+0.33 X_{3}-X_{4}+0.33 X_{4}$
Thus, fractionalcut is given by
$\sigma_{1}-0.33+0.33 X_{3}+0.33 X_{4}$

|  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{B}$ | $X_{B}$ | $X_{B}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ | $X_{4}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ |
| 1 | $X_{1}$ | 0.33 | 1 | 0 | 0.33 | -0.67 | 0 |
| 1 | $X_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | $\sigma_{1}$ | -0.33 | 0 | 0 | -0.33 | -0.33 | 1 |

We use dual simplex method
drop $\sigma_{1}$ and introduce $X_{3}$

| $C_{B}$ | $X_{B}$ |  | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ | $X_{4}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $X_{1}$ | O | 1 | O | O | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | $X_{2}$ | 2 | O | 1 | O | 1 | O |
| O | $X_{3}$ | 1 | O | O | 1 | 1 | -3 |
|  |  | 2 | O | O | O | 1 | 1 |
| $X_{1}$ | O | $X_{2}$ | 2 |  | and $Z \max$ | 2 |  |

Since, all $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are positive or zero, the final solution has reached with the values $\mathrm{X}_{2}=2$ and $X_{1}=0$
Example 2: Let us consider the problem
$\operatorname{Max} Z \quad 2 X_{1}+2 X_{2}$
subject to
$5 X_{1}+3 X_{2} \leq 8$
$X_{1}+2 X_{2} \leq 4$
$X_{1}, X_{2}>0$
and the final optimum solution is:

| $C b$ | $\boldsymbol{X}_{b}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ X_{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ X_{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \\ S_{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{~S}_{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | O $\sigma_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $X_{1}$ | $4 / 7$ | 1 | O | $\frac{5}{7}$ | $\frac{-3}{14}$ | O |
| 2 | $\boldsymbol{X}_{2}$ | 12/7 | O | 1 | $\frac{-1}{7}$ | $\frac{5}{14}$ | O |
| O | $\sigma_{1}$ | $-5 / 7$ | O | O | $\frac{-6}{7}$ | $\frac{-5 *}{14}$ | 1 |
|  | Zj | $32 /$ | 2 | 2 | 8/7 | 2/7 | O |

Now highest fractional cut is $5 / 7$
Thus we select $S_{2} \&$ row 2
$1+\frac{5}{7} \quad X_{2}-S_{1}+\frac{6}{7} S_{1}+\frac{5}{14} S_{2}$
Thus corresponding Gomory Constraint will be $\sigma_{1}-\frac{5}{7}+\frac{6}{7} S_{1}+\frac{5}{14} S_{2} \quad$ (solve this with dual simplex method)

Note: Final solution is; $X_{1}=1, X_{2}=1, \quad S_{2}=2, Z=4$

## CHAPTER-VI

## GOAL PROGRAMMING

## Goal Programming

Goal programming model is an algorithm and a mathematical model, consisting of linear functions and continuous or discrete variables, in which all the functions are transformed into goals. Goal Programming (GP) is a powerful and flexible technique over other programming models that can be applied to a variety of decision making problems involving multiple objectives. Thus, goal programming may be used to solve linear programs with multiple objectives, with each objective viewed as a "goal". In goal programming, $\mathrm{Yj}+$ and Yj - , deviation variables, are the amounts a targeted goal j is overachieved or underachieved, respectively. The goals themselves are added to the constraint set with $\mathrm{Yj}+$ and Yj - acting as the surplus and slack variables. One approach to goal programming is to satisfy goals in a priority sequence. Second-priority goals are pursued without reducing the first-priority goals, etc. For each priority level, the objective function is to minimize the (weighted) sum of the goal deviations.

## Steps in Goal Programming Formulation

Step 1: Decide the priority level for each goal.
Step 2: Decide the weight on each goal. If a priority level has more than one goal, for each goal i decide the weight, Pi , to be placed on the deviation(s) $\mathrm{Yj}+$ and Yj from the goal.
Step 3: Set up a linear programming problem consider new objectives (minimize deviations), subject to all functional constraints, and goal constraints
Step 4: Solve the current linear programming problem.
The basic approach of goal programming is to establish a specific numeric goal for each of the objectives, formulate an objective function for each objective, and then find a solution that minimizes the (weighted) sum of deviations of these objective functions from their respective goals. There are three possible types of goals:

1. A lower, one-sided goal sets a lower limit that we do not want to fall short (but exceeding the limit is fine).
2. An upper, one-sided goal sets an upper limit that we do not want to exceed the limit (but falling short of the limit is fine).
3. A two-sided goal sets a specific target that we do not want to underachieve or overshoot the target. on either side.

## Field Application of Goal Programming

The model has been employed to develop optimum sustainable irrigated cropping system plans in the command area of Lower Baijnath Kuhl in Himachal Pradesh during 2015-16 by including different alternatives/enterprises, their inter-relations/inter-linkages, technological options and constraints. For possible optimization of cropping systems, LINGO 10.0 version was used to develop farm production plans under different set of goals and available
opportunities under different cropping systems. The programming model was designed for the minimization of penalties keeping in view the prospects of higher income, food security and boundaries of resource constraints (land, labour, capital, etc.).
The mathematical form of goal optimization model employed in this study is as under:
Minimize $Z \quad \sum_{j 1}^{n} P_{j} Y_{j}$

$$
(\mathrm{j}=1,2,3 \ldots \ldots ., \mathrm{n})
$$

Subject to;

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\sum_{k 1}^{l} a_{i k} X_{k} \quad>=<b_{i} & & (\mathrm{k}=1,2,3 \ldots \ldots .1 . ; \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2,3 \ldots \ldots \mathrm{~m}) \\
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad>=0 ; & \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad>=0
\end{array}
$$

(Non-negativity restriction)
where;

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
P_{j} & = & \text { Penalty for not achieving the } \mathrm{j}^{\text {th }} \text { goal } \\
Y_{j} & = & \text { Planned } \mathrm{j}^{\text {th }} \text { goal } \\
a_{i k} & = & \text { Unit contribution/requirement or input-output relationship } \\
X_{k} & = & \text { Number of activities (cropping systems and other enterprises) } \\
b_{i} & = & \text { Level of } \mathrm{i}^{\text {th }} \text { constraint }
\end{array}
$$

The $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}}$ consists of two components $Y_{j}^{+}$and $Y_{j}^{-}$
where;
$Y_{j}^{+}$implies overshooting the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ assigned goal
$Y_{j}^{-}$implies underachieving the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ assigned goal
Thus, the final equations of the model are;

$$
\text { Minimise } Z \quad \sum_{j 1}^{n} P_{j}\left(Y_{j}^{+}+Y_{j}^{-}\right) \quad(\mathrm{j}=1,2,3 \ldots \ldots ., \mathrm{n})
$$

Subject to the following resource constraints;
$\sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{i k} X_{k}-\left(Y_{j}^{+}-Y_{j}^{-}\right) \quad b_{i}$
and,
$X_{k}, \quad Y_{j}^{+}$and $Y_{j}^{-} \quad>=0$

## Objective function (Z)

Objective function consists of the goals or multiple objectives considered for planning. The goals/objectives have been presented by assigning different penalties. Higher the penalty, higher will be the priority and vice-versa. The main penalties fixed for the model are:

1. Land must be fully utilized and there should not be over or under utilization of the cropped land, thus, high penalties for under or over use of land
2. The returns should be increased to the maximum feasible extent by selecting profitable cropping system/enterprise-mix
3. Food security should be achieved as far as possible. For this, the minimum production of foodgrains required for meeting family consumption was considered
4. Minimum green fodder production either for sale or use for maintaining atleast one milch animal on the farm
5. Polyhouse area per farm should not exceed 105 square metre due to management constraint
6. One milch animal per farm to augment farm income

Assigned penalties and components for goal programming are given in Table 1.
Table 1. Penalties and components of goal programming

| Sr. <br> No. | Factors/ constraints | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit contribution/ } \\ & \text { requirement of sub- } \\ & \text { system (per ha) } \\ & \mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{3}, \ldots \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{k}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Inequality | Goal units (per farm) ( $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) | Penalty weights assigned |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $Y_{j}^{+}$ | $Y_{j}^{-}$ |
| 1. | Cultivated land availability (ha) | $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{ik}}$ | <= | $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ | 6 | 10 |
| 2. | RFFR (Rs.) |  | >= | $\mathrm{b}_{2}$ | 0 | 2 |
| 3. | Capital (Rs.) <br> a. No borrowing |  | < $=$ | $\mathrm{b}_{3}$ | 1 | 0 |
|  | b. Borrowing |  | >= |  | 0 | 1 |
| 4. | Labour (days) |  | $<$ | $\mathrm{b}_{4}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 5. | Foodgrains (q) |  | >= | $\mathrm{b}_{5}$ | 0 | 1 |
| 6. | Green fodder (q) |  | >= | $\mathrm{b}_{6}$ | 0 | 1 |
| 7. | Maximum polyhouse area (ha) |  | $=$ | $\mathrm{b}_{7}$ | 1 | 1 |
| 8. | One crossbred cow |  | $=$ | $\mathrm{b}_{8}$ | 1 | 1 |

Different optimized plans were developed to achieve these goals keeping in view the following conditions:

1. $\mathrm{P}_{0}=$ Existing optimized cropping system plan without capital borrowing
2. $\mathrm{P}_{1}=$ Existing optimized cropping system plan with borrowing
3. $P_{2}=$ Existing optimized cropping system plan with borrowing and a polyhouse unit of $105 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ area
4. $P_{3}=$ Improved optimized cropping system plan with borrowing
5. $\mathrm{P}_{4}=\quad$ Improved optimized cropping system plan with borrowing and a polyhouse unit of $105 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ area
6. $\mathrm{P}_{5}=\quad$ Improved optimized cropping system plan with borrowing and one crossbred cow

Table 2. Cropping Systems Yield and Returns

| Farm Activities | Yield (q/ha) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | I Crop I | II Crop | RFFR <br> (Rs./ha) |
| Paddy-Wheat | 21.03 | 21.83 | 45936 |
| Paddy-Berseem | 25 | 377.27 | 46795 |
| Soybean-Potato | 11.43 | 134.05 | 108231 |
| Sorghum (chari)-Potato | 481.82 | 134.09 | 114011 |
| Ginger-Garlic | 50.5 | 20.07 | 299460 |
| Sorghum (chari)-Berseem | 404.76 | 390.48 | 55154 |
| Polyhouse (105m²) |  |  | 8898 |
| Crossbred cow (per cow) | 7.53 litres/day |  | 42520 |

## Optimum Cropping System Plans

The optimum cropping system plans have been developed for the study area with multiple objectives. Table 3 depicts land allocation under optimized plans
Table 3.. Land allocation under existing and optimized cropping system plans
(Per cent area)

| Sr. <br> No | Cropping systems | Farmers' <br> plan | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Paddy-Wheat | 80.52 | 75.24 | 71.52 | 71.48 | 48.52 | 48.52 | 65.95 |
| 2 | Paddy-Berseem | 4.76 | - | 0.10 | 0.10 | 35.24 | 35.24 | - |
| 3 | Soybean-Potato | 4.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | Sorghum (chari)- | 4.33 | 11.43 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Potato |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Ginger-Garlic | 1.10 | - | 8.86 | 3.90 | 16.24 | 11.24 | 16.43 |
| 6 | Sorghum (chari)- | 0.67 | 13.33 | 19.52 | 19.52 | - | - | 17.62 |
|  | Berseem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Polyhouse $\left(105 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ | - | - | - | 5.00 | - | 5.00 | - |
| 8 | Others | 4.10 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | $(0.22)$ | $(0.22)$ | $(0.22)$ | $(0.22)$ | $(0.22)$ | $(0.22)$ | $(0.22)$ |

Note: Figures in parentheses show cultivated area in hectare. $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ also includes one crossbred cow in the plan

## Goals Achieved under Optimized Farm Plans

Table 4 shows the goals achieved in various optimized cropping system plans. The returns to fixed farm resources can be increased from Rs. 11,678 (farmer's plan) to Rs. 86,927 (optimized plan with improved technology and a milch cow).The capital required varies from Rs. 12,043 to Rs. 48,278. Therefore, the capital borrowing increased under optimized plans from Rs. 7,659 in $P_{1}$ to Rs. 36,235 in $P_{5}$. Labour use also increased in optimized plans from existing 41.38 man-days to 141.09 man-days, maximum being in $\mathrm{P}_{5}$. In this way, in optimized plans, the foodgrain production will reduce from $10.37 \mathrm{q} /$ farm to $6.30 \mathrm{q} /$ farm which is a goal to meet the family consumption needs. The milk availability also increased from 1409 litres/ farm $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right.$ to $\left.\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)$ to 2748 litres/farm in $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ sufficient to meet nutritional requirement of family and to increase farm income also. Green fodder production will increase from existing $11 \mathrm{q} /$ farm to $37 \mathrm{q} /$ farm to feed a crossbred cow.

Table 4. Resource use and extent of goals achieved under optimized plans in LB kuhl
(per farm)

| Sr. No. | Particulars | Farmers' plan | $P_{0}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | RFFR (Rs.) | 11678 | 12453 | 14328 | 20456 | 37893 |
| 2 | Capital (Rs.) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | i Capital required | 12043 | 11590 | 19665 | 15614 | 28123 |
|  | ii Capital borrowing | - | - | 7659 | 3559 | 16297 |
| 3 | Human labour used (days) | 41.38 | 42.00 | 42.84 | 59.97 | 52.01 |
| 4 | Cereal availability (q) | 10.37 | 6.60 | 6.30 | 6.30 | 6.30 |
| 5 | Milk availability (litres) | 1409 | 1409 | 1409 | 1409 | 1409 |
| 6 | Green fodder (q) | 11.18 | 37.00 | 37.00 | 37.00 | 37.00 |

Note: increase in milk availability in $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ due to inclusion of a crossbred cow

## UNIT- VII

## DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING

Dynamic programming problem (DPP) is concerned with multi-stage decision making process. Solution to a DPP is achieved sequentially starting from one (initial) stage to the next till the final stage is reached. DPP was developed by Richard Bellman in early 1950. DPP can be given a more significant naming i.e. a recursive optimization. There is an obvious attraction of splitting a large problem into sub problems each of which involve only few variables.
According to Bellman, "An optimal policy has the property that whatever the initial stage and initial decision are, the remaining decisions must constitute an optimal policy with regard to the state resulting from the first decision".

## Recursive Equation

The recursive equation connects the optimal decision function for the N -stage problem with the optimal decision function for the ( $\mathrm{N}-1$ ) stage sub-problem. Thus, solution to DPP can be obtained by using the recursive equation techniques starting from the first to the last (forward solution) or from last to the first (backward solution).

Characteristic of Dynamic Programming

1. The problem can be sub divided into stages with a policy decision arrived at each stage.
2. Every stage consists of a number of states associated with it. The states are the different possible conditions in which the system may find itself at that stage of the problem.
3. Decision at each stage connects the current state into state associated with the next stage.
4. When the current state is known, an optimal policy for the remaining stages is independent of the policy of the previous ones.
5. By deriving the optimal policy for each state of the last stage, the solution procedure starts.
6. To identify the optimum policy for each state of a system a recursive equation is formulated with n stages remaining, given the optimal policy for each state with ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) stages remaining.
7. Using recursive system approach, each time the solution procedure moves forward or backward stage by stage for obtaining the optimum policy of each state for that particular stage, till it attains the optimum policy beginning at the initial stage.

## Example 1: Minimum Path Problem

Let us take the transportation cost from going from Mumbai to Kolkata and finding cost.


## Tabular Method to Solve DPP

Problem:
The above problem can be expressed in tabular form as given below;

1

| 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 4 | 3 |


| 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | 4 | 6 |
|  | 5 |  |
| 3 | 2 | 4 |
| 4 | 1 | 5 |
|  | 7 |  |


| 8 | 9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 |  | 10 |
| 6 | 3 | 8 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 |

Find the shortest route of travelling so that the total travelling cost becomes minimum.

## Solution:

Since, it is a four stage problem, let $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{j}=1,2,3,4)$ be the four decision variables.
Let $f_{j}\left(s, x_{j}\right)$ be the total cost of the best overall policy for the remaining stages, given that the person is in stage $s$ and selects $x_{j}$ as the immediate decision. Given $s$ and $j$, let $x_{j}{ }^{*}$ denote the value of $x_{j}$ that minimizes $f_{j}\left(s, x_{j}\right)$ and let $f_{j}^{*}(s)$ be the corresponding minimum value of $f_{j}(s$, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ).
Thus, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}^{*}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}^{*}\right)$. The objective is to find $\mathrm{f}_{1}{ }^{*}(1)$ and the corresponding policy.
When the person has only one more stage to go, his route is entirely determinate by the final destination. Thus, for one stage problem i.e., for $\mathrm{j}=4$, we have the following table.

Staring from last stage, $\mathrm{j}=4$

| State s | $\mathrm{F}_{4} *(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 3 | 10 |
| 9 | 4 | 10 |

$\mathrm{j}=3, \mathrm{~s}=2$
$\mathrm{F}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{Cs}\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{F}_{4}{ }^{*}\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$

| s | 8 | 9 | $\mathrm{~F}_{3} *(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}{ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | $1+3$ | $4+4$ | 4 | 8 |
| 6 | $6+3$ | $3+4$ | 7 | 9 |
| 7 | $3+3$ | $3+4$ | 6 | 8 |

$j=2$
$\mathrm{F}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{Cs}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{F}_{3} *\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$

| s | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\mathrm{~F}_{2}{ }^{*}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}{ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $7+4$ | $4+7$ | $6+6$ | 11 | 5 or 6 |
| 3 | $3+4$ | $2+7$ | $4+6$ | 7 | 5 |
| 4 | $4+4$ | $1+7$ | $5+6$ | 8 | 5 or 6 |

$\mathrm{j}=1$

| $\mathrm{F}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{Cs}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{F}_{2}^{*}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}{ }^{*}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}{ }^{*}$ |
| 1 |  | $2+11=13$ | $4+7=11$ | $3+8=11$ | 11 |

The optimum solution can now be written. The results for the four stage problem indicate the person should initially go from stage 1 either to state 3 or the state 4 . Suppose that he chooses $\mathrm{x}_{1}{ }^{*}=3$, then the three stage problem results for $\mathrm{s}=3$ is $\mathrm{x}_{2} *=5$. This leads to the two stage
problem, which gives $\mathrm{x}_{3}{ }^{*}=8$ for $\mathrm{s}=5$, and the one stage problem yields $\mathrm{x}_{4}{ }^{*}=10$ for $\mathrm{s}=8$. Hence, one optimal routes are, 1-3-5-8-10. Choosing $\mathrm{x}_{1} *=4$ leads to the other two optimal routes, $1-4-5-8-10$ and 1-4-6-9-10. They all yield a total cost of $f_{1} *(1)=11$.

