

Effect of beverages on surface properties of resin-based sealants

Bruna Genari¹, Vicente Castelo Branco Leitune², João Henrique Macedo Saucedo³, Susana Maria Werner Samuel², Fabrício Mezzomo Collares²

¹ pHD student, Dental Materials Laboratory, Department of Conservative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

² Professor, Dental Materials Laboratory, Department of Conservative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

³ Undergraduate student, Dental Materials Laboratory, Department of Conservative Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

Corresponding author:

Fabrício Mezzomo Collares. Dental Materials Laboratory, School of Dentistry, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Ramiro Barcelos Street 2492, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil. Telephone: +5551 33085198. fabricao.collares@ufrgs.br

Received: February 6 2017

Accepted: July 26, 2017

Aim: The aim of this study was to determine degree of conversion of resin-based sealants and the effect of beverages on surface roughness and color change of materials. **Methods:** Two commercial resin-based sealants were evaluated (Defense Chroma[®] and BioSeal[®]). Degree of conversion (DC) was initially measured using Fourier-transformed infrared (FTIR). Specimens of each sealant were maintained in distilled water and immersed one hour daily in grape juice and cola drink for 30 days. One group was maintained only in distilled water, as a control. Surface roughness and color change were measured before immersion, after seven days of immersion and after 30 days of immersion. Results were analyzed using t-test, paired t-test, one-way repeated measures ANOVA, one-way ANOVA and post-hoc tests (0.05 level of significance). **Results:** There was no significant difference regarding degree of conversion and initial surface roughness comparing both commercial sealants. Surface roughness increased for BioSeal[®] immersed in cola drink for 30 days. After 30 days, all groups presented significant color change. **Conclusions:** The effect of beverages on color stability and surface roughness of resin-based sealants depended on exposure time and kind of beverage.

Keywords: Methacrylates. Aging. Discoloration. Surface properties.



Introduction

The majority of caries occurs in fissures and pits of molars of children and adolescents^{1,2}. Although glass ionomer cements are known by their fluoride release property, resin-based sealants are also commonly used to protect fissures and pits due to its higher retention rate³. Its fluoride release through a slow diffusive of filler particles contributes to caries inhibition⁴.

Resin materials are submitted to physical stresses and chemical process. One of these factors is the exogenous substances taken in the diet, which contain a variety of acids such as tartaric acid present in grape juice and phosphoric acid in cola beverages⁵. Chemistry and duration of exposure are important to determine the interaction between molecule and material polymeric network⁶.

Chemical degradation of materials also depends on composition of material. Resin-based materials are susceptible to degradation, mainly those with no or low inorganic filler content^{7,8}, such as sealants. The early effect of degradation is an increased surface roughness, which leads to raise biofilm accumulation and color change due stain penetration into the polymeric matrix⁸.

Sealants have been the subject of numerous studies evaluating effectiveness, adaptation and penetration into fissures and fluoride release^{4,9}. In the oral environment, dental material is also subjected to chemical and physical insults. Hence, the purpose of this study was to determine degree of conversion of resin-based sealants and the effect of beverages on surface roughness and color change of materials.

Methods

Two resin-based sealants were evaluated: Defense Chroma[®] (Angelus, Londrina, Brazil) and BioSeal[®] (Biodinâmica, Iporã, Brazil), whose composition is in Table 1.

Degree of conversion (DC)

The DC was evaluated by FTIR spectrometer. A drop (5 μ L) of each sealant (n=3) was photoactivated for 20 s by a light-emitting diode (LED) with irradiance value of 1200 mW/cm² (Radii cal, SDI, Bayswater, Australia). Absorbance spectra were obtained before and immediately after light polymerization. The DC was calculated considering the intensity (peak height) of aliphatic carbon-carbon double bond stretching vibration at 1635 cm⁻¹ and the symmetric ring stretching at 1610 cm⁻¹ from the polymerized and unpolymerized samples.

Table 1. Composition of resin-based sealants used in the present study.