## Example 2

A sales Co. is making a plan for sales promotions. There are 6 salesman and 3 market segments which are to be assigned to them to increase market penetration. The following table gives the estimated increase in the plurality of customers if it were allowed various no. of salespersons.

| No. of workers | Market1 | Market2 | Market3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 25 | 20 | 33 |
| 2 | 42 | 38 | 43 |
| 3 | 55 | 54 | 47 |
| 4 | 63 | 65 | 50 |
| 5 | 69 | 73 | 52 |
| 6 | 74 | 80 | 53 |

Let 3 segments be 3 stages, the decision variable is $x_{j}, j=1,2,3$, $i$ denote the number of workers at the $i^{\text {th }}$ stage from the previous one.
Let $P_{j}(x j)$ be the expected plurality of customers of xj salesmen in segment j .
Then
$\operatorname{Max} Z=\mathrm{P}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$
Subject to

$$
\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{x}_{3}=6 \quad \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \geq 0
$$

Let there be $s$ workers available for j remaining segments and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$ be the optimal assignment. Define $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ as the value of the optimal assignment for segment 1 through 3 .
Thus for stage I.
$\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=\left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)\right]$
If $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ be the profit associated with optimum solution $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{*}(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{j}=1,2,3$ then
$\mathrm{f}_{1} *(\mathrm{~s})=\max _{0 \leq x_{1} \leq s}\left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)\right]$
Thus, the recurrence equation will be
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{*}+1\left(\mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right), \mathrm{j}=1,2,3$
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}^{*}=\underset{0 \leq x j \leq s}{\max }\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}^{*} *+1(\mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{xj})\right]$
The solution to the problem staring in $\mathrm{j}=1$ with $\mathrm{f}_{3} *$

| $\mathbf{s}$ | $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{*}(\mathbf{s})$ | $\mathbf{x}_{3}{ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 33 | 1 |
| 2 | 43 | 2 |
| 3 | 44 | 3 |
| 4 | 50 | 4 |
| 5 | 52 | 5 |
| 6 | 53 | 6 |

Now for $\mathrm{j}=2$ we have following table

| $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{f}_{3} *\left(\mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Optimal solution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | f2*(s) | x2* |
| 0 | 0+0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | $0+33$ | 20+0 |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 0 |
| 2 | 0+43 | 20+33 | $38+0$ |  |  |  |  | 53 | 1 |
| 3 | 0+47 | 20+43 | 38+33 | 54+0 |  |  |  | 71 | 2 |
| 4 | 0+50 | $20+47$ | $38+43$ | 54+33 | 65+0 |  |  | 87 | 3 |
| 5 | 0+52 | $20+50$ | $38+47$ | 54+43 | 65+33 | $73+0$ |  | 98 | 4 |
| 6 | 0+53 | $20+52$ | $38+50$ | $54+47$ | $65+43$ | $73+33$ | $80+0$ | 108 | 4 |



Thus $\mathrm{x}_{1}{ }^{*}=2, \mathrm{x}_{2}{ }^{*}=3, \mathrm{x}_{3}{ }^{*}=1$
Example 3: Capital Investment
Let us consider the capital investment in different portfolios and the returns there from. We want allocate capital to maximize the returns. We can use DPP.

|  | Returns from |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Capital | I | II | III | IV |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1000 | 2000 | 3000 | 2000 | 1000 |
| 2000 | 4000 | 5000 | 3000 | 3000 |
| 3000 | 6000 | 7000 | 4000 | 5000 |
| 4000 | 7000 | 9000 | 5000 | 6000 |
| 5000 | 8000 | 10000 | 5000 | 7000 |
| 6000 | 9000 | 11000 | 5000 | 8000 |
| 7000 | 9000 | 12000 | 8000 | 8000 |

$\operatorname{Max} Z=P_{1} \mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{X}_{3}+\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{X}_{4}$
Subject to

$$
\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{x}_{3}+\mathrm{x}_{4}=7000
$$

$\mathrm{f}_{1}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})=\left[\mathrm{P}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)\right]+$ which implies
$\mathrm{f}_{1} *(\mathrm{~s})=\underset{0 \leq x_{1} \leq s}{\max }\left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)\right]$
and $\mathrm{fj}^{*}(\mathrm{~s})=\max _{0 \leq x j \leq s}\left[\mathrm{Pj}(\mathrm{xj})+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}^{*} *+1(\mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{xj})\right] \quad \mathrm{j}=1,2,3,4$
now f4*

| s | $\mathrm{f}_{3}{ }^{*}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{x}_{3}{ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 3 | 5 | 3 |
| 4 | 6 | 4 |
| 5 | 7 | 5 |
| 6 | 8 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 | 6 or 7 |


|  | $\mathrm{F}_{3}{ }^{*}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{3}\right)=\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{3}+\mathrm{f}_{4}{ }^{*}\left(\mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\mathrm{~F}_{3}{ }^{*}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}{ }^{*}$ |
| 0 | $0+0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | $0+1$ | $2+0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | $0+3$ | $2+1$ | $3+0$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | $0,1,2$ |
| 3 | $0+5$ | $2+3$ | $3+1$ | $4+0$ |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0,1 |
| 4 | $0+6$ | $2+5$ | $3+3$ | $4+1$ | $5+0$ |  |  |  | 7 | 1 |
| 5 | $0+7$ | $2+6$ | $3+5$ | $4+3$ | $5+1$ | $5+0$ |  |  | 8 | 1,2 |
| 6 | $0+8$ | $2+7$ | $3+6$ | $4+5$ | $5+3$ | $5+1$ | $5+0$ |  | 9 | $1,2,3$ |
| 7 | $0+8$ | $2+8$ | $3+7$ | $4+6$ | $5+5$ | $5+3$ | $5+1$ | $8+0$ | 10 | $1,2,3,4$ |


|  | $\mathrm{F}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{2}\right)=\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{f}_{3} *\left(\mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{x}_{3}\right)\right]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\mathrm{~F}_{3}{ }^{*}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}{ }^{*}$ |
| 0 | $0+0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | $0+2$ | $3+0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |
| 2 | $0+3$ | $3+2$ | $5+0$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1,2 |
| 3 | $0+5$ | $3+3$ | $5+2$ | $7+0$ |  |  |  |  | 7 | 2,3 |
| 4 | $0+7$ | $3+5$ | $5+3$ | $7+2$ | $9+0$ |  |  |  | 9 | 3,4 |
| 5 | $0+8$ | $3+7$ | $5+5$ | $7+3$ | $9+2$ | $10+0$ |  |  | 11 | 4 |
| 6 | $0+9$ | $3+8$ | $5+7$ | $7+5$ | $9+3$ | $10+2$ | $11+0$ |  | 12 | $2,3,4,5$ |
| 7 | $0+10$ | $3+9$ | $5+8$ | $7+7$ | $9+5$ | $10+3$ | $11+2$ | $12+0$ | 14 | 3,4 |

Lastly j for four stage problem

| s | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\mathrm{f}_{1} *(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1} *$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | $0+4$ | $2+12$ | $4+11$ | $6+9$ | $7+7$ | $8+5$ | $9+3$ | $9+0$ | 15 | 2,3 |
|  | 4 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 9 |  |  |

Note: The students can do the same by employing the law of equi-marginal returns as given below and it is interesting to see that results are same from these two approaches.

Solution of LPP through DPP
Max $Z=x_{1}+9 x_{2}$
Subject to
$2 \mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 25$
$\mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 11$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0$

This problem consists of two resources and two variables. The states of Dynamic Program are, therefore, $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{j}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{j}}, \quad \mathrm{j}=1,2$
Thus,
$\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{12}, \mathrm{~B}_{22}\right)=\operatorname{Max}[9 \mathrm{x} 2]$
Where max is taken over $0 \leq x_{2} \leq 25$ and $0 \leq x_{2} \leq 11$
i.e. $\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{12}, \mathrm{~B}_{22}\right)=9 \max _{2}=9 \mathrm{x} \min [25,11]$
since maximum of $x_{2}$ satisfying relations
$\mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 25$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2} \leq 11$ is the min of 25 and 11
$\therefore \mathrm{x}_{2}{ }^{*}=11$
Now $f_{1}\left(B_{11}, B_{21}\right)=\max \left[x_{1}+f_{2}\left(B_{11}-2 x_{1}, B_{21-0}\right)\right]$
Where max is taken over $0 \leq \mathrm{x}_{1} \leq \frac{25}{2}$
As it is the last stage, we substitute the values of $\mathrm{B}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{21}$ as 25 and 11 .
$\mathrm{f}_{1}(25,11)=\max \left[\mathrm{x}_{1}+9 \min \left(25-2 \mathrm{x}_{1}, 11-0\right)\right]$

$$
11 \text { for } 0 \leq x_{1} \leq 7
$$

Now, $\min \left[25-2 \mathrm{x}_{1}, 11\right]=\left[25-2 x_{1}\right.$ for $\left.7 \leq x_{1} \leq \frac{25}{2}\right]$

$$
x 1+99 \forall 0 \leq x_{1} \leq 7
$$

Hence, $\mathrm{x}_{1}+9\left[25-2 \mathrm{x}_{1}, 11\right]=\left[225-17 x \forall 7 \leq x_{1} \leq \frac{25}{2}\right]$
Since the max of both $\mathrm{x}_{1}+99$ and $225-17 \mathrm{x}$ this at $\mathrm{x}_{1}=7$
Thus, $\mathrm{f}_{1}(25,11)=7+9 \mathrm{x} \min [11,11]=106$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}=7, \mathrm{x}_{2}=11, \mathrm{Z}=106$

## Example 4:

$\operatorname{Max} Z=50 x_{1}+100 x_{2}$
Subject to the constraints:
$10 \mathrm{x}_{1}+5 \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 2500$
$4 \mathrm{x}_{1}+10 \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 2000$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}+3 / 2 \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 450$
$\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0$
Solution: The problem consists of three resources and two decision variables. The states of the equivalent dynamic programming problem are $\mathrm{B}_{1 \mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{j}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{3 \mathrm{j}}$ for $\mathrm{j}=1,2$. Thus
$\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{12}, \mathrm{~B}_{21}, \mathrm{~B}_{31}\right)=\max \left\{50 \mathrm{x}_{1}\right\}$
Where max is taken over $0 \leq 10 \mathrm{x}_{1} \leq 2500,0 \leq 4 \mathrm{x}_{1} \leq 2000$ and $0 \leq \mathrm{x}_{1} \leq 450$
i.e. $\quad \mathrm{fl}\left(\mathrm{B}_{12}, \mathrm{~B}_{21}, \mathrm{~B}_{31}\right)=50 \mathrm{x} \max .\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$
$=50 * \min .\left[\frac{2500-5 x_{2}}{10}, \frac{2000-10 x_{2}}{4}, 450-\frac{3}{2} x_{2}\right]$
The second stage problem is to find the value of $f_{2}$
$\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{12}, \quad \mathrm{~b}_{21}, \mathrm{~B}_{31}\right)=\max .\left[100 \mathrm{x}_{2}+50 \min .\left(\frac{2500-5 x_{2}}{10}, \frac{2000-10 x_{2}}{4}, 450-\frac{3}{2} x_{2}\right)\right]$
Now, the maximum value that $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ can assume without violating any constraint is given by
$\mathrm{x}_{2} *=\min \left[\frac{2500}{5}, \frac{2000}{10}, \frac{450}{3 / 2}\right]=200$
Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Min. }\left[\frac{2500-5 x_{2}}{10}, \frac{2000-10 x_{2}}{4}, 450-\frac{3}{2} x_{2}\right] \\
& \\
& \quad=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{2500-5 x_{2}}{10}, \text { if } 0 \leq x_{2} \leq 125 \\
\frac{2000-10 x_{2}}{4}, \text { if } 125 \leq x_{2} \leq 200
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{12}, \mathrm{~B}_{22}, \mathrm{~B}_{32}\right)=\max .0 \leq \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 200$
$\left\{100 \mathrm{x}_{2}+50 \mathrm{X} \min .\left(\frac{2500-5 x_{2}}{10}, \frac{2000-10 x_{2}}{4}, 450-\frac{3}{2} x_{2}\right)\right\}$

$$
=\min .\left\{\begin{array}{l}
100 x_{2}+50\left(\frac{2500-5 x_{2}}{10}\right), \text { if } 0 \leq x_{2} \leq 125 \\
100 x_{2}+50\left(\frac{2000-10 x_{2}}{4}\right), \text { if } 125 \leq x_{2} \leq 200
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
=\max .\left\{\begin{array}{c}
75 \mathrm{x}_{2}+12500, \text { if } 0 \leq \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 125 \\
25000-25 \mathrm{x}_{2}, \text { if } 125 \leq \mathrm{x}_{2} \leq 200
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now max. $\left(75 x_{2}+12500\right)=21875$ at $x_{2}=125$,
And max. $\left(25000-25 x_{2}\right)=21875$ at $x_{2}=125$.
Therefore, $\mathrm{f}_{2}{ }^{0}(2500,2000,450)=21875$ at $\mathrm{x}_{2}{ }^{0}=125$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{1}{ }^{0}=\min .\left(\frac{2500-5 x_{2}{ }^{0}}{10}, \frac{2000-10 x_{2}{ }^{0}}{4}, 450-\frac{3}{2} x_{2}{ }^{0}\right) \\
& =\min .(187.5,187.5,262.5) \\
& =187.5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the optimum solution is

$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}^{0}=187.5, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{0}=125.0
$$

And max. $\mathrm{z}=21875$.

## UNIT-VIII

## GAME THEORY

In many farm situations we have to take decisions when there are two or more opposite parties with conflicting interests, the action of one is dependent upon the action which opposite takes. Such a situation is known as competitive situation. Each player has a number of choices, finite or infinite called strategies. Different strategies represent a loss, gain or a draw. Each outcome of game may be represented as single pay off. A game where a gain of one player equals loss to the other is known a two persons zero- sum- game or rectangular game.

Let us consider the tossing of a coin by players A and B resulting into following pay off matrix; i.e. A gets Rs. 5 for HH and B for TT. A loses Rs. 2 for TH and B Rs. 1 for HT

|  |  | Head | Tail |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Player A | Head | 5 | -1 |
|  | Tail | -2 | 5 |

Optimum solution of two persons zero sum game is obtained by making use of minimax or maximin principle, according to which player A (whose strategies are represented by rows) selects the strategy which maximizes his min. gain, (maximin), the minimum being taken over strategies of B. In similar way, B selects his strategy which minimizes his maximum loss (minimax). The value of the game is the maximum guaranteed gain to A and minimum possible loss to B .

When maximin $=$ minimax value corresponding to pure strategies are called optimal strategies and the game is said to have $a$ saddle point.

In general, maximin (lower value) $\leq$ value of the game $\leq \operatorname{minimax}$ (upper value) i.e.

```
v}\leqv\leq\overline{v
```

If $\underline{\vee} 0 \quad \bar{v}$ fair game
$\underline{\vee} \bar{\vee}$ strictly determin able game

## Rules for Determining Saddle Point

1. Select the minimax element of each row put (*)
2. Select the greatest element of each column put $(+)$
3. It there appeared an element in pay off matrix marked [*] and [ + ] both, the position of the element is saddle point.

Example 1: Find minimax and maximin for the following matrix

Maximum value 1

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1^{*} & 3^{+} & 6^{+} \\
2 & 1^{*} & 3 \\
6^{+} & 2 & 1^{*}
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Minimax value 3
Thus
$\underline{v} \leq v \leq \bar{v}$
$1 \leq \vee \leq 3$
the value of the game lies between $1 \& 3$.
$\underline{\vee} \neq \bar{\vee}$ so game is not strictly determined
Example 2: Let us consider the pay off matrix

|  | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | Row min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{1}$ | $2_{+}^{*}$ | $6^{+}$ | 2 |
| $A_{2}$ | $-2^{*}$ | 4 | -2 |
| umn | 2 | 6 |  |

In this case, maximin $\underline{\vee} 2 \quad \operatorname{minimax} \vee 2$
Hence, $\underline{v}$ v
The best strategy for $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}_{1} \quad$ The best strategy for $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}_{1}$
Value of game $(\vee) \quad 2$
Example 3: Find the range of value of $p$ and $q$ which will render $(2,2)$ as the saddle point

|  |  | Player B |  | Row min. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| Player A | 10 | 7 | q | 7 |
|  | 4 | p | 6 | 4 |
| Col. max | 10 | 7 | 6 |  |

$\underline{\vee}$ maximin value $7 \quad \bar{\vee}$ minimax value 6
Hence, $p \leq 7 \leq q$

Example 4: Given the (3X3) pay off matrix

|  | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | Row min. |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{1}$ | $1^{*+}$ | 3 | $1^{*+}$ | 1 |
| $A_{2}$ | 0 | -4 | -3 | -4 |
| $A_{3}$ | 1 | $5^{+}$ | -1 | -1 |
| Col max. | 1 | 5 | 1 |  |

There are two saddle points $(1,1)$ and $(1,3)$. The optimal strategies $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ for $\mathrm{A} \& \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ for B or $A_{1}$ for $A$ and $B_{3}$ for $B$.
The value of the game is 1 for $A$ and -1 for $B$.

## Practical Exercise:

Solve the game whose pay off matrix is
Player B
Player A $\left|\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathrm{B}_{1} & \mathrm{~B}_{2} & \mathrm{~B}_{3} & \mathrm{~B}_{4} \\ \mathrm{~A}_{1} & 1 & 7 & 3 & 4 \\ \mathrm{~A}_{2} & 5 & 6 & 4 & 5 \\ \mathrm{~A}_{3} & 7 & 2 & 0 & 3\end{array}\right|$

## Game Without Saddle Point

When there is no saddle point, the mixed strategies are said to prevail. In that A \& B select some strategies according to some probability such that;
$S_{A}\left[\begin{array}{lllll}A_{1} & A_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & A_{m} \\ p_{1} & p_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & p_{m}\end{array}\right], \quad p_{1}+p_{2}+\cdots+p_{m} 1$
$S_{B}\left[\begin{array}{lllll}B_{1} & B_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & B_{n} \\ q_{1} & q_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & q_{n}\end{array}\right], q_{1}+q_{2}+\cdots+q_{n} \quad 1$
problem then becomes .. $p_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots \ldots ., m)$ and $q_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots \ldots n)$
Let us consider 2 X 2 game played by A and B

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{B}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~B}_{2} \\
\mathrm{~A}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~A}_{2}
\end{gathered} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}_{11} & \mathrm{a}_{12} \\
\mathrm{a}_{21} & \mathrm{a}_{22}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$S_{A}\left|\begin{array}{ll}A_{1} & A_{2} \\ p_{1} & p_{2}\end{array}\right|, p_{1}+p_{2} \quad 1$
$S_{B}\left|\begin{array}{ll}B_{1} & B_{2} \\ q_{1} & q_{2}\end{array}\right|, q_{1}+q_{2} \quad 1$
Then;
If player $B$ choses $B_{1}$ or $B_{2}$ then net gain of $A$
$E_{1}(p) \quad a_{11} p_{1}+a_{21} p_{2}$
$E_{2}(p) \quad a_{12} p_{1}+a_{22} p_{2}$
$E_{1}(p) \quad E_{2}(p)$
$a_{11} P_{1}+a_{21} P_{2} \quad a_{12} p_{1}+a_{22} p_{2}$
$a_{11} p_{1}-a_{12} p_{1} \quad a_{22} p_{2}-a_{21} p_{2}$
$p_{1}\left[a_{11}-a_{12}\right] \quad p_{2}\left[a_{22}-a_{21}\right]$
$\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}} \frac{a_{22}-a_{21}}{a_{11}-a_{12}}$
Similary, if A choses strategies $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ then;
$E_{1}(q) \quad a_{11} q_{1}+a_{12} q_{2}$
$E_{2}(q) \quad a_{21} q_{1}+a_{22} q_{2}$
$a_{11} q_{1}-a_{21} q_{1} \quad a_{22} q_{2}-a_{12} q_{2}$
$q_{1}\left(a_{11}-a_{21}\right) \quad q_{2}\left(a_{22}-a_{12}\right)$
$\frac{q_{1}}{q_{2}} \quad \frac{a_{22}-a_{12}}{a_{11}-a_{21}}$
Since $p_{1}+p_{2} \quad 1$ and $q_{1}+q_{2} \quad 1$
Therefore,
$p_{1}\left(1-p_{1}\right)\left[\frac{a_{22}-a_{21}}{a_{11}-a_{12}}\right]$
$p_{1} \frac{a_{22}-a_{21}}{a_{11}-a_{12}}-p_{1}\left[\frac{a_{22}-a_{21}}{a_{11}-a_{12}}\right]$
$p_{1}\left[1+\frac{a_{22}-a_{21}}{a_{11}-a_{12}}\right] \quad \frac{a_{22}-a_{21}}{a_{11}-a_{12}}$
$p 1 \frac{a_{22}-a_{21}}{\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)-\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right)}$
and, $p_{2} \frac{a_{11}-a_{12}}{\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)-\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right)}$
Similary, We can also prove that
$q_{1} \frac{a_{22}-a_{12}}{\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)-\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right)}$
$q_{2} \frac{a_{11}-a_{21}}{\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)-\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right)}$
$\mathrm{E}_{1}(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{V}$ (value of game)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V \quad a_{11} p_{1}+a_{21} p_{2} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
a_{11}\left[\frac{a_{22}-a_{21}}{R}\right]+a_{21}\left[\frac{a_{11}-a_{12}}{R}\right] \\
\frac{a_{11} a_{22}-a_{11} a_{21}+a_{11} a_{21}-a_{21} a_{12}}{R} \\
\frac{a_{11} a_{22}-a_{21} a_{12}}{\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)-\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right)}
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Where; R is $=\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)-\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right)$

## Solution of Game Theory by Dominance

When one of the pure strategies of either player is inferior to attract one of the remaining strategies, the superior strategies are said to dominate the inferior ones.
General rules for solution by dominance are;
(1) If all the elements of say $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ row are less than or equal to the corresponding elements of any other row say $\mathrm{r}^{\text {th }}$ then $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ row is dominated by $\mathrm{r}^{\text {th }}$ row $\& \mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ row can be deleted.
(2) If all the elements of say $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ column are greater than $\mathrm{r}^{\text {th }}$ column then $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ column is said to dominate $\mathrm{r}^{\text {th }}$ and, thus, can be deleted.
Example 5: Given the pay off matrix

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{3}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 1 | 7 | 2 |  |
| A | $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 0 | 2 | 7 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | 5 | 1 | 6 |  |

In this game there is no saddle point. However, one observes that $B_{1}$ is better as (loss is minimum) than $B_{3}$ and $B_{3}$ can be dropped.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 1 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | 5 | 1 |

In this $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ is better (as the gain is more) than $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ so $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ can be deleted.
Hence, the game reduces to

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 1 | 7 |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | 5 | 1 |

Thus, by considering mixed strategies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{A}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{1} & A_{2} & A_{3} \\
p_{1} & 0 & p_{3}
\end{array}\right], p_{1}+p_{2} \\
& 1 \\
& S_{B}
\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
B_{1} & B_{2} & B_{3} \\
q_{1} & q_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right], q_{1}+q_{2} \quad 1 .
$$

Now using $2 \times 2$ zero sum game formula for mixed strategies

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{1} \frac{a_{22}-a_{21}}{\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)-\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right)} \\
\frac{(1-5)}{(1+1)-(7+5)} \frac{2}{5}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
p_{2} \quad 1-\frac{2}{5} \quad \frac{3}{5}
$$

$S_{A}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}A_{1} & A_{2} & A_{3} \\ \frac{2}{5} & 0 & \frac{3}{5}\end{array}\right]$
$q_{1} \frac{a_{22}-a_{12}}{\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)-\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right)}$
$\frac{1-7}{-10} \quad \frac{3}{5}$
$q_{2} \quad 1-\frac{3}{5} \quad \frac{2}{5}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{B}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{1} & B_{2} & B_{3} \\
\frac{3}{5} & \frac{2}{5} & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& V \\
& \frac{a_{11} \cdot a_{22}-a_{21} \cdot a_{12}}{\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)-\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right)} \\
& \frac{1 \times 1-7 \times 5}{10}
\end{aligned} \frac{-34}{-10} \quad \frac{17}{5} .
$$

Example 6: Solve the following game by dominance

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ |    <br> $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ 40 -80 <br> $\mathrm{~A}_{3}$ 0 15 | -20 |  |
| 90 | 20 | 50 |  |$|$

As $A_{1}$ is better than $A_{2}$ so $A_{2}$ is dropped. Further, $B_{2}$ is better than $B_{1}$ so $B_{1}$ is deleted. We are left with $2 \times 2$ pay off matrix.

| $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 40 | -80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | 20 | 50 |

Note: the student can solve this game by using the formula of mixed strategies.
Example 7: Solve the game by using dominance method

| $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | -5 | 10 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | -5 | -10 | -10 |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | 5 | -20 | -20 |

Since, $B_{1}$ is better than $B_{3}$ so $B_{3}$ can be deleted. Similarly $A_{2}$ is better than $A_{3}$ so $A_{3}$ can be deleted. Thus, $2 \times 2$ pay off matrix is
$B_{1} \quad B_{2}$

| $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | -5 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 5 | -10 |

Note the student may solve this problem by using the formula of mixed strategies given eached.

## Practical Exercise

Solve the following game by dominance property
Player B

|  |  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 2 | 8 | 3 |
| Player A | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | 0 | 3 | 9 |
|  | $\mathrm{~A}_{3}$ | 6 | 1 | 7 |$|$

## Graphical Solution

Graphical solution enables us to reduce $2 \times n$ or $m \times 2$ games to simplex $2 \times 2$ games and then solve the $2 \times 2$ game.

## Steps:

(1) Plot the A's expected pay off against B on axis 1 and 2 .
(2) Find the maximin on the lower half of the envelop. Let it be H .
(3) Identify the lines (strategies) passing through H and then solve by 2 x 2 formula.

## Example 8:

| Player A | Player B |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 1311 |
|  | $8 \quad 5 \quad 2$ |

Let $S_{A}\left[\begin{array}{ll}A_{1} & A_{2} \\ p_{1} & p_{2}\end{array}\right]$ where $p_{2} \quad 1-p_{1}$ against $B$
Hence, $A$ 's expected pay off against $B^{\prime}$ s is
$E_{1}(p) \quad p_{1}+8 p_{2} \quad p_{1}+8-8 p_{1} \quad-7 p_{1}+8$
$E_{2}(p) 3 p_{1}+5 p_{2} \quad-2 p_{1}+5$
$E_{3}(p) \quad 9 p_{1}+2$
Now plotting these as functionof $p_{1}$ on two axis(with $0 \& 1$, values)


Thus, two lines correspond to pay off

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 3 | 11 |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 5 | 2 |

We can now solve this as $2 \times 2$ pay off matrix with formula for mixed strategies
$p_{1} \frac{3}{11}, p_{2} \quad \frac{8}{11}$
$q_{1} \quad 0, q_{2} \quad \frac{2}{11}$
$V($ value $) \frac{49}{11}$
Example 9: If the game is like given below

|  | Player B |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Player A | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~B}_{2}$ |
| 1 | -3 |  |
| 3 | 5 |  |
| -1 | 6 |  |
| 4 | 1 |  |
| 2 | 2 |  |
| -5 | 0 |  |

In this case plot B's pay off against A's
Therefore,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
E_{1}\left(q_{1}\right) \quad q_{1}+3 q_{1}-3 \quad 4 q_{1}-3 \\
E_{2}\left(q_{1}\right)-2 q_{1}+5 \\
E_{3}\left(q_{1}\right)-7 q_{1}+6 \\
E_{4}\left(q_{1}\right) \\
E_{5}\left(q_{1}\right)-2 \\
E_{6}\left(q_{1}\right) \\
\hline
\end{array}\right)-5 q_{1} \quad l l
$$

Thus we plot and use minimax criterion on upper undeveloped of the graph


The two lines are w.r.t. pay off

|  | Player B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Player A | 4 | 1 |
|  | 3 | 5 |

Now we can solve this $2 \times 2$ game by using formula of mixed strategies.

## Solution of Game by Linear Programming

We can formulate and solve the games through LPP
We know that given the pay off matrix of the game A and B

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} & a_{11} & a_{1 n} \\
\hdashline a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} & a_{m 2} & a_{m n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then, we can have the following relation for p

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{11} p_{1}+a_{21} p_{1} \ldots \ldots+a_{m 1} p_{m} \geq v \\
& a_{12} p_{1}+a_{22} p_{1} \ldots \ldots+a_{m 2} p_{m} \geq v \\
& a_{13} p_{1}+a_{23} p_{1} \ldots . .+a_{m 3} p_{m} \geq v \\
& a_{1 n} p_{1}+a_{m} p_{n} \ldots . .+a_{m_{n}} p_{n} \geq v
\end{aligned}
$$

for q same is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{11} q_{1}+a_{21} q_{1} \ldots \ldots+a_{m 1} q_{n} \leq v \\
& a_{12} q_{1}+a_{22} q_{1} \ldots \ldots+a_{m 2} q_{n} \leq v \\
& a_{13} q_{1}+a_{23} q_{1} \ldots \ldots+a_{m 3} q_{n} \leq v \\
& a_{1 n} q_{1}+a_{m} q_{n} \ldots \ldots+a_{m_{n}} q_{n} \leq v
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing both sides by v i.e. value of game
$a_{11} \frac{p_{1}}{v}+a_{21} \frac{p_{2}}{v} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots+a_{m 1} \frac{p_{m}}{v} \geq 1$
and for $q$
$a_{11} \frac{q_{1}}{v}+a_{12} \frac{q_{2}}{v} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots+a_{1 n} \frac{q_{n}}{v}>\leq 1$

Thus, we frame the problem as
For player A
Max $v$ or min $1 / v=p_{1}{ }^{\prime}+p_{2}{ }^{\prime} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . p_{m}{ }^{\prime}$
Subject to
$a_{11} p_{1}{ }^{\prime}+a_{21} p_{2}{ }^{\prime} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . a_{2 n} p_{m}{ }^{\prime} \geq 1$
$a_{1 n} p_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2 n} p_{2}{ }^{\prime} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . a_{m n} p_{m}{ }^{\prime} \geq 1$
and $\mathrm{pi}=\mathrm{p}$ '* v
Similarly, for player $B$ the problem is
Min $v$ or max $1 / v=q_{1}{ }^{\prime}+q_{2}{ }^{\prime} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . q_{n}{ }^{\prime}$
Subject to

$$
\vdots
$$

We can give the value of $1 / v=q_{0}$ And the $q_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ and then find out

and we can find out the value of p 1 ' directly from dual values of the final tableau


Example 10: Given the following pay off matrix, solve the game by using LPP B
$A\left|\begin{array}{ccc}3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 & 1\end{array}\right|$
Then, we can formulate the LP problem is;

Subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 q_{1}^{\prime}+q_{2}^{\prime}+q_{3}^{\prime} & \leq 1 \\
q_{1}{ }^{\prime}+q_{2}{ }^{\prime}+5 q_{3}^{\prime} & \leq 1 \\
q_{1}{ }^{\prime}+4 q_{2}^{\prime}+q_{3}^{\prime} & \leq 1 \\
q_{1}^{\prime}+q_{2}^{\prime} & q_{3}^{\prime}
\end{aligned} \leq 0
$$

Initial Tableau

| S | Xb | b | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | R min |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 3$ |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $1 / 5$ |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $1 / 4$ |
| $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Solve this by simplex iterations
And the final solution is

| Cb | Xb |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{q}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $6 / 25$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | $19 / 25$ | $-3 / 50$ | $-2 / 25$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{q}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $3 / 25$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | $-3 / 50$ | $11 / 50$ | $-1 / 25$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{q}_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ | $4 / 25$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $-2 / 25$ | $-1 / 25$ | $7 / 25$ |
|  | $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | $13 / 25$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $6 / 25$ | $3 / 25$ | $4 / 25$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{11} q_{1}{ }^{\prime}+a_{21} q_{2}{ }^{\prime} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . a_{2 n} q_{n}{ }^{\prime} \leq 1 \\
& a_{1 n} q_{1}{ }^{\prime}+a_{2 n} q_{2}{ }^{\prime} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . a_{m n} q_{n}{ }^{\prime} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Value of gamev $\frac{1}{q_{0}} \quad 1 * \frac{25}{13}$
The strategies are;
$q_{1} \frac{q_{1}{ }^{\prime}}{q_{0}} \quad \frac{6}{25} * \frac{25}{13} \quad \frac{6}{13}$
$q_{2} \quad \frac{4}{25} * \frac{25}{13} \quad \frac{6}{13}$
$q_{3} \quad \frac{3}{25} * \frac{25}{13} \quad \frac{3}{13}$
The strategy for A can be found from dual value as

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1}^{\prime} & \frac{6}{13} \text { or } \frac{6}{25} * \frac{25}{13}
\end{aligned} \frac{6}{13} 0
$$

Value of game $=\frac{25}{13}$

## UNIT IX

## INVENTORY MANAGEMENT

Inventory, in wider sense, is defined as idle resource stock of an enterprise. It is a physical stock of goods kept for future use. It may be raw material, packaging, spares and other stock in order to meet an unexpected demand or distribution in future.

## Importance of Keeping Inventory

(1) Smooth and efficient running of business
(2) Providing adequate service to customers
(3) Take advantage of price discounts of bulk.
(4) Serve as a buffer in case of delayed delivery of raw materials
(5) Provide flexibility to allow changes in production plans
(6) Make possible economies of scale in transportation, clearing \& forwarding

## Inventory Control

Inventory control includes the following pertinent aspects;
(a) When should an order for materials be placed?
(b) How much flow should be produced \& what quantity should be ordered each time?
(c) Whether or not to avail quantity or price discounts?

## Concepts/Definition

1. Set up Cost (CS): Cost associated with setting up of machinery before starting production. It is independent of quantity ordered or produced.
2. Ordering Cost: Cost associated with ordering of raw material, advertisement, postage, stationary, telephone, TA/DA etc.
3. Production Cost/Purchase Price Cost: When large production runs are in process, these results in reduction of production cost/quantity or price discounts.
4. Holding or Storage Cost: Cost of storage i.e. lose when goods are in short supply
5. Shortage Cost: These are the costs involved with the inadequacy of stock to the demand. Shortage may result in cancellation of orders \& losses in sale \& or goodwill or profit.
6. Demand: No. of required per period and may be known or unknown. DD may be deterministic (if fixed) and probabilistic if variable.
7. Lead Time: Time between placing an order and its actual arrival in inventory is known as lead time.
8. Stock Replenishment: The actual replacement of stock by new inventory.

## Important Notations

$\mathrm{Q}=$ Lot size in each production run
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}=$ Set up cost of production run
$\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Inventory holding cost/unit/time
$\mathrm{C}_{2}=$ Shortage cost/unit/time
I = Cost of carrying one Re in inventory for a unit time (Storage cost).
$\mathrm{P}=$ Cost of one item of product in Rs.
r = Demand rate
$\mathrm{k}=$ Production/supply rate
L = Lead time
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ Average total cost per unit time
B = Buffer stock
$\mathrm{t}=$ Time interval between two consecutive replenishment

## Inventory Models

Model I: Lot size problem with no shortages
Demand is known \& Q denote lot size
No shortages permitted
Production or supply is instantaneous
Holding cost $\mathrm{C}_{1} \&$ set up $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$
D (Demand) $=\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{Q}$
Average inventory $=\frac{1}{2} Q$
Total inventory over time t is $\frac{1}{2} Q$
Inventory Model with no shortages


So inventory on any day $=\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} Q t}{t}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} Q$
Holding cost $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{Q})=\frac{1}{2} Q C_{1} \quad\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}=\right.$ holding cost per unit $)$
Set up cost $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{Q})=\mathrm{nC}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{D}{Q} C_{s}$
Total $\cos t \quad C_{A} \quad \frac{1}{2} Q C_{1}+\frac{D}{Q} C_{S}$


We want to minimize total cost.
$\frac{\partial C_{A}}{\partial Q} \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{2} C_{1}-\frac{D}{Q^{2}} C_{S}$
$\frac{D}{Q^{2}} C_{S} \quad \frac{1}{2} C_{1}$
$\frac{1}{2} Q^{2} C_{1} \quad D C_{S}$
$Q^{2} C_{1} \quad 2 D C_{S}$
$Q^{2} \frac{2 D C_{S}}{C_{1}}$
$Q^{0} \sqrt{\frac{2 D C_{S}}{C_{1}}}$
$t \frac{Q}{D} \sqrt{\frac{2 D C_{S}}{C_{1}}} \cdot \frac{1}{D} \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S}}{C_{1} D}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{A} & \frac{1}{2} Q C_{1}+\frac{D}{Q} C_{S} \\
& \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2 D C_{S}}{C_{1}}} \cdot C_{1}+\frac{D}{\sqrt{\frac{2 D C_{S}}{C_{1}}}} \cdot C_{S} \\
& \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2 D C_{S} C_{1}}+\sqrt{\frac{2 D C_{S} C_{1}}{4}} \\
& \sqrt{2 D C_{S} C_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sqrt{2 D C_{S} C_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Model II: Inventory with finite rate of replenishment \& no shortages.
Let rate of replenishment is $k$ units per unit time. Let each production of length $t$ consists of two parts $\mathrm{t}_{1} \& \mathrm{t}_{2}$ such that
i.) At $t_{1}$ inventory is building at constant rate (k-r)
ii.) At $t_{2}$ there is no replenishment and inventory decreasing at $r$ per unit time

Inventory model with replenishment


At the end of $t_{1}$, let the level of inventory be $S$ and at the end of $t_{2}$, let it be zero; then
$S \quad t_{1}(k-r)$ and $S \quad t_{2} r$
if $Q$ is lot size we must have
$S \quad Q-r t$ where $Q$ is total quantity and $r t_{1}$ is utilized in time $t_{1}$
thus; $\quad S(Q-S)(k-r) / r$
$r S \quad k Q-k S-r Q+S^{\prime} r$
$k Q-k S-r Q \quad 0$
$k S \quad k Q-r Q$
$S\left(\frac{(k-r) Q}{k}\right)$
Total inventoryin $t\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)$ (total area of $1^{\text {st }}$ triangle)
$\frac{1}{2} S\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)$
and average inventory is $\frac{1}{2} S\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) /\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} S$