Sealant	Manufacturer	Composition	Lot	Photoactivation time
BioSeal [®]	Biodinâmica, Iporã, Brazil	TEGDMA, Bis-GMA, BHT, silicon dioxide, sodium fluoride, calcium fluoride and catalyst	27025	20 s
Defense Chroma [®]	Angelus, Londrina, Brazil	Bis-GMA, modified urethane, TEGDMA, barium aluminum borosilicate, tetra-acrylic ester, phosphoric acid, sodium fluoride, N-methyl diethanolamine and camphorquinone	20412	20 s

Immersion of specimens in beverages

Fifteen disc-shaped specimens (10 mm x 2 mm) were prepared for each resin-based sealant. Materials was photoactivated for 20 s, according to the manufacturer's recommendations. After 24 h, the specimens were polished using a manual polisher (Aropol 2v, Arotec, Cotia, Brazil) through a series of silicon carbide (SiC) papers (400-, 600-, 800- and 1200-grit) for 2 minutes each and with a felt disc saturated with an alumina suspension. To evaluate surface roughness and color stability of materials in beverages, specimens of each sealant were randomly distributed in three sub-groups (n=5) of beverages (distilled water, DellValle® grape juice, and Coke® cola drink).

Beverages were maintained at 4 °C during the period of study (30 days) and at room temperature before placing specimens for acid challenge. Each specimen was individually immersed in a vial containing 5 mL of beverage for one hour daily at room temperature. Specimens were washed with distilled water and stored again in distilled water at 37 °C. As a control group, specimens were individually immersed in vials containing distilled water for 24 h per day, spending one hour at room temperature. Vials were sealed during immersion to prevent the evaporation of beverages. All solutions were renewed daily.

pH measurement

Determination of beverages' pH was performed at room temperature (22 ± 2 °C) using a pH meter (DM-22, Digimed, Campo Grande, Brazil). Results of pH were obtained from an average of three measurements. It was measured before using beverages.

Surface roughness

Surface roughness (Ra) was measured using a roughness tester (SJ-201, Mitotoyo, Kawasaki, Japan) on surface discs of resin-based sealants. Results were obtained by averaging three equidistant lines of 2 mm. Surface roughness was measured at baseline, after seven days of immersion and after 30 days of immersion of specimens.

Color change

Color measurements of specimens were performed according to the CIE L*a*b* color scale, relative to standard illuminant D65, over a black and white backgrounds by a reflection spectrophotometer (CM-2500d, Konika-Minolka, Tokyo, Japan), with inclusion of ultraviolet (UV) component and exclusion of specular component (SCE) geometry. The aperture size was 3 mm, illuminating and viewing configurations were CIE diffuse/10° geometry. Before measurement, the spectrophotometer was calibrated using the supplied white calibration standard. The reflectance spectra were obtained from 400 to 700 nm. Due to the use of black and white backgrounds, Kubelka-Munk's theory was used to calculate reflectance spectra of infinite thickness. Chromaticity coordinates (X, Y, Z) of CIE (The Commission Internationale de L'éclairage) were calculated using reflectance spectra of infinite thickness. The coordinates were converted to CIE L*a*b* parameters. The color measurements were performed at baseline, after seven days of immersion and after 30 days of immersion. Color change (ΔE) was calculated from differences between L*a*b* parameters between baseline and different times.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Sigma Plot 11.0. The results were initially analyzed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. T-test was used to analyze initial surface roughness and DC, comparing both sealants. One-way repeated measures ANOVA was performed to compare roughness among different times. Paired t-test was used to compare color change among times. In addition, one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc tests were performed to analyze color changes among groups of different sealants and immersion beverages. The statistically significant level was 0.05.

Results

Degree of conversion for Defense Chroma® was $49.23 \pm 2.46\%$ and for BioSeal® was $52.28 \pm 2.86\%$, presenting no statistically significant difference between sealants.

Values of pH for distilled water, grape juice and cola drink were 5.53, 3.09 and 2.72, respectively.

Results of surface roughness are presented in Table 2. There is no difference in initial surface roughness comparing sealants, presenting a roughness of 0.17 (± 0.05) for Defense Chroma® and 0.18 (± 0.09) for BioSeal®. Surface roughness did not alter, except for BioSeal® immersed in cola drink for 30 days ($P < 0.05$).