Thus the annual inventory holding cost is given by
$\frac{1}{2} S C_{1} \quad \frac{1}{2}(k-r) Q \frac{C_{1}}{k}$
set up $\cos t$ for unit time $t$ is $\frac{C_{S}}{\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)}$
$\sin c e t_{1}+t_{2} \quad \frac{S}{k-r}+\frac{S}{r} \quad \frac{S k}{r(k-r)}$

$$
\frac{(k-r) Q / k}{r(k-r)} \cdot k \quad \frac{Q}{r}
$$

so total $\cos t$ per unit is
$C_{A} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k-r}{k}\right) Q C_{1}+\frac{r}{Q} C_{s}$
$\frac{\partial C_{A}}{\partial Q} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k-r}{k}\right) C_{1}+\frac{r}{Q^{2}} C_{S} \quad 0$
$\frac{r}{Q^{2}} C_{s} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k-r}{k}\right) C_{1}$
$Q^{2}(k-r) C_{1} \quad 2 k r C_{s}$
$Q^{0} \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{s}}{C_{1}} \cdot \frac{r k}{k-r}} \quad$ (Optimumquantity)
$t^{0} \quad \frac{Q^{0}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S}}{C_{1}} \cdot \frac{r k}{k-r}} \cdot \frac{1}{r}$
$\sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S}}{r C_{1}} \cdot \frac{k}{k-r}}$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \sqrt{2 C_{S} C_{1} r} \sqrt{\frac{k-r}{k}}$

Example 1: Let us consider the following inventory (lot size) problem with no shortages.
D 600 units per year
$C_{1}$ Rs. 0.60 /unit/ year
$C_{S} \quad$ Rs. 80.00 per production run.Thus,optimumlotsize is
$Q^{0} \sqrt{\frac{2 D C_{S}}{C_{1}}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 600 \times 80 \times 100}{0.60}}$
$\sqrt{160000} 400$ units;

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
t & \frac{Q^{0}}{D} & \frac{400}{600} & \frac{2}{3} \text { years or } 8 \text { months } \\
C_{A} & \sqrt{2 D C_{S} C_{1}} & \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 600 \times 80 \times 0.60}{100}} \\
& & \sqrt{57600} 240
\end{array}
$$

No. of orders

$$
\frac{D}{Q^{0}} \quad \frac{600}{400} \quad 1.5 \text { orders per year }
$$

Example 2: Let us consider the following inventory problem with finite rate of replenishment of no shortages.
$C_{1} \quad$ Rs 0.01 per day
$C_{S} \quad$ Rs 100 per set up
$r 25$ units per day
k 50 units per day
$Q^{0} \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S}}{C_{1}}} \sqrt{\frac{r k}{k-r}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 100}{0.01}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{25 \times 50}{50-25}} \sqrt{20000 \times 50} \quad \sqrt{1000000} \quad 1000$ units
$t^{0} \quad \frac{Q}{r} \quad \frac{1000}{25} \quad 40$ days
$C_{A} \quad \sqrt{2 C_{S} C_{1} r} \sqrt{\frac{k-r}{k}} \sqrt{2 \times 100 \times 0.01 \times 25} \times \sqrt{\frac{50-25}{50}} \quad \sqrt{50 \times \frac{1}{2}} \quad$ Rs 5 perday
so total cost per unit $5 \times 40$ Rs. 200

Model III: Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) System of Ordering
EOQ system of ordering


1. E.O. $\mathrm{Q} \Rightarrow \mathrm{Q}^{0}=\sqrt{\frac{2 D C_{S}}{C_{1}}}$
2. Buffer stock $(B)=[$ Max. lead time - Normal lead time $] \times r$, where r is the rate of consumption or use.
3. Re-order level (ROL) will be
R.O.L $=$ Buffer stock + Normal lead time consumption
a. The maximum inventory will be $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{Q}^{0}$
b. $\quad$ Minimum inventory $=B$
c. Average inventory $=\mathrm{B}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Q}^{0}$

Example 3: From the following example we can find out the economic order quality (EOQ).
$\mathrm{D}=10000$ units $\quad$ cost of one unit $=$ Rs. 100
$\mathrm{C}_{1}=$ Rs 0.24/unit
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ Rs 12.00 per run
Past lead time $=15$ days \& 30 days
$Q^{0}(E O Q) \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S} D}{C_{1}}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 12 \times 10000}{0.24}} \quad 1000$ units
Optimum Buffer Stock $(M L-N L) r$

$$
\frac{(30-15)}{30} \times \frac{10000}{12} \quad 416.66 \text { or say } 450 \text { units }
$$

Normal lead time consumption $\frac{15}{30} \times \frac{10000}{12}$
416.66 units

ROL $450+417867$ units
Max Inventory $450+1000$ 1450, Minimum inventory 450 units
Average inventory $\quad 450+\frac{1000}{2}$

$$
450+500 \quad 950 \text { units or } \frac{1450+450}{2} 950 \text { units }
$$

Model IV: E.O.Q with shortage

$t \quad t_{1}+t_{2}$
$Q \quad Q_{1}+Q_{2}$
Total inventory over time $=\frac{1}{2} Q_{1} t_{1}$
Averageinventory $\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} Q_{1} t_{1}\right)}{t}$
Holding $\cos t \frac{C_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} Q_{1} t_{1}\right)}{t}$
Total amount of shortage in $\mathrm{t}_{2}=\frac{1}{2} Q_{2} t_{2}$

Shortage cost $=\frac{C_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) Q_{2} t_{2}}{t}$
Set up cost associated with run of size $\mathrm{Q}=\frac{D C_{S}}{Q}$
Therefore, total $\operatorname{cost} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$ will be;
$C_{A} \frac{C_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} Q_{1} t_{1}\right)}{t}+C_{2}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} Q_{2} t_{2}}{t}\right)+\frac{D}{Q} C_{S} \cdots \cdots(A)$
Now triangles are symmetrical so
$\frac{t_{1}}{t} \quad \frac{Q_{1}}{Q} ; \frac{t_{2}}{t} \quad \frac{Q_{2}}{Q}$
$t_{1} \quad \frac{Q_{1}}{Q} t, t_{2} \quad \frac{Q_{2}}{Q} t$
substituting these values in $\left(C_{A}\right)$ we get
$C_{A} \quad \frac{1}{2} C_{1}\left(\frac{Q_{1}^{2}}{Q}\right)+\frac{1}{2} C_{2}\left[\frac{\left(Q-Q_{1}\right)^{2}}{Q_{1}}\right]+C_{s} \frac{D}{Q}$
$\frac{\partial C_{A}}{\partial Q_{1}} \quad \mathbf{O}$
$\partial C_{A}$
O
$\partial Q$
on simplification the optimum quantity will be
$Q^{0} \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S} D}{C_{1}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)}{C_{2}}}$
$Q_{1} \quad \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S} D}{C_{1}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{C_{2}}{\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)}}$
$\frac{C_{2}}{\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)}{C_{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S} D}{C_{1}}}$
$C_{A} \quad \sqrt{2 C_{S} C_{1} D} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{C_{2}}{\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)}}$
and if inventory is replenished then

$$
Q^{0} \quad \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S}\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)}{C_{1} C_{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{r k}{k-r}}
$$



Example 4: Let us consider the following example
$C_{1} \quad$ Rs. 16.00 per item per month
$C_{2} \quad$ Rs 10.00 per item per day
$C_{S} \quad R s 10,000$
D 25 items per day
$Q^{0} \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S} D}{C_{1}}} \sqrt{\frac{C_{2}}{C_{1}+C_{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 10000 \times 25}{16 / 30}} \sqrt{\frac{10}{\left(\frac{16}{30}+10\right)}}$ 943 items (approx)
$t^{0} \quad \frac{Q^{0}}{D} \quad \frac{943}{25} \quad 38$ days
Model V: Inventory Model with Price Breaks

## Quantity Discounts:

The basic EOQ model assumes a fixed price, therefore, the total cost equation does not include the price of the item, because it remains constant and is not a relevant factor in
deciding the quantity to be ordered/stored. Let us now consider a model that includes the value of an item as a factor in order to take into account the quantity discounts. The incremental cost associated with such a system is then;
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{Q})=$ Annual cost of placing order + Annual purchase cost of D items + Annual holding cost for inventory
$C_{A}(Q) \frac{C_{s} D}{Q}+D K+K P \frac{Q}{2}$
where $K$ is the cost/price per unit of $P$ fraction of inventory value $\left(K P=C_{1}\right)$
The optimum quantity will be $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{0}\right)$
Let the price breaks are available at $b_{n}$ quantity.


## Steps:

1. Compute $Q_{n}{ }^{0}$ if $Q_{n} \geq b_{n-1}$, the optimum purchase quantity is reached.
2. If $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}<\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$, then compute $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}-1}{ }^{0}$. If $Q_{n-1}^{0} \geq b_{n-2}$ avail the price break and compare $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$ with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$.
3. If $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}-1}<\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}-2}$, compute $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}-2}^{0}$, if $Q_{n-2} \geq b_{n-3}$ avail two price breaks by comparing $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}-\right.$ ${ }_{2}$ ) and $C_{A}\left(b_{n-2}\right)$ and $C_{A}\left(b_{n-1}\right)$, continue in this way.

Example 5: Consider the following inventory model.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=5.00, \mathrm{D}=1600$ units, $\mathrm{b}=800$
$\mathrm{P}=$ Rs. $0.10, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=$ Rs. $1, \mathrm{~K}_{2}=$ Rs. 0.98 (with price breaks)
$Q_{2}{ }^{0} \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{s} D}{K_{2} P}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 5 \times 1600}{(0.10)(0.98)}} \quad 404$ units
Now $Q_{2} \quad 404, b \quad 800 \quad Q_{2}<b$
So using step 2 we compute $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$
$Q_{1}{ }^{0} \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 5 \times 1600}{(0.10)(1)}} \quad 400$ units
Now $\mathrm{Q}_{1}{ }^{0}<\mathrm{b}$, we compare the cost by computing least quantity which will entail in a price break

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{A}\left(Q_{1}{ }^{0}\right) & \sqrt{2 C_{S} D K P}+D K_{1} \\
& \sqrt{2 \times 5 \times 1000 \times 1 \times 0.10}+1600 \times 1 \\
& R s .1640
\end{aligned}
$$

and $C_{A}(b) \quad C_{S} \frac{D}{b}+D K_{2}+K_{2} P \frac{b}{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \times \frac{1600}{800}+1600 \times 0.98+\frac{1}{2}(0.98) \times 0.1 \times \frac{800}{2} \\
& \text { Rs. } 1617.20
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that
$1617<$ Rs. 1640 i.e $\quad C_{A}(b)<C_{A}\left(Q_{1}\right)$
Hence, the optimum purchase quantity should be b 800 units
Example 6: Let us consider inventory model with two price breaks is;
Twoprice breaks
$0 \leq Q_{1}<50$ Unit Cost
$50 \leq Q_{2}<100$
10
$100 \leq Q_{3}$
$D \quad 200, C_{S} \quad 20, \quad P \quad 0.25$,
$Q_{3} \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S} D}{K_{3} P}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 20 \times 200}{8 \times 0.25}} \quad 63$
$63<100 \quad Q_{3}<b$, so we compute
$Q_{2} \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S} D}{K_{2} P}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 20 \times 200}{9 \times 0.25}} \quad 60$
$\mathrm{Q}_{2}>\mathrm{b}_{1}(50)$, the optimum purchase quantity is determined by comparing $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)$ with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{b}_{2}\right)$
$C_{A}\left(Q_{2}\right) \quad 20 \times \frac{200}{60}+200 \times 9+9 \times 0.25 \times \frac{60}{2}$
1934.16
$C_{A}\left(b_{2}\right) \quad 20 \times \frac{200}{100}+200 \times 8+8 \times 0.25 \times \frac{100}{2} \quad 1740$
$\sin$ ce $C_{A}\left(Q_{2}\right)>C_{A}\left(b_{2}\right)$, so optimum purchase is 100 units

## Example 7: Consider 3 price breaks as given below;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { D } 400 \text { units per month } \\
& \text { P 20\%, } C_{S} \quad \text { Rs. } 25.00 \\
& \begin{array}{lc}
\text { Range } & \text { Price Breaks } \\
0 \leq Q_{1}<100 & R s 20.00 \\
100 \leq Q_{2}<200 & R s .18 .00 \\
200 \leq Q_{3} & R s .16 .00 \\
Q_{3}{ }^{0} & \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S} D}{K_{3} P}}
\end{array} \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 25 \times 400 \times 10}{16 \times 0.2}} \quad 79 \text { units }
\end{aligned}
$$

SinceQ2 $<200$, so weexamine Q2
$Q_{2}{ }^{0} \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S} D}{K_{2} P}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 25 \times 400}{18 \times 0.2}} \quad 75$
$\sin$ ce $Q_{2}<b_{1} \quad 100$, so we exa mine $Q_{1}$
$Q_{1}{ }^{0} \sqrt{\frac{2 C_{S} D}{K_{1} P}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 25 \times 400}{20 \times 0.2}} \quad 70$
Now $C_{A}\left(Q_{1}\right) \quad 25 \times \frac{400}{70}+400 \times 20+20 \times 0.2 \times \frac{400}{2} \quad 8283$
or $C_{A}(Q) \quad \sqrt{2 C_{S} D K_{1} P}+D K_{1}$
$\sqrt{2 \times 25 \times 400 \times 20 \times 0.2}+400 \times 20$
$283+8000$ Rs. 8283
$C_{A}\left(b_{1}\right) \quad 25 \times \frac{400}{100}+400 \times 18+18 \times \frac{0.2}{10} \times \frac{100}{2}$ $100 \times 7200+180 \quad 7480$
$C_{A}\left(b_{2}\right) \quad 25 \times \frac{400}{200}+400 \times 16+16 \times \frac{0.2}{10} \times \frac{200}{2}$
$50+6400+320$ Rs. 6770
Hence, $Q^{0} \equiv b_{2} \quad 200$ units

## UNIT- X

## NON-LINEAR PROGRAMMING

Non Linear programming deals with the optimizing an objective function in the presence of equality and inequality constraints
let $Z$ be a real valued function of a variable

$$
Z \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)
$$

let $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3} b_{m}\right)$ be a set of constraints such that
$\mathrm{g}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq \geq=\mathrm{b}_{1}$
$\mathrm{g}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq \geq=\mathrm{b}_{2}$
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \ldots \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \leq \geq=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}$
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \geq 0 \quad \mathrm{j}=1,2,3, \cdots \cdots \mathrm{n}^{2}$
in generalized form, GNLPP may be written as;
$Z=f(x)$ where $f(x)$ is non-linear
Subject to

$$
\operatorname{gi}(\mathrm{x}) \leq \geq=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} \geq=0
$$

where $f(x)$ or $g(x)$ or both are non-linear, sometimes, it is convenient to write as
gi $(x) \leq \geq=b_{i}$ or hi $(x) \leq \geq=0$
where; $h_{i}(x)=g_{i}(x)-b_{i}$

## Kuhn Tucker Conditions

Kuhn Tucker conditions offer the necessary and sufficient conditions for solving non-linear problems. Kuhn Tucker conditions for maximisation are:
$f_{i} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} h_{i}(i \quad 1,2,3, \ldots \ldots m)$
$\lambda_{i} h^{\prime} \quad 0 \quad(i \quad 1,2,3, \ldots \ldots m)$
$h_{i}=\leq 0 \quad(i \quad 1,2,3, \ldots \ldots m)$
$\lambda_{i} 0 \quad(i \quad 1>2,3, \ldots \ldots m)$
$h_{j}^{\prime} \frac{\partial h^{i}}{\partial x_{j}}$
Constrained minima or maxima
$Z=f(x)$
Subject to

$$
\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{c}
$$

$h x=g x-c$
We define Langrangian function $L\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots . . \lambda\right)$ as;
$\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \ldots \ldots . \lambda\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \ldots \ldots\right)-\lambda \mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \ldots \ldots\right)$
Find partial derivatives

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{1}} & f_{1}-\lambda h_{1} & 0 \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{2}} & f_{2}-\lambda h_{2} & 0
\end{array}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
..........................

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{n}} \quad f_{n}-\lambda h_{n} \quad 0
$$

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda}-h \quad 0
$$

We can solve these equations and find the values of $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots$. and.$\lambda$
The necessary and sufficient conditions for max. (min.) of the objective functions are concave (convex) and the constraints are equalities.
The sufficient conditions are given by the evaluation of principal minors

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\lambda \\
x_{1} \\
\ldots \\
x_{n}
\end{gathered}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
L_{\lambda \lambda}^{\prime} & L_{\lambda x 1}^{\prime} & \ldots & L_{\lambda x n}^{\prime} \\
L_{x 1 \lambda}^{\prime} & L_{x 1 x 1}^{\prime} & \ldots & L_{x 1 x n}^{\prime} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
L_{\lambda n}^{\prime} & L_{\lambda x n x 1}^{\prime} & \ldots & L_{x n x n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Necessary and sufficient conditions for max. or min. of a function

## For Single variable

|  | Maxima | Minima |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{y}) \frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda}$ | $=0$ |

## For Two variables

| I | $f^{\prime}(x), f^{\prime}(y),=0$ | $=0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| II | $f^{\prime \prime}(x)^{*} f^{\prime \prime}(y)<0$ | $>0$ |
| III | $f^{\prime \prime}(x y)^{2}<f^{\prime \prime} x x f^{\prime \prime} y y$ | $f^{\prime \prime}(x y)<f^{\prime \prime} x x f^{\prime \prime} y y$ |

## Example 1:

Examine whether the function $Z=x^{2} y^{2}-2 x-4 y$ has a minima or maxima

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}=2 x-2=0 & x=1 \\
\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}=2 y-4=0 & y=2
\end{array}
$$

Now $\frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial x^{2}} \quad 2>0 \quad \frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial y^{2}}=>0$
$\frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial x \partial y} \quad 0 \quad \frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial y \partial x} \quad 0$
Now ( $\left.\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{xy}\right)^{2}<\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{xx}) \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{yy})$
As $(\mathrm{o})^{2}<(2)(2)$; so the function has a minimum value

## Example 2:

Examine whether the function $Z=2 x+4 y-y^{2}-x^{2}$ has minimum or maximum value

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}=2-2 \mathrm{x}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}=4-2 \mathrm{y}=0 \\
& \mathrm{x}=1, \quad \mathrm{y}=2 \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial x^{2}}=-2<0 \quad \frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial y^{2}}=-2<0 \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial x \partial y} \quad 0 \quad \frac{\partial^{2} z}{\partial y \partial y} \quad 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left.\left.\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{xx}\right)<0 \quad \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{yy}\right)<0$
$\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{xy})^{2}<\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{xx}) \cdot \mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{yy})^{2}$
$(0)^{2}<(-2)(-2)=4$, thus function has minimum value

## Function $f(x)$ Non-Linear \& Constraint Linear

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=3.6 \mathrm{x}_{1}-0.4 \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}+1.6 \mathrm{x}_{2}-0.2 \mathrm{x}_{2}^{2}
$$

Subject to

$$
2 x_{1}+x_{2}=10
$$

$\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \geq 0$
Now Max. $Z=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$
Subject to
$h\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=0$
Where, $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=2 \mathrm{x}_{1},+\mathrm{x}_{2}-10=0$
We define lagrangian function $L(x)$
$\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \lambda\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)-\lambda \mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$, and find derivatives
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{1}}=3.6-0.8 \mathrm{x}_{1}-2 \lambda=0$
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{2}}=1.6-0.8 \mathrm{x}_{2}-\lambda=0$
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda}=-2 \mathrm{x}_{1}-\mathrm{x}_{2}=10=0$ solving these equations by crammer's rule, values are;
$\mathrm{x}_{1}=3.5$
$\mathrm{x}_{2}=3$
$\lambda=0.4$
$Z=10.7$
To examine whether it is maximum or minimum, we form bordered Hessian Det.

$$
H^{B O}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -2 & -1 \\
2 & -0.8 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -0.4
\end{array}\right]
$$

and examine the signs of principal minors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 2 \\
2 & -0.8
\end{array}\right]=(-0.8-4)=-4.8<0 \\
& \Delta_{3}=0[\Delta]-2(-0.8-0)+1(0+0.8) \\
& 0+1.6+0.8=2.4>0
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}$ have alternate signs, so function has maximum value.
Note: if principal minors are of alternate signs, the function has a maxima.