Table 2. Mean and standard deviation of surface roughness (μm) and color change (ΔE) for BioSeal® and Defense Chroma® sealants immersed in distilled water, grape juice and Coca-Cola® for 7 and 30 days.

Initial		Surface roughness		Color change (ΔE)		
		7 days	30 days	7 days	30 days	
BioSeal®	Distilled water	0.11 (± 0.03) ^a	0.17 (± 0.04) ^a	0.15 (± 0.06) ^a	1.92 (± 0.49) ^{Aa}	15.38 (± 0.64) ^{ABb}
	Grape juice	0.24 (± 0.18) ^a	0.24 (± 0.11) ^a	0.24 (± 0.12) ^a	1.28 (± 0.91) ^{Aa}	15.32 (± 0.41) ^{ABb}
	Cola	0.20 (± 0.06) ^a	0.26 (± 0.06) ^a	0.49 (± 0.13) ^b	1.33 (± 0.41) ^{Aa}	15.89 (± 0.69) ^{Ab}
Defense Chroma®	Distilled water	0.15 (± 0.05) ^a	0.17 (± 0.06) ^a	0.16 (± 0.06) ^a	5.11 (± 2.44) ^{Ba}	13.70 (± 0.77) ^{Bb}
	Grape juice	0.19 (± 0.06) ^a	0.20 (± 0.12) ^a	0.21 (± 0.07) ^a	4.53 (± 0.48) ^{Ba}	14.27 (± 1.02) ^{ABb}
	Cola	0.19 (± 0.08) ^a	0.24 (± 0.14) ^a	0.25 (± 0.06) ^a	5.34 (± 1.47) ^{Ba}	14.31 (± 1.33) ^{ABb}

Values followed by identical lower case letters denote no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) in the same row. Values followed by identical capital letters denote no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) in the same column.

Defense Chroma® presented higher ΔE than BioSeal® after seven days of immersion ($P < 0.05$) independently of beverage (Table 2). After immersion for 30 days, all groups presented higher ΔE than after seven days ($P < 0.05$). Although color change occurred for all specimens, higher difference was between BioSeal® immersed in Cola drink and Defense Chroma® in distilled water.

Discussion

Sealants protect high-risk children and adolescents from caries^{2,10}. Low amount or no fillers of these resin-based materials, which promote enough flow to allow adequate penetration of material into pits and fissures influence properties of material^{3,11}. In the present study, sealants presented similar degree of conversion and susceptibility to chemical degradation in challenge conditions, except for BioSeal® immersed in cola drink after 30 days.

Regarding performance of application of sealants, previous studies extensively discussed about retention, which is higher for resin-based materials than for glass ionomer cements¹². In addition, the use (or not) of adhesive systems with sealants was also explored³. Here is the first step toward the goal of elucidating surface properties of resin-based sealants in challenge conditions.

High degree of conversion represents low amounts of released unreacted monomers and high resistance to degradation⁶. Degree of conversion of BioSeal® presented no significant difference compared to Defense Chroma®. Their results are comparable to other experimental and commercial adhesives¹³, sealants¹⁴ and composite resins¹⁵. Although sealants had different filler particles, they showed no difference in polymerization behavior, such as previously results, also evaluating other resin-based materials¹⁶.

Color stability and surface roughness are mostly tested for composite resin by immersion into red wine, tea and coffee^{10,17}. There is a lack of studies related to pediatric situations, when sealants are often used as a preventive method for caries. Sealants are used for children and adolescents at high risk for caries, who generally consume sugary beverages¹⁰. Most of these have strong pigments and erosive potential due to their acidity. In the present study, simulating high daily frequency, it was possible to notice color change in the medium term and increased roughness for BioSeal® immersed in cola drink for 30 days. Increased time of exposure to beverages results in higher color change and roughness alteration because it allows more chemical interaction between beverage and organic matrix. Besides hydrolytic degradation, the interaction with organic acids of beverages induces faster leaching of monomers by catalysis of ester groups from monomers¹⁸. Phosphoric acid, presented in cola drinks, results more erosive effect in present sealants and also in tooth tissue¹⁹.