## Example 3:

$\operatorname{Min} \mathrm{Z}=2 \mathrm{x}_{1}{ }^{2}-24 \mathrm{x}_{1}+2 \mathrm{x}_{2}{ }^{2}-8 \mathrm{x}_{2}+2 \mathrm{x}_{3}{ }^{2}-12 \mathrm{x}_{3}+200$

Subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{x} 3=11 \\
& \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}>=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We can formulate Lagrangian function as:

$$
\mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}, \lambda\right)=2 \mathrm{x}_{1}^{2}-24 \mathrm{x}_{1}+2 \mathrm{x}_{2}^{2}-8 \mathrm{x}_{2}+2 \mathrm{x}_{3}^{2}-12 \mathrm{x}_{3}+200-\lambda\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{x}_{3}-11\right)
$$

The necessary conditions for the stationary point are;

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{1}} & 4 x_{1}-24-\lambda & 0 \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{2}} & 4 x_{2}-8-\lambda & 0 \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{3}} & 4 x_{3}-12-\lambda & 0 \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} & -\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}-11\right) & 0
\end{array}
$$

The solution of these simultaneous equations yield the stationary point

$$
\mathrm{X}_{0}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)=(6,2,3) ; \lambda=0
$$

The sufficient conditions for the stationary point to be a minimum is that the minors $\Delta_{3}$ and $\Delta_{4}$ be both negative. Now we have

$$
\Delta_{3}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 4 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right]-8
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{4} \begin{gathered}
\lambda \\
X_{1} \\
X_{2} \\
X_{3}
\end{gathered}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right]-48
$$

which are both negative. Thus $\mathrm{x}_{0}(6,2,3)$ provides the solution to the NLPP.

## Solution to NLPP with Inequality Constraints

To solve inequality constraints, we use lagrangian function and in addition we examine the Kuhn Tucker conditions to find out whether the function is minimum or maximum.

The Kuhn Tucker conditions are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\partial f\left(x_{j}\right)}{\partial x_{j}}-\lambda_{i} \frac{\partial h_{j}^{i}\left(x_{j}\right)}{\partial x_{j}} & 0 & 1 \\
\lambda_{i} h_{i}\left(x_{j}\right) \quad 0 & 2 \\
h i\left(x_{j}\right) \leq 0 & 3 \\
\lambda \geq 0 \quad \ldots \ldots . & 4
\end{array}
$$

It is easy to observe that for maximisation $h(x)$ is convex in $(x)$ and $f(x)$ is concave in (x), thus, Kuhn Tucker conditions are the sufficient conditions for maximum.

## Example 4

$\operatorname{Max} Z=3.6 x_{1}-0.04 x_{1}^{2}+1.6 x_{2}-0.2 x_{2}{ }^{2}$
$2 \mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}<10$
Here $f(x)=3.6 x_{1}-0.4 x_{1}{ }^{2}+1.6 x_{2}-0.2 x_{2}{ }^{2}$
$\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})=2 \mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{c}=10$
$h(x)=g(x)-c=2 x_{1}+x_{2}-10=0$
The Kuhn Tucker conditions are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial f\left(x_{j}\right)}{\partial x_{j}}-\lambda_{i} \frac{\partial h_{j}^{i}\left(x_{j}\right)}{\partial x_{j}} & 0 \\
3.6-0.8 \mathrm{x}_{1}-2 \lambda=0 & 1 \\
1.6-0.4 \mathrm{x}_{2}-\lambda=0 & 2 \\
\lambda\left[2 \mathrm{x}_{1}+2-10\right]=0 & 3 \\
2 \mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}-10 \leq 0 & 4 \\
\lambda>0 & 5
\end{array}
$$

from equation 3
Either $\lambda=0$ or $2 x_{1}+x_{2}-10=0$
if
$\lambda=0$ then (2) and (1) yield $x_{1}=4.5$
$x_{2}=4$ with these values of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$
equation (4) cannot be satisfied. Thus, $\lambda \neq 0$
This implies that
$2 x_{1}+x_{2}-10=0$, this together with (1) and (2) yield


Note: We use Cramer's rule to solve these equations as given below;
\(\left[$$
\begin{array}{ccc}0.8 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0.4 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 0\end{array}
$$\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}X_{1} <br>
X_{2} <br>

\lambda\end{array}\right]\)| 3.6 |
| :--- |
| 1.6 |
| 10 |

$\mathrm{x}_{1}=3.5$
$\mathrm{x}_{2}=3$
$\lambda=1.6-0.4(3)$
$1.6-12=0.4$
$2 \lambda=3.6-0.8(3.5)$
$3.6-2.80=0.8$
$\lambda=0.8 / 2=0.4$

## UNIT -XI

## QUADRATIC PROGRAMMING

Quadratic Programming (Q.P.) tackles the problems of random variations in enterprise outputs as caused by annual fluctuations in yields and prices. It provides the maximum profit at any given level of income variation or risk. Thus, in Quadratic Programming the farmer can take into account not only the average profit but also the minimum profit (or maximum loss) that is likely to occur in bad years. This technique uses modification of simplex method. In Quadratic Programming along with returns we also allow for variance, covariance matrix. The general form of Quadratic Programming is;

$$
\operatorname{Max} f(X) \quad C^{\prime} X+\frac{1}{2} X^{\prime} \Omega X \cdots \cdots(1)
$$

s.t
$A x \leq B \cdots \cdots$ (2)
$X \geq 0 \cdots \cdots$ (3)
Where $X\left[\begin{array}{c}X_{1} \\ X_{2} \\ X_{3} \\ X_{4}\end{array}\right] \quad C\left[\begin{array}{l}C_{1} \\ C_{2} \\ C_{3} \\ C_{4}\end{array}\right]$
$\mathrm{B}=\left[\begin{array}{l}b_{1} \\ b_{2} \\ b_{3} \\ b_{4}\end{array}\right], \quad \Omega$ (variance-covariance matrix) $\left[\begin{array}{cccc}d_{11} & d_{12} & \ldots & d_{1 n} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & \ldots & d_{2 n} \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ d_{n 1} & d_{n 2} & \ldots & d_{n n}\end{array}\right]$
A (input-output coefficients) $=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 n} \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \ldots & a_{n n}\end{array}\right]$

## Kuhn Tucker Conditions for Quadratic Programming (Non-Linear)

Max $Z=f(x)$
Subject to
$h(x) \leq 0$
and $-\mathrm{x} \leq 0$
we add slack variables
$h^{i}(x)+S_{i}{ }^{2}=0$
$-x_{j}+S^{2} m+j=0$

## Lagrangian function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{~S}, \lambda)=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})-\sum_{i 1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left[h^{i}(x)+S_{i}^{2}\right] \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{m+j}\left[-x+S_{m+j}^{2}\right] \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{j}} \quad f_{i}--\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} h^{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{m+j} \quad 0 \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial S i} \\
& -2 \lambda_{i} S_{i}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda i} \quad-\left[h(x)+S_{i}^{2}\right]=0 \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda m+j} \quad-\left[-x j+S_{m+j}^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The Kuhn Tucker conditions on the basis of these equations after simplification are;
$a \quad f^{\prime} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} h^{\prime}-\lambda_{m+1}$
$b \quad \lambda_{i} h^{i}(x) \quad 0$
c $\quad-\lambda_{m+j} x_{j} \quad 0$
d $\quad h^{i}(x) \leq 0$
e $\quad \lambda i, \lambda m-j, x_{j} \geq 0$
Thus,

1. If $x^{\prime} \pi x$ is $+{ }^{v e}$ semi- definite then it is convex. If it is $-{ }^{v e}$ semi definite then it is concave.
2. If $x$ ' $\pi x$ is positive definite, it is strictly convex. If it is $-{ }^{\text {ve }}$ definite then it is strictly concave. (where $\pi$ is variance covariance matrix)

We can write
$a \quad c_{j}+\sum_{k 1}^{n} c_{i j} x_{k}-\sum_{i 1}^{m} \lambda_{i} a_{i_{j}}+\lambda_{m+j} \quad 0$
$b \quad \lambda_{i}\left[\sum_{j 1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}-b_{i}\right] \quad 0$
c $\quad\left(-x_{j}\right) \lambda_{m+j} \quad 0$
d $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}-b i \leq 0$
e $\quad \lambda_{i}, \quad \lambda_{m+j}, \quad x_{j} \geq 0$

## Constraints with inequality sign

$Z \quad f(x)$
s.t $g(x) \leq \geq=b c$
for this we define new number $S$ such that
$S^{2} \quad-h(x)$ such that $\quad h(x)+S^{2} \quad 0$
so $Z \quad f(x)$
subject to

$$
h(x)+S^{2} \quad 0
$$

$x \geq 0$
$L(X, S, \lambda) \quad f(x)-\lambda\left[h(x)+S^{2}\right]$
The conditions for stationary parts are
$1 \frac{\partial L}{\partial X_{j}}-\frac{\lambda \partial h}{\partial X_{j}} 0$
$2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda}-\left[h(x)+S^{2}\right] \quad 0$
$3 \frac{\partial L}{\partial S}-2 S \lambda \quad 0$
in eq. $3-S \lambda \quad 0$ such that either $\lambda \quad 0$ or $S=0$. If $S=0$ implies $h(x)=0$, then (2) and (3) together imply
$4 \quad \lambda h(x) \quad 0$
If $\mathrm{S}=0$ it can be deleted (as it was introduced for removing inequality), $S^{2} \geq 0$ so $h(x) \leq 0$
So, whenever $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})<0$ we get from equation (4) then $\lambda \quad 0 \&$ when $\lambda>0$ we get $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})=0$, but $\lambda$ is unrestricted in sign. Thus, we get set of equations known as kuhn Tucker conditions as given below
$f_{j}-\lambda h_{j} \quad 0 \quad j \quad 1,2,3 \cdots \cdots n$
$\begin{array}{lll}\lambda h & 0 & \text { maximise } f\end{array}$
$h \leq 0 \quad$ subject to the constra int $s$
$\lambda \geq 0 \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots . \quad h \leq 0$
similar arguments hold for min imisation
$f_{j}-\lambda h_{j} \quad 0 \quad j \quad 1,2,3 \cdots \cdots n$
$\begin{array}{lll}\lambda h & 0 & \min . f\end{array}$
$h \geq 0 \quad$ subject to the constraint $s$
$\lambda \geq 0 \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdot \quad h \leq 0$
now $L(X, S, \lambda) \quad f(x)-\lambda\left[h(x)+S^{2}\right]$
(1) $\frac{\partial L}{\partial X_{j}} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{j}}-\sum_{j 1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial X_{j}} \quad 0 \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}j & 1,2 \cdots \cdots n)\end{array}\right.$
(2) $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} h^{i}+S i^{2} \quad 0 \quad(i \quad 1,2 \cdots \cdots m)$
(3) $\frac{\partial L}{\partial S^{2}}-2 S_{i} \lambda_{i} \quad 0$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { where } & \lambda_{i} & {\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \cdots \cdots \lambda_{m}\right]} \\ & S_{i} & {\left[S_{1}, S_{2} \cdots \cdots \cdot S_{m}\right]}\end{array}$

## Example 1:

$\operatorname{Max} Z \quad 2 X_{1}+3 X_{2}-2 X_{1}{ }^{2}$
subject to
$X_{1}+4 X_{2} \leq 4$
$X_{1}+X_{2} \leq 2$
$X_{1} \geq 0$
$X_{2} \geq 0$
We int roduce surpuls variables, thus,
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}X_{1}+4 X_{2}+S_{1}^{2} & 4 \\ X_{1}+X_{2}+S_{2}^{2} & 2\end{array}\right] S_{1}, S_{2}$ surplus
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}-X_{1}+S_{3}{ }^{2} & 0 \\ -X_{2}+S_{4}^{2} & 0\end{array}\right] S_{3}, S_{4}$ surplus

Construct the Lagrangian function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}, \frac{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{i}}, \frac{\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}}{\lambda_{m+1}}\right) \\
& \left(2 X_{1}+3 X_{2}-2 X_{1}^{2}\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(X_{1}+4 X_{2}+S_{1}^{2}-4\right)-\lambda_{2}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+S_{2}^{2}-2\right)-\lambda_{3}\left(-X_{1}+S_{3}^{2}\right) \\
& -\lambda_{4}\left(-X_{2}+S_{4}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial X_{1}} \quad 2-4 x_{1}-\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3} \quad 0 \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial X_{2}} \quad 3-4 \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4} \quad 0 \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial S_{1}} \quad-2 \lambda_{1} S_{1} \quad 0 \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial S_{2}} \quad-2 \lambda_{2} S_{2} \quad 0 \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial S_{3}} \quad-2 \lambda_{3} S_{3} \quad 0 \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial S_{4}} \quad-2 \lambda_{4} S_{4} \quad 0 \\
& \left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_{1}} \quad X_{1}+4 X_{2}+S_{1}^{2}-4 \quad 0 \quad \text { (multiplyby }(-) \text { sign }\right) \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_{2}} \quad x_{1}+x_{2}+S_{2}^{2}-2 \quad 0 \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_{3}}-x_{1}+S_{3}^{2} \quad 0 \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_{4}}-x_{2}+S_{4}^{2} \quad 0
\end{aligned}
$$

upon simplification we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
4 x_{1}+\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3} & 2 \\
4 \lambda+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4} & 3 \\
x_{1}+4 x_{2}+S_{1}^{2} & 4 \\
x_{1}+x_{2}+S_{1}^{2} & 2
\end{array}
$$

Adding other equations together \& multiplying by $S_{j s}$
$\lambda_{1} S_{1}{ }^{2}+\lambda_{2} S_{2}{ }^{2}+\lambda_{3} S_{3}{ }^{2}+\lambda_{4} S_{4}{ }^{2} \quad 0$
$\lambda_{1} S_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2} S_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3} X_{1}+\lambda_{4} X_{2} \quad 0$
$\lambda_{1} S_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2} S_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3} X_{1}+\lambda_{4} X_{2} \quad 0$
Note: $\frac{S_{3}}{-2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}-2 \lambda_{3} S_{3} & 0 \\ -X_{1}+S_{3}^{2} & 0\end{array}\right)$
$\therefore X_{1} \quad S_{3}{ }^{2}$
Similarly, $X_{2}{ }^{2} \quad S_{4}^{2}$
$\lambda_{3} S_{3}{ }^{2} \quad 0$
$\lambda_{1} X_{1} \quad 0$
Similarly,
$-X_{2}+S_{4}^{2} \quad 0 \Rightarrow X_{2} \quad S_{4}^{2}$
Thus $\lambda_{4} S_{4}{ }^{2} \quad 0$

$$
\lambda_{4} X_{2} \quad 0
$$

so the complementory conditions are
$\lambda_{i} S_{i} \quad 0$
$\lambda_{m+j} X_{j} \quad 0$
i.e when $S_{i}$ is in basis $\lambda_{i}$ cannot enter and also when $\lambda_{m+j}$ is in basis $x_{j}$ cannot enter the basis

Therefore, solution to $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}$ be necessarily an optimal solution that maximize L. To determine the solution, we introduce artificial variables $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ in the first two constraints $\&$ construct the dummy objective function to min variance (risk)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Min} Z \quad w_{1}+w_{2} \\
& \text { subject to } \\
& 4 X_{1}+\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}+w_{1} \quad 2 \\
& 4 \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}+w_{2} \quad 3 \\
& X_{1}+4 X_{2}+S_{1}^{2} \\
& X_{1}+X_{2}+S_{2}^{2} \\
& X_{1}, X_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2}, \lambda_{i} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Also satisfying the complementary condition

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \lambda_{i} S_{i}^{2} \quad 0, \sum \lambda_{i} X_{j} \quad 0
$$

The initial table to solve this problem is given below

|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}{ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{2}$ | $\lambda_{1}$ | $\lambda_{2}$ | $\lambda_{3}$ | $\lambda_{4}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~W}_{2}$ |
| -1 | $\mathrm{~W}_{1}$ | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| -1 | $\mathrm{~W}_{2}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}{ }^{2}$ | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{2}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Zj}^{2}$ |  | -5 | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -2 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  | -4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

$\lambda_{1}$ is most negative but it cannot enter the basis since $S_{1}{ }^{2}$ is in the basis. So we select $X_{1}$
(The students can solve this problem as an assignment)

## Wolfe's Method to solve Q.P.P

We can also use Wolfe's Method to solve Q.P.P by writing the problem given in Example 1: as
$\operatorname{Max} Z \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 3\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}X_{1} & X_{2}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}-4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right]$
subject to
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right]<=\left[\begin{array}{l}4 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$