Degradation effects on polymeric matrix are initially perceived by increased surface roughness^{8,20}, which facilitates the retention of bacterial plaque and extrinsic stains^{8,21}. BioSeal® presented increased roughness, due to higher hydrophilic monomers and different filler particles that lead to chemical degradation^{8,22,14}.

Extrinsic compounds interact with the polymeric network in free volume spaces between polymer chains, resulting in colored oxidation products^{8,23,24}. Urethane methacrylate, due to its low water sorption and solubility⁶, presents more resistance to staining than hydroxyl groups²⁵. Defense Chroma® contains urethane groups in its composition, which explains its resistance to discoloration. Defense Chroma® and BioSeal® presented higher color change than acceptable difference ($\Delta E > 3.3$)²⁶ in 30 days, even immersed in water.

Measurement of color parameters is based on reflectance spectra. Evaluating a non-opaque material, there is a tendency to result in a gray effect, as occurs using a black background²⁴ and in class III and IV restorations²⁷. In this study, black and white backgrounds were used to avoid a gray effect in non-opaque sealant evaluation. In this study, Kubelka-Munk was required to simulate an infinite thickness to calculate color parameters. The reflectance at infinite thickness is also known as reflectivity and describes an inherent reflectance and color of material²⁸.

Although consumption was simulated in the present study, there are also the dilution effects of saliva and other fluids, intermittent exposure to beverages and effect of brushing, which could change exposure time necessary to alter surface properties.

Conclusions

Sealants present discoloration after 30 days. BioSeal® presented increased surface roughness after 30 days of immersion into cola drink. The effect of a beverage on surface properties of resin-based sealants depended on exposure time and kind of beverage.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge CAPES (Cordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior) for the scholarship for BG.

References

1. Marthaler TM. Changes in Dental Caries 1953–2003. *Caries Res.* 2004 May-Jun;38(3):173-81.
2. Hugoson A, Koch G, Helkimo AN, Lundin SA. Caries prevalence and distribution in individuals aged 3–20 years in Jönköping, Sweden, over a 30-year period (1973–2003). *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2008 Jan;18(1):18-26.
3. Simonsen RJ, Neal RC. A review of the clinical application and performance of pit and fissure sealants. *Aust Dent J.* 2011 Jun;56 Suppl 1:45-58. doi: 10.1111/j.1834-7819.2010.01295.x.
4. Schwendicke F, Jäger AM, Paris S, Hsu LY, Tu YK. Treating Pit-and-Fissure Caries: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. *J Dent Res.* 2015 Apr;94(4):522-33. doi: 10.1177/0022034515571184.
5. West NX, Hughes JA, Addy M. Erosion of dentine and enamel in vitro by dietary acids: the effect of temperature, acid character, concentration and exposure time. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2000 Oct;27(10):875-80.
6. Ferracane JL. Hygroscopic and hydrolytic effects in dental polymer networks. *Dent Mater.* 2006 Mar;22(3):211-22.
7. Schulze KA, Marshall SJ, Gansky SA, Marshall GW. Color stability and hardness in dental composites after accelerated aging. *Dent Mater.* 2003 Nov;19(7):612-9.
8. Bagheri R, Burrow MF, Tyas M. Influence of food-simulating solutions and surface finish on susceptibility to staining of aesthetic restorative materials. *J Dent.* 2005 May;33(5):389-98.
9. Fernandes KS, Chalakkal P, de Ataíde Ide N, Pavaskar R, Fernandes PP, Soni H. A comparison between three different pit and fissure sealants with regard to marginal integrity. *J Conserv Dent.* 2012 Apr;15(2):146-50. doi: 10.4103/0972-0707.94588.