## Example 2:

$\operatorname{Max} Z \quad 4 X_{1}+2 X_{2}-X_{1}{ }^{2}-X_{2}{ }^{2}-5$
subject to
$X_{1}+X_{2} \leq 4$
$X_{1}, X_{2} \geq 0$
Now we can write this Q.P.P as
$\operatorname{Max} Z \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}4 & 2\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}X_{1} & X_{2}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right]$
subject to
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right] \leq 4$
The Kuhu Tucker conditions by Wolfés criteria are;
$C^{\prime} X-I v+A^{\prime} u-P \quad 0$
$A X+Y \quad b \quad \cdots \cdots \cdot Y:$ Slack
$v^{\prime} X+u^{\prime} Y \quad 0 \quad \cdots \cdots$ Complementary condition
$u, v, X, Y \geq 0$
Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
X_{1} \\
X_{2}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1} \\
v_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \\
u_{2}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
2
\end{array}\right] 0} \\
& X_{1}+X_{2}+Y_{1} \quad 4 \\
& v^{\prime} X+u^{\prime} Y \quad 0 \\
& v_{1} X_{1}+v_{2} X_{2}+u_{1} Y_{1} \quad 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then Q.P.P becomes Min $Z \quad w_{1}+w_{2}$ subject to
$2 X_{1}-v_{1}+u_{1}+w_{1} \quad 4$
$2 X_{2}-v_{2}+u_{2}+w_{2} \quad 2$
$X_{1}+X_{2}+Y_{1} \quad 4$
$v_{1} X_{1}+v_{2} X_{2}+u_{1} Y_{1} \quad 0$

|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ |  | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ |
| -1 | $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| -1 | $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |

Solution of this problem is

$$
X_{1} \quad 2, X_{2} \quad 1 \quad Z \min \quad 0
$$

## Example 3:

$\operatorname{Max} Z \quad 4 X_{1}+6 X_{2}-2 X_{1}^{2}-2 X_{1} X_{2}-2 X_{2}{ }^{2}$
subject to
$X_{1}+2 X_{2} \leq 2$
$X_{1}, X_{2} \geq 0$
We can write this equation as;
$\operatorname{Max} Z \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}4 & 6\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}X_{1} & X_{2}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}4 & 2 \\ 2 & 4\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right]$
subject to
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right] \leq 2 \quad X_{1}, X_{2} \geq 0$
$X_{1}+2 X_{2}+Y_{1} \quad 2$
$v_{1} X_{1}+v_{2} X_{2}+u_{1} Y_{1} \quad 0$
we can use artical variables and formulatethe tables
$C^{\prime} X-I v+A^{\prime} u-p \quad 0$
$A X+Y \quad b$
$v^{\prime} X+u^{\prime} Y \quad 0$
$u, v, X, Y \geq 0$
which is ana $\log$ ous to
$\operatorname{Min} Z \quad w_{1}+w_{2}$
subject to
$4 X_{1}+2 X_{2}-v_{1}+u_{1}+w_{1} \quad 4$
$2 X_{1}+4 X_{2}-v_{2}+2 u_{2}+w_{2} \quad 6$
$X_{1}+2 X_{2}+S_{3}^{2} \quad 2$
$v_{1} X_{1}+v_{2} X_{2}+u_{1} Y_{1}+u_{2} Y_{2} \quad 0$
We can formulate the table and solve by $u \sin g$

## Simplex method

|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$ |  | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{~W}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | $\mathrm{~W}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ |  |  | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 3 | -1 | -1 | 0 |

$\mathrm{X}_{1}$ will enter the basis and $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ will leave the basis

## Example 4:

$\operatorname{Max} Z \quad 2 X_{1}+X_{2}-X_{1}{ }^{2}$
subject to
$2 X_{1}+3 X_{2} \leq 6$
$2 X_{1}+X_{2} \leq 4$
$X_{1}, X_{2} \geq 0$
we can write this problem as
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}X_{1} & X_{2}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right]$
Subject to
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right] \leq\left[\begin{array}{l}6 \\ 4\end{array}\right]$
Now applying Kuhn Tucker conditions, we get

$$
\operatorname{Min} Z \quad w_{1}+w_{2}
$$

subject to
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{l}v_{1} \\ v_{2}\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}u_{1} \\ u_{2}\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}w_{1} \\ w_{2}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}\frac{2}{1}\end{array}\right]$
subject to
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}X_{1} \\ X_{2}\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}Y_{1} \\ Y_{2}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}6 \\ 4\end{array}\right]$
Thus, equations are
$2 X_{1}-v_{1}+2 u_{1}+2 u_{2}+w_{1} \quad 2$
$-v_{2}+3 u_{1}+u_{2}+w_{2} \quad 1$
$2 X_{1}+3 X_{2}+u_{1} \quad 6$
$2 X_{1}+X_{2}+u_{2} \quad 4$
Formulate simple Tableau
Solution is $X_{1}=2 / 3, X_{2}=14 / 9$, Opt $Z=22 / 9$
We now apply the simplex method:

Starting the Table

| $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{z}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{z}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{z}_{1}$ | 2 | $2^{*}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | $\mathrm{z}_{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Max, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}-} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is 5 but we can't introduce $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ (and similarly $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ ) in the basis because of the equality (1)

| $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{z}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{z}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-1 / 2$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 0 |
| 1 | $\mathrm{z}_{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -2 | -2 | -1 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -2 | -2 | -1 | 0 |

Again we introduce $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ because $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are in the basis. So $x_{2}$ enters the basis.

## Second iteration

| $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{z}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{z}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-1 / 2$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | 0 |
| 1 | $\mathrm{z}_{2}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | $3^{*}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $4 / 3$ | 0 | 1 | $1 / 3$ | 0 | $1 / 3$ | 0 | $-2 / 3$ | $-2 / 3$ | $-2 / 3$ | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $2 / 3$ | 0 | 0 | $-1 / 3$ | 1 | $2 / 3$ | 0 | $-4 / 3$ | $-4 / 3$ | $-2 / 3$ | 0 |

Third iteration

| $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{u}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{z}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{z}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $2 / 3$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-1 / 2$ | $1 / 3$ | 0 | $2 / 3$ | $1 / 2$ | $-1 / 3$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ | $1 / 3$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-1 / 3$ | 1 | $1 / 3$ | 0 | $1 / 3$ |
| 0 | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $14 / 9$ | 0 | 1 | $1 / 3$ | 0 | $1 / 3$ | $-2 / 9$ | 0 | $-4 / 9$ | $-1 / 3$ | $2 / 9$ |
| 0 | $\mathrm{y}_{2}$ | $10 / 9$ | 0 | 0 | $-1 / 3$ | 1 | $2 / 3$ | $-4 / 3$ | 0 | $-8 / 9$ | $-2 / 3$ | $4 / 9$ |

Hence the optimum solution is $x_{1}=2 / 3, x_{2}=14 / 9$; opt. $z=22 / 9$

## Unit -XII

## MINIMIZATION OF TOTAL ABSOLUTE DEVIATION MODEL (MOTAD)

Hazzel (1971) developed MOTAD model as a linear iterative quadratic and semi variance programming for farm planning under risk. This model uses linear decision criterion with expected returns and mean absolute deviations. He observed that the MOTAD model could be solved with conventional linear programming packages. Risk is incorporated in the model as mean absolute deviation of farm profit.

The mathematical form, of the MOTAD programming model is as follows.
$\operatorname{MinZ}=\sum_{h 1}^{S} y^{-}{ }_{h}+y_{h}^{+} \quad$ (objective function)
Subject to
$\sum_{h 1}^{n}\left(C h_{j}-g_{j}\right) x_{j}-y_{h}^{-}+y_{h}^{+} \quad 0 \quad$ Linear constraints
$\sum_{j 1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j} \leq b_{i} \quad($ resource constraint $s)$
$\sum_{j 1}^{n} f_{j} x_{j} \quad \lambda_{i} \quad$ (Parametric constraint $s$ )
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{h}}^{-}, \mathrm{y}^{+} \mathrm{h} \geq=0$ (non negativity constraints)
where;
$Z=$ sum of the absolute values of the deviations of the gross returns of each activity from their means.
$y_{h}^{-}$, is the absolute value of the negative total gross returns devotions for each activity in the $h^{\text {th }}$ year from their mean.
$\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ gross returns of the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ farm activity in the $\mathrm{h}^{\text {th }}$ year.
$G_{j}=$ mean value of the gross returns of the $j^{\text {th }}$ farm activity
$X_{j}=$ level of $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ farm activity.
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ The expected gross returns per unit of the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ activity.
$\lambda=$ the total returns from all farm activities
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ Technical requirements of the $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ activity for the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ resource
$b_{i}=$ The constraint level of the $i^{\text {th }}$ resource
$\mathrm{s}=$ number of time series observations
$\mathrm{n}=$ Number of farm activities

## Steps involves in solving the MOTAD

1. Work out average gross returns for different farm activities.
2. Work out deviation of gross returns from the mean for different enterprises/ activities over the years.
3. Prepare initial table for simple linear programming and work out maximum value of $\lambda$
4. Prepare initial table for MOTAD by including deviations of gross returns in simple linear programming.
5. Put the value of $\lambda$ and get mean absolute deviations and level of different activities
6. Work out standard deviation with statistic as;

$$
d[\pi s / 2(s-1)]^{1 / 2} \text { or } \sqrt{\frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{h 1}^{s}\left[\sum_{j 1}^{n} C h_{j} x_{j}-\sum_{j 1}^{n} g_{j} x_{j}\right]^{2}}
$$

7. Workout coefficient of variation as $C V \quad \frac{\text { Mean gross returns }}{S D} X 100$

## Example of MOTAD model

Four vegetable activities: carrot $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$, celery $\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$, cucumber $\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$ and pepper $\left(\mathrm{x}_{4}\right)$ and three less than or equal to constraints on the variable acreage of land $\left(b_{1}\right)$, hours of labour $\left(b_{2}\right)$ and a rational and market output constraints $\left(b_{3}\right)$. The technical requirement coefficient matrix $A$ and constraint vector $b$ are

$$
\mathrm{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
25 & 36 & 27 & 87 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad b\left[\begin{array}{c}
200 \\
10000 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Activities gross margins

| Year | X 1 | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ | 292 | -128 | 420 | 579 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{2}$ | 179 | 560 | 187 | 639 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{3}$ | 114 | 648 | 366 | 379 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{4}$ | 247 | 544 | 249 | 924 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{5}$ | 426 | 182 | 322 | 5 |
| $\mathrm{t}_{6}$ | 259 | 850 | 159 | 569 |
| Average | 253 | 443 | 284 | 516 |

Initial Tableau

| Row\& unit | $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $Y_{1}^{-}$ | $Y_{2}^{-}$ | $Y_{3}^{-}$ | $Y_{4}^{-}$ | $Y_{5}^{-}$ | $Y_{6}^{-}$ | Constraint $s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A(dollers) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Minimise |
| $b_{1}$ (acres) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\leq 200$ |
| $b_{2}$ (hours) | 25 | 36 | 27 | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\leq 10000$ |
| $b_{3}$ (acres) | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\leq 0$ |
| $t_{1}($ dollers $)$ | 39 | -571 | 136 | 63 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | $\geq 0$ |
| $t_{2}($ dollers) | -74 | 117 | -97 | 123 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | $\geq 0$ |
| $t_{3}($ dollers) | -139 | 205 | 82 | -137 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | $\geq 0$ |
| $t_{4}($ dollers $)$ | -6 | 101 | -35 | 408 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | $\geq 0$ |
| $t_{5}($ dollers $)$ | 173 | -261 | 38 | -511 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | $\geq 0$ |
| $t_{6}($ dollers $)$ | 6 | 407 | -125 | 53 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\geq 0$ |
| $E($ dollers $)$ | 253 | 443 | 284 | 516 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\lambda$ |

$\mathrm{E}=$ Average gross returns
Results

| Cropping plan | I | II | III | IV | V |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E dollars | 62769 | 73574 | 77329 | 77529 | 77996 |
| A dollars | 2753 | 9301 | 12533 | 12787 | 13479 |
| SD dollars | 4702 | 16358 | 21442 | 21792 | 22372 |
| X1 Acres | 72.26 | 3285 | 19.15 | 16.59 | - |
| X2 Acres | 26.80 | 28.03 | 28.46 | 26.80 | 27.45 |
| X3 Acres | 83.92 | 81.64 | 80.85 | 83.41 | 100.00 |
| X4 Acres | 17.02 | 57.48 | 71.54 | 73.20 | 72.55 |

Standard deviation is worked out from previous example as follows
$\mathrm{SD}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{s-1}} \sum_{h}^{s}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{h} C_{h j} X_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{h} g_{j} X_{j}\right]^{2}$
Year - wise gross $m$ argins per acre [level of $j^{\text {th }}$ activity]
1
2
3
4
5
6 $\left[\begin{array}{cccc}292 & -128 & 420 & 579 \\ 179 & 560 & 187 & 639 \\ 114 & 648 & 366 & 379 \\ 247 & 544 & 249 & 924 \\ 426 & 182 & 322 & 5 \\ 259 & 850 & 159 & 569\end{array}\right]$

$$
x j\left[\begin{array}{l}
72.26 \\
26.80 \\
83.92 \\
17.02
\end{array}\right]
$$

[Average gross margin]
$\mathrm{Ch}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}292 * 72.26 & +(-128) * 26.80 & +420 * 83.92 & +579 * 17.02 \\ 179 * 72.26 & +560 * 26.80 & +187 * 83.92 & +639 * 17.02 \\ 114 * 72.26 & +648 * 26.80 & +366 * 83.92 & +379 * 17.02 \\ 247 * 72.26 & +544 * 26.80 & +249 * 83.92 & +924 * 17.02 \\ 426 * 72.26 & +182 * 26.80 & +322 * 83.92 & +5 * 17.02 \\ 259 * 72.26 & +850 * 26.80 & +159 * 83.92 & +569 * 17.02\end{array}\right)$
$C_{h j} x_{j}\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}21099.92 & - & 3430.40 & + & 35246.40 & + & 9854.58 \\ 12934.54 & + & 15008 & + & 15693.04 & + & 10875.78 \\ 8237.64 & + & 17366.40 & + & 30714.72 & + & 6450.58 \\ 17848.22 & + & 14579.20 & + & 20896.08 & + & 15726.48 \\ 30782.76 & + & 4877.60 & + & 27022.24 & + & 85.10 \\ 18715.34 & + & 22780 & + & 13343.28 & + & 9684.38\end{array}\right]$
$\sum_{j 1}^{n} C_{h j} x_{j}\left[\begin{array}{l}62770.50 \\ 54511.36 \\ 62769.34 \\ 69049.98 \\ 62767.70 \\ 64523.00\end{array}\right]$
$g_{j} x_{j} \quad[253 \times 72.26+443 \times 26.80+284 \times 83.92+516 \times 17.02]$
$g_{j} x_{j} \quad[18281.78+11872.40+23833.28+8782.32]$
$\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j} x_{j} \quad[62769.78]$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
62770.50 & - & 62769.78 \\
54511.36 & - & 62769.78 \\
62769.34 & - & 62769.78 \\
69049.98 & - & 62769.78 \\
62767.70 & - & 62769.78 \\
64523.00 & - & 62769.78
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
0.72 \\
-8258.42 \\
-0.44 \\
6280.20 \\
-2.08 \\
1753.22
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{h j} x_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j} x_{j}\right)^{2}\left[\begin{array}{c}0.5184 \\ 68201500.90 \\ 0.1936 \\ 39440912.04 \\ 4.3264 \\ 3073780.37\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{h 1}^{s}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{h j} x_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j} x_{j}\right)^{2} \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
110716198 & .30
\end{array}\right] \\
& \quad \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{h 1}^{s}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{h j} x_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j} x_{j}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{110716198.30}{5}\right] \\
& \quad S D \sqrt{\frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{h}^{s}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{h j} x_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j} x_{j}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{22143239.67}
\end{aligned}
$$

# UNIT XIII <br> MARKOV CHAIN MODEL, SIMULATED SAMPLING, MONTE CARLO METHOD AND PRACTICAL APPLICATION 

## Markov Chain Analysis

In 1907, A. A. Markov began the study of an important new type of chance process. In this process, the outcome of a given experiment can affect the outcome of the next experiment. This type of process is called a Markov chain. Markov chain analysis is a way of analyzing the current movements of some variables in order to forecast the future movement of some of variables. This method is being employed in the field of marketing and accounting.

It can be used in predicting the loyalty of customers to one brand and their switching pattern to other brands.

## Brand Switching Analysis:

In order to explain the Markov chain model, let us consider the time behaviour of customers who make repeated purchases of a product class but may switch over from time to time from one brand to another. The brand switching model can be explained in term of following diagram


| To | Transition Matrix |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A | B |
| A | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{AA}}$ <br> $\mathrm{A})$ | (loyal to <br> BA |
| B | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{BA}}$ (shift from <br> B to A$)$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{BB}}$ (loyal to B ) |

or for n brands the transition probability $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ will be;

|  |  | $A_{1}$ | $A_{2}$ | $A_{3}$ | $\cdots \cdots$ | $A_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $A_{1}$ | $p_{11}$ | $p_{12}$ | $p_{13}$ | $\cdots \cdots$ | $p_{1 n}$ |
| $A_{2}$ | $p_{21}$ | $p_{22}$ | $p_{23}$ | $\cdots \cdots$ | $p_{2 n}$ |  |
| $A_{3}$ | $p_{31}$ | $p_{32}$ | $p_{33}$ | $\cdots \cdots$ | $p_{3 n}$ |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\cdots \cdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |
|  | $A_{n}$ | $p_{n 1}$ | $p_{n 2}$ | $p_{n 3}$ | $\cdots \cdots$ | $p_{n n}$ |$|$

This behaviour can be explained in probalistic terms. This probalistic description can be given a transition matrix, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ from brand i to brand j from one period to another.

The significant characteristic of transition matrix is that $\sum_{j}^{n} P_{i j} \quad 1$
Here, we illustrate the Markov chain model with the help of an example.
Suppose two brands of a product A \& B with each of these brands having exactly $50 \%$ share in market in the given period. The transition matrix is given below:

| From | Transition Matrix |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A | B |
| A | 0.90 | 0.10 |
| B | 0.50 | 0.50 |

Transition matrix indicates that in one period ahead period brand A will retain $90 \%$ of its customer and the attract $50 \%$ of B's. Similarly, B will retain $50 \%$ of its customers and extract $10 \%$ of A's. Thus, in first period the market share will be

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
50 & 50
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.9 & 0.1 \\
0.5 & 0.5
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
70 & 30
\end{array}\right]
$$

In second period

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
70 & 30
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.9 & 0.1 \\
0.5 & 0.5
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
78 & 22
\end{array}\right]
$$

Or [50 50] $\quad\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right]^{2}$
and in third period

$$
50] \quad\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right]^{3}
$$

## Steady State Equilibrium

Steady state is the long run equilibrium and reveals the ultimate position of each brand in the market. The following steps are followed to find out steady state:

1. Transpose the transition probability matrix $\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right]$
2. Workout $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ji}}-\mathrm{I}$ (unit matrix) of the same order as $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ji}}$ and set the relation as
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ji}} \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{O}$ where $\mathrm{X}=$ column matrix of different brands $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ and O is the null matrix, with all zero elements.
3. Put the relation $\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}+\mathrm{x}_{3} \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=1$ where $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}$ are different brands.
4. Replace the first row of the expression given in step 2 by 3 and solve the new formulation for $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3} \ldots \ldots \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ by using Cramer's rule.

## Example1: Steady state equilibrium

Let the transition probability of three brands A, B, C

$$
\alpha\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0.60 & 0.15 & 0.25 \\
0.20 & 0.45 & 0.35 \\
0.10 & 0.18 & 0.72
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Step I.

$\alpha=[\alpha]=\alpha$,

$$
\alpha^{T}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0.60 & 0.20 & 0.10 \\
0.15 & 0.45 & 0.18 \\
0.25 & 0.35 & 0.72
\end{array}\right]
$$

Step II $\alpha^{\prime}$-unit matrix

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-0.40 A & +0.20 B & +0.10 C \\
0.15 A & -0.55 B & +0.18 C \\
0.25 A & 0.35 B & -0.28 C
\end{array}\right]
\end{gather*} \begin{array}{cc}
0 & (i) \\
0 & (i i)  \tag{iv}\\
0 & (i i i)
\end{array}
$$

## Step III

Replacing (i) by (iv)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0.15 & 0.55 & 0.18 \\
0.25 & 0.35 B & 0.28
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
A \\
B \\
C
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Step IV
Solve the expression by Cramer's rule to find out A, B and C
Determinant of the above matrix $\mathrm{D}=0.368$
Det $D_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0.10 \\ 0 & -0.55 & 0.18 \\ 0 & 0.35 & -0.28\end{array}\right] 0.091$

Det $D_{2}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.15 & 0 & 0.18 \\ 0.25 & 0 & -0.28\end{array}\right] \quad 0.087$
Det $D_{3}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.15 & -0.55 & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0.35 & 0\end{array}\right] 0.191$
$\mathrm{A}=\quad \mathrm{D}_{1} / \mathrm{D}=0.25$
$\mathrm{B}=\quad \mathrm{D}_{2} / \mathrm{D}=0.24$
$\mathrm{C}=\quad \mathrm{D}_{3} / \mathrm{D}=0.51$
$A$
Hence,steady state marketshare will be $B$
$C$$\left[\begin{array}{l}25 \% \\ 24 \% \\ 51 \%\end{array}\right]$

## Practical Exercise

Let us take the data of 3 dairies $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C having their share $\mathrm{A}=22 \%, \mathrm{~B}=49 \%$ and $\mathrm{C}=29 \%$ and the observations of customers for June \& July are given below

| Dairy | June <br> customers | Gains from |  |  | Loses to |  | July1 <br> customers |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | B | C | A | B | C |  |  |
| A |  | 0 | 35 | 25 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 220 |
| B | 500 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 35 | 0 | 15 | 490 |
| C | 300 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 25 | 20 | 0 | 290 |
|  | 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 |

Find out market share for August and also the steady state.
Based on data, the Transitional Matrix is

| to <br> From | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 160/200 | 20/200 | 20/200 |
| B | 35/500 | 450/500 | 15/500 |
| C | 25/300 | 20/300 | 255/300 |


| To <br> From |  | A | B |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 0.80 | C |  |
| B | 0.07 | 0.90 | 0.03 |
| C | 0.083 | 0.067 | 0.85 |

Thus, market share in August

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0.22 & 0.49 & 0.29
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.80 & 0.10 & 0.10 \\
0.07 & 0.90 & 0.03 \\
0.083 & 0.067 & 0.85
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0.234 & 0.483 & 0.283
\end{array}\right]
$$

and steady state equilibrium

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
x & y & z
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.80 & 0.10 & 0.10 \\
0.07 & 0.90 & 0.03 \\
0.083 & 0.067 & 0.85
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0.273 & 0.454 & 0.273
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Practical Assignment

On Jan 1, 2013 Adarsh Bakery has 40\% of local Palampur market and other two bakeries Shiva and Cremica $40 \%$ and $20 \%$ share, respectively. Based upon the study conducted by Amit and Atul it was found that during one year, Adarsh retains $90 \%$ of old customers while gaining $5 \%$ of Shiva and $10 \%$ of Cremica. Shiva retains $85 \%$ and gained $5 \%$ of Adarsh and $7 \%$ of Cremica. Cremica retains $83 \%$ and gains $5 \%$ of Adarsh and $10 \%$ of Shiva. What will be the share in January 2014, 2015 and also find out the share of these bakeries at equilibrium level.

## Simulation

Simulation is a method of solving decision-making problems by designing, constructing and manipulating a model of a real system. It is defined to be the action of performing experiments on a model of a given system. Here system is defined as a collection of components which act and interact together towards the accomplishment of some logical end or goal and a model as a representation of the system.

Many problems in agriculture, industry or administration in reality are complex in nature. To solve them scientifically three cases may arise.
(a) The problem is amenable to both description and analysis by a mathematical model
(b) The problem is amenable to description by a mathematical model, however, correct analysis is beyond the level of mathematical sophistication.
(c) Even description by a mathematical model is beyond the capability of an analyst. In that situation the simulation can be used.

## Advantages of simulation;

(1) It is useful in solving problems where all the values of variables are not known or partly known, there is easy way to find these values.
(2) The model of system once constructed may be employed as often to analyse different situations.
(3) Simulation methods are quite useful for analyzing proposed system where information is sketchy.
(4) The effect of using model can be observed without actually using in the real situation.
(5) Data for further analysis can be easily generated from simulation model.
(6) Simulation methods are easier to apply. Even non-technical executives can simulate.

## Disadvantages of Simulation

(1) Adequate knowledge of parts of a system does not guarantee complete knowledge of the system behaviour.
(2) Simulation model is 'run' rather then 'solved'.
(3) Simulation does not produce optimal answers only satisfactory answers.
(4) Simulation is time consuming as number of experiments need to be conducted.
(5) People develop tendency of using it even if better analytical techniques are available.

## Event Type Simulation

Let us assume arrival of customers for a first come first serve service at a shop. Each customer requiring 1.8 hours for a service. We can simulate the service pattern and waiting time as given in the table below:

| Time | Event | Customer | Waiting time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.00 | Ea | 1 |  |
| 1.8 | Ea | 2 |  |
| 3.6 | Ea | 3 | $4-1.8=2.2$ (out 2 ) |
| 4.0 | Ed | 1 |  |
| 5.1 | Ea | 4 |  |
| 7.2 | Ea | 5 | $8-3.6=4.4$ (out 3) |
| 8.0 | Ed | 2 |  |
| 9.0 | Ea | 6 |  |
| 10.8 | Ea | 7 | $12-5.4=6.6$ (out 4 ) |
| 12.0 | Ed | 3 | $14-7.2=6.8$ (out 5) |
| 13.6 | Ea | 8 | $14-9.0=5.0$ (out 6 ) |
| 14.0 | End |  | $14-10.8=3.2$ (out 7 ) |
|  |  |  | $14-13.6=0.4$ (out 8 ) |

$\mathrm{Ea}=$ arrival time $\quad \mathrm{Ed}=$ departure time

Average waiting time $\frac{2.2+4.4+6.6+6.8+5.0+3.2+0.4}{8} \quad \frac{28.6}{8} \quad 3.57$ hours
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Average waiting time for those who has to wait } & \frac{28.6}{7} & 4.08 \text { hours }\end{array}$
$\%$ idle time of facility $=\mathrm{Nil}$

## Generation of Random Phenomenon

## Monte Carlo Technique:

## Simulation of values of a discrete random variable using Monte Carlo Approach

Suppose the demand for a newspaper is governed by the following discrete random variable:

| Demand | Probability | Cumulative <br> probability | Random Numbers Assigned |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5,000 | 0.10 | 0.10 | $<0.10$ |
| 15,000 | 0.35 | 0.45 | Greater than or equal to $0.10-0.44$ |
| 35,000 | 0.30 | 0.75 | Greater than or equal to $0.45-0.74$ |
| 55,000 | 0.25 | 1.00 | Greater than or equal to 0.75 and above |

Note: The students can generate 50 random numbers and verify the frequency distribution of the variable that will be nearer to the given probability distribution.
We can generate or simulate this demand for newspaper many times. For this, we associate each possible values of the RAND function with a possible demand for newspaper. This table shows that a demand of 5000 will occur 10 percent of the time, and demand of 15,000 will occur 35 per cent of the time and so on.

This technique has become so much a part of Simulation models. The technique involves selection of random observations within the simulation model. It involves two approaches:
(1) Generate random observation from a uniform distribution $(0,1)$
(2) Using (1) to generate random observation from desired probability.

Now generating $(0,1)$ random observation we may use
i) random table
ii) computer
iii) using congruential method based on modulo arithmetic

$$
\gamma_{n} \quad a \cdot \gamma_{n-1}(\bmod \text { ulo } m)
$$

where $a, \gamma, m$ are positive integers $(\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{m}) . \gamma_{n}$ represents remainder when $a \cdot \gamma_{n-1}$ is divided by m . In other words $\gamma_{n}$ is that number between 0 and 1 which differs from $a \cdot \gamma_{n-1}$ by an integer multiple of $m$.

The first no. is $\gamma_{1}$ is obtained by selecting any large integer for $\gamma_{0}$ using the recursive algorithm, then, we obtain $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \ldots \ldots$

## Example 2:

Let $a \quad 4, m \quad 8, \gamma_{0} \quad 1$ then we generate random numbers as $\gamma_{n} \quad a \cdot \gamma_{n-1}[\bmod$ ulo 8] $4 X 1[\bmod u l o 8]$

| $\gamma_{n}$ | Normalized No. $(0,1)$ <br> $\gamma_{n} / 7$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\gamma_{0} 1$ | 0.143 |
| $\gamma_{1} 4$ | 0.571 |
| $\gamma_{2} 2$ | 0.286 |
| $\gamma_{3} 1$ | 0.143 |
| $\gamma_{4} 4$ | 0.571 |
| $\gamma_{5} 2$ | 0.286 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |


| If $\mathrm{a}=10$ | $\mathrm{~m}=13$ | $\gamma_{1} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\gamma_{n}$ |  | Normalized No. $(0,1)$ |
|  |  | $\gamma_{n} / 12$ |
| 1 | 0.083 |  |
| 10 | 0.833 |  |
| 9 |  | 0.750 |
| 12 |  | 1.000 |
| 3 |  | 0.250 |
| 4 |  | 0.333 |
| 1 |  | 0.083 |
| 10 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |

We can generate large series of random numbers.
If $\mathrm{a}=65539, \mathrm{~m}=2^{31}$ and $\gamma_{0}$ any odd no. less than 9999 then we can divide by $2^{31}-1=$ $2,147,483,647$ and get fairly largest cycle of random numbers.

## Steps for Generating Random Observations

1. Construct cdf (cumulative density function) of the random variable $X$ i.e $F_{x}(X)$.
2. To generate $(0,1)$ normalized random numbers say $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ so on
3. Set $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{X})$ equal to the random decimal numbers and solve for X . The value of X thus obtained will be desired random observation from the probability distribution.

$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{X})$.is uniform over $(0,1)$ regardless of the distribution of X . For every $\gamma_{i}$ there is a unique value of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{X})=\gamma$ so $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{-1}(\gamma)$

## Example 3:

Let us consider the cumulative density function

| X | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| cdf | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 |

$X_{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}0<\gamma \leq 0.4 \\ 0.4<\gamma \leq 0.7 \\ 0.7<\gamma \leq 0.9 \\ 0.9<\gamma \leq 1.0\end{array}\right.$

## Generation of Monte Carlo Series using random table

Consider a model $Y_{t}=b_{0}+b_{1} X_{t}+u_{t}$ where $b_{0}=0.5, b_{1}=0.75$ and $u_{t}$ is a random variable based on random no $(0,1)$. Thus we can generate the series as demonstrated in the table below

| Time t | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Random no. | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (from normal distribution) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{aligned} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}} & \mathrm{~b}_{0} \\ +\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}} & +\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{t}} \end{aligned} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Time t | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Random } \\ \text { no. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{b}_{0} \\ +\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}} \\ +\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{t}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.5 | 0.014 | -2.192 | -1.317 | 14 | 7 | 0.421 | -0.200 | 5.550 |
| 2 | 1 | 0.955 | 1.698 | 2.948 | 15 | 7.5 | 0.311 | -0.492 | 5.633 |
| 3 | 1.5 | 0.566 | 0.166 | 1.791 | 16 | 8 | 0.218 | -0.779 | 5.721 |
| 4 | 2 | 0.925 | 1.439 | 3.439 | 17 | 8.5 | 0.824 | 0.930 | 7.805 |
| 5 | 2.5 | 0.869 | 1.120 | 3.495 | 18 | 9 | 0.889 | 1.219 | 8.469 |
| 6 | 3 | 0.975 | 1.952 | 4.702 | 19 | 9.5 | 0.440 | -0.152 | 7.473 |
| 7 | 3.5 | 0.543 | 0.108 | 3.233 | 20 | 10 | 0.571 | 0.178 | 8.178 |
| 8 | 4 | 0.609 | 0.278 | 3.778 | 21 | 10.5 | 0.888 | 1.217 | 9.592 |
| 9 | 4.5 | 0.104 | -1.256 | 2.619 | 22 | 11 | 0.871 | 1.133 | 9.883 |
| 10 | 5 | 0.392 | -0.275 | 3.975 | 23 | 11.5 | 0.148 | -1.045 | 8.080 |
| 11 | 5.5 | 0.381 | -0.302 | 4.323 | 24 | 12 | 0.581 | 0.203 | 9.703 |
| 12 | 6 | 0.914 | 1.368 | 6.368 | 25 | 12.5 | 0.796 | 0.826 | 10.701 |
| 13 | 6.5 | 0.294 | -0.540 | 4.835 | 26 | 13 | 0.423 | -0.193 | 10.057 |

## UNIT XIV <br> TRANSPORTATION PROBLEMS, ASSIGNMENT MODELS AND JOB SEQUENCING

## Transportation Model

In the transportation problems, we have models with equalities and inequalities in the production and requirement.

Let there be $m$ origins and $n$ outlets/distribution points. Take $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ as no. of units shipped from $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ origin to $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ destination. In this way, we have rim $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ values. Since negative amount cannot be shiped so $X_{i j} \geq 0$.

Let $a_{i}$ be the number of units of product available at origin $i$ and $b_{j}$ the number of units required at j . We cannot ship more goods from any origin. Hence;

$$
\sum_{j 1}^{n} X_{i j} \quad X_{i 1}+X_{i 2} \cdots \cdots X_{i n} \leq a_{i} \quad(i \quad 1,2, \cdots \cdots m)
$$

We must supply each destination with number of desired units
Thus, $\sum_{i 1}^{m} X_{i j} \quad X_{1 j}+X_{2 j} \cdots \cdots+X_{m j} \quad b_{j} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}j & 1,2 \cdots \cdots n\end{array}\right)$
The needs of outlet can be satisfied iff,

$$
\sum_{i j}^{m} a_{i} \geq \sum_{j 1}^{n} b_{j}
$$

The $\operatorname{cost} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ from $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ origin to $\mathrm{j}^{\text {th }}$ destination
The total cost is

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z \quad & \sum_{i 1}^{m} \sum_{j 1}^{n} C_{i j} X_{i j} \\
& \quad C_{11} X_{11}+C_{12} X_{12} \cdots \cdots+C_{1 n} X_{1 n} \\
& +C_{21} X_{21}+C_{22} X_{22} \cdots \cdots+C_{2 n} X_{2 n} \\
& \cdots \cdots \cdots+C_{m 1} X_{m 1}+C_{m 2} X_{m 2} \cdots \cdots+C_{m n} X_{m n}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now summarize the problem as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{find} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}} \geq 0 \\
& \min Z \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j}^{n} C_{i j} X_{i j} \\
& \text { s.t } \quad \sum_{j 1}^{n} X_{i j} \leq a_{i} \quad i \quad 1,2 \cdots \cdots m \\
& \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i j} \quad b_{j} \quad j \quad 1,2 \cdots \cdots n
\end{aligned}
$$

Transportation problem is a special LP problem in $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$ variables with $\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}$ constraints.
They are easy to solve in comparison to general L.P. Further, as long as availability and requirements are integer values, the solution will also be integer value. We can identify the surplus and deficit zones as given below:

| Zone | Production | Consumption | Surplus | Deficit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | 900 | 800 | 100 | - |
| II | 320 | 400 | - | 80 |
| III | 620 | 650 | - | 30 |
| IV | 635 | 725 | - | 90 |
| V | 1045 | 1020 | 25 | - |
| VI | 1065 | 990 | 75 | - |

And the cost structure of shipping

| From origin (surplus zone) | To destination (deficit zone) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | II | III | IV |
| I | 5 | 10 | 2 |
| V | 3 | 7 | 5 |
| VI | 6 | 8 | 4 |

The $I^{\text {st }}$ step is to formulate matrix with one row for each origin and one column for each destination plus two extra rows/columns. Each column /row labels with origin and destination and the additional rows/columns as demand \& supply. Surplus in supply column \& demand shows deficit. The figures in demand/supply rows/columns are called rim values.

After completing this matrix, the next step is to obtain the initial feasible tableau.

## Methods of Obtaining Initial Feasible Solution

We apply three methods as given below;

1. North-West corner method.
2. Lowest cost entry method.
3. Vogel's approximation method.

## (1) North-West Corner Rule (NWCR)

Step1: The north-west corner being upper left corner of matrix. Place in the north-west corner square, the smaller of the rim values for that row \& column. The rim value for $1^{\text {st }}$ row is $100 \& 1^{\text {st }}$ column is 80 .

First assignment is made in the cell occupying the upper left hand (north- west) corner of the transportation table. The maximum feasible amount is allocated there. That is $x_{11}=\min$. $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$. This value of $x_{11}$ is then entered in the cell $(1,1)$ of the transportation table.
Step 2: If $b_{1}>a_{1}$, move right horizontally to second column \& make second allocation $X_{21}=$ $\min \left(\mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{11}\right)$ in cel $(2,1)$
If $\mathrm{b} 1=\mathrm{a} 1$, there is a tie for the second allocation. One can make the second allocation of magnitude. If $b_{1}<a_{1}$, then $X_{12}=\min \left(a_{1}-X_{11}, b_{2}\right)$
$x_{12}=\min .\left(a_{1}-a_{1}, b_{1}\right)=0$ in the cell $(1,2)$
$x_{21}=\min .\left(a_{2}, b_{1}-b_{1}\right)=0$ in the cell $(2,1)$
Step 3: Repeat the steps $1 \& 2 \&$ lower right corner of LP.
Example 1: Obtain the initial basic feasible tableau for the problem given below:

|  | Cost to Destinations |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cost from Origins | D | E | F | G | Total supply |
| A | 11 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 250 |
| B | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 300 |
| C | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 400 |
| Total demand | 200 | 225 | 275 | 250 | 950 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

We can use NWCR method for initial allocations by following above steps;


## (2) Lowest Cost Entry Method (LCEM)

Step 1: Determine smallest cost in cost matrix of the transportation table. Let it be $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$. Allocate $X_{i j} \min \left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right)$ in the cell $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$.

Step 2: If $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ cross off the ith row and decrease $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}$ by $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}}$.

If $x_{i j}=a_{i}=b_{j}$ cross off either $i^{\text {th }}$ row or $j^{\text {th }}$ column.
Step 3: Repeat steps $1 \& 2$ for the resulting reduced transportation table until all the rim requirements are satisfied. Whenever the minimum cost is not unique, take an arbitrary choice among the maximum cost.