10. Levine RS. Caries experience and bedtime consumption of sugar-sweetened food and drinks—a survey of 600 children. *Community Dent Health*. 2001 Dec;18(4):228-31.
11. Hatibovic-Kofman S, Wright GZ, Braverman I. Microleakage of sealants after conventional, bur, and air-abrasion preparation of pits and fissures. *Pediatr Dent*. 1998 May-Jun;20(3):173-6.
12. Simonsen RJ. Glass ionomer as fissure sealant—a critical review. *J Public Health Dent*. 1996;56(3 Spec No):146-9; discussion 161-3.
13. Collares FM, Leitune VCB, Portella FF, Ogliari FA, Samuel SMW. Long-term bond strength, degree of conversion and resistance to degradation of a HEMA-free model adhesive. *Braz J Oral Sci*. 2014 Oct-Dec;13(4):261-5. doi: 10.1590/1677-3225v13n4a04.
14. Fatima N. Influence of extended light exposure curing times on the degree of conversion of resin-based pit and fissure sealant materials. *Saudi Dent J*. 2014 Oct;26(4):151-5. doi: 10.1016/j.sdentj.2014.05.002.
15. Frauscher KE, Ilie N. Degree of conversion of nano-hybrid resin-based composites with novel and conventional matrix formulation. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2013 Mar;17(2):635-42. doi: 10.1007/s00784-012-0736-y.
16. Garcia Lda F, Roselino Lde M, Pires-de-Souza Fde C, Consani S. Evaluation of the conversion degree, microhardness, and surface roughness of composite resins used after their expiration date. *Gen Dent*. 2010 Nov-Dec;58(6):e262-7.
17. Nasim I, Neelakantan P, Sujeer R, Subbarao CV. Color stability of microfilled, microhybrid and nanocomposite resins—an in vitro study. *J Dent*. 2010;38 Suppl 2:e137-42. doi: 10.1016/j.jdent.2010.05.020.
18. Lee SY, Huang HM, Lin CY, Shih YH. Leached components from dental composites in oral simulating fluids and the resultant composite strengths. *J Oral Rehabil*. 1998 Aug;25(8):575-88.
19. West NX, Hughes JA, Addy M. Erosion of dentine and enamel in vitro by dietary acids: the effect of temperature, acid character, concentration and exposure time. *J Oral Rehabil*. 2000 Oct;27(10):875-80.
20. Soares-Geraldo D, Scaramucci T, Steagall-Jr W, Braga SR, Sobral MA. Interaction between staining and degradation of a composite resin in contact with colored foods. *Braz Oral Res*. 2011 Jul-Aug;25(4):369-75.
21. Bollen CM, Lambrechts P, Quirynen M. Comparison of surface roughness of oral hard materials to the threshold surface roughness for bacterial plaque retention: a review of the literature. *Dent Mater*. 1997 Jul;13(4):258-69.
22. Borges BC, Souza-Júnior EJ, Catelan A, Lovadino JR, Dos Santos PH, Paulillo LA et al. Influence of extended light exposure time on the degree of conversion and plasticization of materials used as pit and fissure sealants. *J Investig Clin Dent*. 2010 Nov;1(2):151-5. doi: 10.1111/j.2041-1626.2010.00015.x.
23. Oyagüe RC, Monticelli F, Toledano M, Osorio E, Ferrari M, Osorio R. Effect of water aging on micro-tensile bond strength of dual-cured resin cements to pre-treated sintered zirconium-oxide ceramics. *Dent Mater*. 2009 Mar;25(3):392-9. doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2008.09.002.
24. Salgado VE, Cavalcante LM, Silikas N, Schneider LF. The influence of nanoscale inorganic content over optical and surface properties of model composites. *J Dent*. 2013 Nov;41 Suppl 5:e45-53. doi: 10.1016/j.jdent.2013.05.011.
25. Martim GC, Detomini TR, Schuquel IT, Radovanovic E, Pfeifer CS, Giroto EM. A urethane-based multi-methacrylate mixture and its use in dental composites with combined high-performance properties. *Dent Mater*. 2014 Feb;30(2):155-63. doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2013.11.002.
26. Ruyter IE, Nilner K, Moller B. Color stability of dental composite resin materials for crown and bridge veneers. *Dent Mater*. 1987 Oct;3(5):246-51.
27. Ikeda T, Sidhu SK, Omata Y, Fujita M, Sano H. Colour and translucency of opaque-shades and body-shades of resin composites. *Eur J Oral Sci*. 2005 Apr;113(2):170-3.
28. Mikhail SS, Azer SS, Johnston WM. Accuracy of Kubelka-Munk reflectance theory for dental resin composite material. *Dent Mater*. 2012 Jul;28(7):729-35. doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2012.03.006.