Example 2: Considering the above example 1, the initial allocation with LCEM will be;

| Origin |  |  |  |  | nation |  |  |  | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  |  |
| 1 |  | 11 | 50 | 13 |  | 17 |  | 14 | 250 |
|  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 16 | 25 | 18 | $275 \quad 14$ |  |  | 10 | 300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | 21 | 150 | 24 | 250 | 13 |  | 10 | 400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand | 200 |  | 225 |  | 275 |  | 250 |  | 950 |

## 3. Vogel's Approximation Method

The Vogel's Approximation Method takes into account not only the least cost $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ but also the cost that just exceed $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$. The steps of the method are given below.

Step 1: For each row of the transportation table identify the smallest and the next to smallest costs. Determine the difference between them for each row and column.

Step 2: Identify the row or column with the largest difference among all the rows and columns. If a tie occurs, use any arbitrary tie breaking choice. Let the greatest difference corresponds to $i^{\text {th }}$ row and let $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ be the smallest cost in the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ row. Allocate the maximum feasible amount $x_{i j}=\min \left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right)$ in the $(I, j)^{\text {th }}$ cell and cross off the $i^{\text {th }}$ row or the $j^{\text {th }}$ column in the usual manner.
Step 3: Re-compute the columns and row differences for the reduced transportation table and go to step 2. Repeat the procedure until all the rim requirements are satisfied.

Example 3: Given the previous problem, we can use VAM to prepare initial feasible table.

| A |  | B | C | D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 11 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 250 |
|  | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| II | 16 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 300 |
| III | 21 | 24 | 13 | 10 | 400 |
| OD | 200 | 225 | 275 | 250 | 950 |
|  | (5) | (5) | (1) | (0) |  |

We allocate 200 to upper most \& strike off $1^{\text {st }}$ column. Now allocate 50 and strike the row as given below

| B |  | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 13 | 17 | 14 |
|  | 50 |  |  |
| II | 18 | 14 | 10 |
| III | 24 | 13 | 10 |
|  | (5) | (1) | (0) |

(1)
(4)
(3)

The remaining allocation will be:

|  | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 18 | 14 | 10 |
| 1 | 175 |  |  |
| II | 24 | 13 | 10 |


| C | D |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 125 |  |  |
| 14 |  | 10 |  |
| 13 | 10 |  |  |

(1)

And the last allocation is:

| 275 |  | 125 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 13 |  |

Thus, the basic feasible solution table is:

|  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | 250 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I |  | 11 |  | 13 |  | 17 |  | 14 |  |
|  | 200 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II |  | 16 |  | 18 |  | 14 |  | 10 | 300 |
|  |  |  | 175 |  |  |  | 125 |  |  |
| III |  | 21 |  |  |  | 13 |  | 10 | 400 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 275 |  | 125 |  |  |
| Total | 200 |  | 225 |  | 275 |  | 250 |  | 950 |

And the total transportation cost will be Rs. 12,075
Note: The initial basic feasible table will be nearer to the minimum cost but there is no guarantee that it will be the least cost. Hence, we follow iterative procedure to find the least cost.

## Method to Solve the Transportation Algorithm

Various steps involved in solving any transportation problem may be summarized in the following iterative procedure:
Step 1: Construct a transportation table entering the origin capacities $a_{i}$, the destination requirements $b_{j}$ and the costs $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$.
Step 2: Determine an initial basic feasible solution using any of the three methods discussed above. Enter the solution in the upper left corners of the basic cells.

Step 3: For all the basic variables $x_{i j}$, solve the system of equations $u_{i}+v_{j}=c_{i j}$ for all $i, k$ for which ( $i, j$ ) is in the basis starting initially with some $u_{i}=0$ and entering successively the values $u_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ on the transportation table.
Step 4: Compute the net evaluation $z_{i j}-c_{i j}=u_{i}+v_{j}-c_{i j}$ for all the non basic cells and enter them in the upper right corner of the corresponding cells.
Step 5: Examine the sign of each $z_{i j}-c_{i j}$. If all $z_{i j}-c_{i j} \leq 0$, then the current basic feasible solution is an optimum one. If atleast one $z_{i},-c_{i j}>0$, select the variable $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{rs}}$, having the largest positive net evaluation to enter the basis.
Step 6: let the variable xrs enter the basis. Allocate an unknown quantity, say $\Theta$, to the cell $(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s})$. Identify a loop that starts and end the cell ( $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s}$ ) and connect some of the basic cells. Add and subtract interchangeably, $\Theta$ to and from the transition cells of the loop in such a way that the rim requirements remain satisfied.
Step 7: Assign a maximum value to $\Theta$ in such a way that the value of one basic variable becomes zero and the other basic variables remain non-negative. The basic cell whose allocation has been reduced to zero, leaves the basis.

Step 8: Return to step 3 and repeat the process until an optimum basic feasible solution has been obtained.

Example 4: Solve the following transportation problem:


Solution: Using the Lowest Cost Entering Method, an initial basic feasible solution to the TP is obtained which is shown in Table 1. The transportation cost is 855 .
Starting Table. Determine the variables $u_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ and $v_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ using successively the relations $u_{i}+v_{j}=c_{i j}$ for all the basic cells, starting with $u_{3}=0$. These values are then used to compute the evaluation $z_{i j}-c_{i j}$ for all the non basic cells. Since all the net evaluations are not negative, we choose the maximum of these. Thus, the non basic cells $(1,1)$ will enter the basis. The next step is to ascertain the optimum solution. An optimum is one which is least cost. This step has two stages:
(A). Computation of row and column values and (B) Computation of the values of unoccupied squares.
(A). For row and column values only occupied squares are used. For this the sum of row value and column value is equal to the value in the sub square of an occupied cell.
Row value + column value $=$ sub cell value


First Iteration. Introduce the cell $(1,1)$ in the basis and drop the basic cells $(1,2)$.


Table 3


Table 4

Second Iteration. Introduce the cell $(3,2)$ into the basis and drop the basic cell $(2,2)$.
Now, since all the current net evaluations are non-positive, the current solution is optimum one.

Hence, the optimum allocation is given by
$\mathrm{x}_{11}=1, \mathrm{x}_{21}=3, \mathrm{x}_{31}=0, \mathrm{x}_{32}=2$ and $\mathrm{x}_{33}=2$.
The transportation cost according to the above root is given by
$Z=1 \times 50+3 \times 90+2 \times 200+2 \times 50=820$.

Note:
(1) Negative value in an unoccupied cell square indicates that better solution can be found by moving units into unoccupied cells.
(2) Zero value in unoccupied cell indicates another solution of equal total value is available.
(3) Positive value indicates that sub-optimal solution will result if values are moved into that square.
The example of matrix show that improvement can be made by moving only with one of the cell

## ASSIGNMENT PROBLEMS

It is a special case of Transportation Problem $P$ in which the objective is to assign number of jobs to number of persons/machines. The assignment is to be made in such a way that one person gets one job to minimize cost or maximize profit.
Mathematically; Let Xij be the variables defined by
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ 四
Since one job is to be assigned to each machine/person, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} X i j=1 \text { and } \sum_{j}^{n} X i j=1
$$

Also total assignment out

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\sum_{i}^{n} \sum_{1 j}^{n} C i j X i j
$$

Thus the model becomes
$\operatorname{Min} \mathrm{Z}=\sum_{i}^{n} \sum_{1 j}^{n} C i j X i j$
Subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i}^{n} X i j=1 \\
& \sum_{j}^{n} X i j=1 \\
& \mathrm{Xij}=0 \text { or } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Steps:

1. Subtract the min cost of each row of the cost matrix from all the element of representive row. Then again modify the resulting matrix by subtracting the min cost of each column from the entire column to obtain starting table.
2. Draw the least possible no. of horizontal and vertical lines to cover all the zeros of starting matrix.

Let no. of lines be N .
If $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{n}$ (order of matrix) optimum assignment has reached
If $\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{n}$ go to next step.
3. Determine the smallest cost in the starting table, not covered by IV lines subtract their cost from the surviving elements (uncovered) and add the same to all those which are lying at the intersection of horizontal and vertical lines, thus obtaining the second starting table.
4. Now redraw the horizontal and vertical lines covering zeros and examine that $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{n}$.
5. Examine the row until a row with exactly one unmarked zero is formed. Enclose the zero (©) and $\times$ other zero lying in the column as they cannot be taken for future assignment
6. Examine the column successively until a column with exactly one uncovered zero © is formed as assignment and ${ }^{\star}$ as other row in that row
7. Repeat step $5 \& 6$ successively until one of the following arises

No. unmarked zero is left. This method is known as Hungarian Assignment Method

Example 1: Solve the assignment problem Jobs

|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Persons | A | 10 | 12 | 19 | 11 |
|  | B | 5 | 10 | 7 | 8 |
|  | C | 12 | 14 | 13 | 11 |
|  | D | 8 | 15 | 11 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| [ | [ | T | T |  | 0 | T | T | [ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | [ | 3 | T | Row diff | ? | T | T |  |  |
| 2 | [ | 0 | T |  | ? | T | T |  |  |
| [ | [ | T | T |  | T | T | T | [ |  |

So optimum assignment has reached

Then

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | $\otimes$ | $(0$ |  |  |
| B | $\otimes$ |  | $(0$ |  |
| C |  |  | $\star$ | $(0)$ |
| D | $(0$ |  |  |  |

B------3
C------4
D------1 cost will be $12+7+11+8=38$

## Example 2:

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$|$



Practical Exercise
Solve the following assignment problem

|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Person | A | 11 | 19 | 8 | 16 | 22 |
| B | 9 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 17 |  |
| C | 13 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 16 |  |
| D | 21 | 24 | 17 | 28 | 26 |  |
| E | 15 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 15 |  |

## SEQUENCING PROBLEMS

The selection of an appropriate order for series of jobs to be done on a finite number of services facilities is called sequencing. In this we have to determine order (sequence) of performing the jobs in such a way that the total cost (time) may be min.

## Assumptions

1) No machine can process more than one job at a time
2) Processing times (Mij's) i.e ith ( $1,2 \ldots . \mathrm{n}$ ) job on jth ( $1,2 \ldots . \mathrm{m}$ ) machines are independent of processing the jobs
3) The time taken in moving the jobs from one machine to another is negligibly small
4) Each job once started on a machine to be continued till it is completed
5) All machines are of different types
6) All jobs are completely known \& are ready for processing before the period under consideration begins
Problems with $\mathbf{N}$ jobs and two machines
Let there be n jobs and M1 \& M2 two machines in order M1 and M2

## Steps

1) Examine Mi1's and Mi2's ( $\mathrm{i}=1,2 \ldots \mathrm{n}$ ) and find the min (Mi1, Mi2)
2) If this min be Mki for some $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{k}$ \& then take kth jobs first of all. If this min is Mr 2 for some $i=r$ do rth job last of all
3) If there is a tie for min $\mathrm{Mk} 1=\mathrm{Mr} 2$ process $k t h$ first and rth last. If tie occur in Mi1's select the corresponding jobs min of Mi1's \& process first of all. If tie for Mi2's select corresponding to the min Mi2 \& process last of all
4) Cross if the jobs already assigned \& repeat steps 1 to 3

Problem with $\mathbf{n}$ jobs \& m machines
Step1: Find min $\mathrm{Mi}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Mi}_{2}$, \& max of $\mathrm{Mi}_{2}, \mathrm{Mi}_{3} \ldots . \mathrm{Mi}(\mathrm{m}-1)$
Step2: Check whether
$\min$ Mil $\geq$ max Mij $j=2,3 \ldots . \mathrm{m}-1$
Or
$\min \operatorname{Mim} \geq \max \operatorname{Mij} j=2,3 \ldots . \mathrm{m}-1$
Step3: If in equation of step2 are not satisfied, method fails, otherwise go to next step
Step4: convert m machine problem into two machine by introducing two fictitious machines
G \& H such that
$\mathrm{MiG}=\mathrm{Mi1}+\mathrm{Mi} 2 \ldots . .+\mathrm{Mim}-1$
$\mathrm{MiH}=\mathrm{Mi} 2+\mathrm{Mi} 3 \ldots . . .+\mathrm{Mim}$
Determine optimal sequence of n jobs through 2machines as given above
Example 1: A = press shop, $B=$ finishing
Jobs (days)
123
Dept. A $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 6 & 5\end{array}$
Dept. $B \quad 8 \quad 3 \quad 4$
$\operatorname{Min}(\mathrm{Ai}, \mathrm{Bi})=3$ which is for B 2 so B 2 will be done last of all

|  |  | II |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Now out of remaining $\operatorname{Min}(\mathrm{Ai}, \mathrm{Bi})=4$ which is again for last of all

| I | III | II |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Thus sequence of min time is

| Job | Machine A |  | Machine B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time in | Time out | Time in | Time out |
| I | 0 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
| III | 8 | 13 | 16 | 20 |
| II | 13 | 19 | 20 | 23 |

Min time to finish all 3
Jobs $=23$ days
Idle time for $\mathrm{A}=4$ days
Idle time for $\mathrm{B}=8$ days
Example 2:


| 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Job | Machine A |  | Machine B |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Time in | Time out | Time in | Time out | Idle time |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 15 |
| 4 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 0 |
| 3 | 4 | 13 | 15 | 22 | 0 |
| 5 | 13 | 23 | 23 | 27 | 1 |
| 1 | 23 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 1 |

Idle time for $\mathrm{A}=2$ Hours
Idle time for $\mathrm{B}=3$ Hours
Example 3: 3 machines

| Jobs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 5 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 5 |
| B | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 |

$\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{C} & 3 & 7 & 5 & 6 & 7\end{array}$
Now $\operatorname{Min} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}=5$, $\operatorname{Min} \mathrm{C}=3 \mathrm{Max} \mathrm{Bi}=5$
Since Min $A_{i} \geq$ Max Bi given problem cab be solved as two machines $G \& H$
As G $=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$

| Jobs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G | 7 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 8 |
| H | 5 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 10 |

So jobs sequence will be

Or

| 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| A | In | 0 | 5 | 14 | 20 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Out | 5 | 14 | 20 | 27 | 32 |
| B | In | 5 | 14 | 20 | 27 | 32 |
|  | Out | 8 | 19 | 24 | 28 | 34 |
| C | In | 8 | 19 | 25 | 30 | 37 |
|  | Out | 15 | 25 | 30 | 37 | 40 |

Idle time for $\mathrm{A}=8$ Hours
Idle time for $\mathrm{B}=25$ Hours
Idle time for $\mathrm{C}=12$ Hours
Example 4: Determine the optimal sequence of jobs that minimize total time on 3 machines M1M2M3.

| Jobs | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M1 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| M2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| M3 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 11 |

Min Mi1 $=3 \quad$ MinMi3 $=5$
$\operatorname{MaxMi2}=5$
Since Mi3 $\geq$ Mi2
Hence, we can solve as two we have
$\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{Mi} 1+\mathrm{Mi} 2$
$\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{Mi} 2+\mathrm{Mi} 3$

Example 5:Solve the following problem


Total 23, idle $\mathrm{A}=4$ days, idle $\mathrm{B}=8$ days

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 3 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 10 |
| B | 2 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 4 |


| 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Machines |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 5 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 5 |  |
| B | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 |  |
| C | 3 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |

$\operatorname{Min} \mathrm{Ai}=5 \quad \mathrm{Min} \mathrm{Ci}=3$
$\operatorname{Max~Bi}=5$
Hence Min $\mathrm{Ai} \geq \operatorname{Max} \mathrm{Bi} \quad$ so we can solve this

| $\mathrm{Gi}=7$ | 8 |  | 10 | 14 | 8 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Hi}=5$ | 8 | 9 | 11 | 10 |  |  |
| 2 | 5 |  | 4 |  | 3 | 1 |


|  | In | 0 | 5 | 14 | 20 | 27 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Out | 5 | 14 | 20 | 27 | 32 |
| B | In | 5 | 14 | 20 | 27 | 32 |
|  | Out | 8 | 19 | 24 | 28 | 34 |
| C | In | 8 | 19 | 25 | 30 | 37 |
|  | Out | 15 | 25 | 30 | 37 | 40 |

Idle Time for $\mathrm{A}=8$

$$
B=19
$$

$$
\mathrm{C}=20
$$

## APPENDIX- I

Typical Agricultural Planning Linear Programming Model

| 1. Returns to Fixed Farm Resources (RFFR) Rs.;ha |  | Cj | 35000 | 40000 | -12000 | -18000 | 35000 | -15000 | -12000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Resource <br> supply (b) | Maize | Rice | Cherry <br> (f) | Bajra (f) | Wheat | Barseem <br> (f) | Oat (f) |
| 1 | Kharif land | 1.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Rabi land | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | Kharif Capital | 120000 | 25000 | 30000 | 12000 | 18000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Rabi capital | 150000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25000 | 15000 | 12000 |
| 5 | Labour (days) | 3 person <br> $(1095)$ | 250 | 350 | 150 | 125 | 350 | 150 | 150 |
| 6 | Bullock labour days | 60 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 3 |
| 7 | FYM (tonnes) | 40 | 24 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 25 | 8 | 8 |
| 8 | Urea(kg) | 400 | 250 | 150 | 150 | 100 | 200 | 100 | 100 |
| 9 | SSP(kg) | 250 | 150 | 200 | 100 | 150 | 150 | 100 | 100 |
| 10 | MOP(kg) | 200 | 100 | 100 | 50 | 50 | 150 | 50 | 50 |
| 11 | Green fodder | 0 | 0 | 0 | -280 | -250 | 0 | -300 | -200 |
| 12 | Dry fodder | 6 | -60 | -35 | 0 | 0 | -40 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Min Area (maize) | 0.50 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Min Area (paddy) | 0.50 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Min Animal (dairy) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Max area vegetable | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Max area potato | 0.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  | 95000 | 100000 | 55000 | 45000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Constraints | Vegetable |  | Potato |  |
|  |  | Kharif | Rabi | Kharif | Rabi |
| 1 | Kharif land | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | Rabi land | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | Kharif Capital | 45000 | 0 | 4000 | 0 |
| 4 | Rabi capital | 0 | 55000 | 0 | 25000 |
| 5 | Labour (days) | 300 | 350 | 350 | 350 |
| 6 | Bullock labour days | 12 | 12 | 15 | 18 |
| 7 | FYM (tonnes) | 36 | 30 | 30 | 25 |
| 8 | Urea(kg) | 400 | 300 | 100 | 100 |
| 9 | SSP(kg) | 200 | 225 | 200 | 225 |
| 10 | MOP(kg) | 200 | 200 | 150 | 150 |
| 11 | Green fodder | -20 | -15 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Dry fodder | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Min Area (maize) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Min Area (paddy) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Min Animal (dairy) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Max Area vegetable | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Max Area potato | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Contd...



Note: Peak periods can be identified for human and bullock labour and hiring activities can be split accordingly. Similarly purchase of inputs can be split into kharif and rabi seasons

